

CONFIDENTIAL

# 10 DOWNING STREET

THIS FILE MUST NOT GO OUTSIDE 10 DOWNING ST

FILE TITLE:

LONDON ECONOMIC SUMMIT  
9-10 JUNE 1984

SERIES

ECONOMIC  
POLICY

PART:

16B

(ANNEX - BRIEFING FOR PM'S MEETING - INTERNATIONAL TUC)


PART BEGINS:

31<sup>ST</sup> MAY 1984

PART ENDS:

1<sup>ST</sup> JUNE 1984

CAB ONE:



S  
809

PREM 19/1209

CONFIDENTIAL

DER BOTSCHAFTER  
DER BUNDESREPUBLIK DEUTSCHLAND

London, 1st June, 1984

T message on advance text.  
24/5/84

A.J.C. 4/b.


f.a.

Dear Prime Minister,

With reference to my letter dated May 26, 1984,  
I have the honour to transmit to you the enclosed  
original letter from Herr Helmut Kohl, Chancellor  
of the Federal Republic of Germany.

A courtesy translation is attached.

Yours sincerely



Baron Rüdiger von Wechmar

Her Excellency

The Rt.Hon. Margaret Thatcher, MP  
Her Majesty's Prime Minister and  
First Lord of the Treasury  
L o n d o n

Translation

The Chancellor  
of the Federal Republic of Germany

Bonn, 24 May 1984

Dear Prime Minister,

Thank you for your communication of 15 May regarding the participation of the Federal Minister of Economics in the London economic summit. Your proposal, however, greatly disappointed me. I consider it regrettable that you do not deem it possible to take adequate account of the specific complementary function of the German Ministers of Finance and Economics in preparing a conference of such importance to economic co-operation.

It is precisely the joint action by the two Ministers on which international institutions rely in their efforts to preserve the liberal economic order in the world, overcome international economic problems and promote intergovernmental co-operation. I should therefore have appreciated their responsible joint task being facilitated, especially at the London summit. Incidentally, I have received an indication that our Japanese counterpart would not necessarily regard a concession to the German Minister of Economics as setting a precedent.

I have asked Federal Ministers Stoltenberg and Graf Lambsdorff to inform your Personal Representative for the economic summit in good time of the way in which they intend to assist each other during the conference.

Yours sincerely,  
(sgd.) Helmut Kohl

The Rt.Hon. Margaret Thatcher, M.P.,  
Prime Minister  
of the United Kingdom  
of Great Britain and Northern Ireland,  
10 Downing Street,  
London



BUNDESREPUBLIK DEUTSCHLAND  
DER BUNDESKANZLER

Bonn, den 25. Mai 1984

An die  
Premierministerin des  
Vereinigten Königreichs  
Frau Margaret Thatcher  
10 Downing Street  
L o n d o n

Sehr geehrte Frau Premierministerin,

für Ihre Nachricht vom 15. Mai wegen der Teilnahme des Bundeswirtschaftsministers am Londoner Wirtschaftsgipfel danke ich Ihnen. Ihr Vorschlag hat mich allerdings tief enttäuscht. Ich finde es sehr bedauerlich, daß es Ihnen in einer für die wirtschaftspolitische Zusammenarbeit so wichtigen Konferenz nicht möglich erscheint, der spezifischen Partnerfunktion des deutschen Finanzministers und des Wirtschaftsministers angemessener Rechnung zu tragen.

In allen internationalen Institutionen wird gerade von ihrem Zusammenwirken außerordentliche Mithilfe bei der Erhaltung der freiheitlichen Weltwirtschaftsordnung, der Überwindung der weltwirtschaftlichen Probleme und der Zusammenarbeit zwischen den Regierungen erwartet. Ich hätte es daher dankbar begrüßt, wenn den beiden Ministern gerade bei dem Londoner Wirtschaftsgipfel ihre gemeinsame verantwortungsvolle Aufgabe erleichtert worden wäre. Im übrigen habe ich einen Hinweis erhalten, daß unser japanischer Kollege ein Entgegenkommen gegenüber dem deutschen Wirtschaftsminister nicht unbedingt als ein Präjudiz betrachten würde.

...

Ich habe die Bundesminister Stoltenberg und Graf Lambsdorff gebeten, Ihrem Persönlichen Beauftragten für den Wirtschaftsgipfel rechtzeitig mitteilen zu lassen, in welcher Weise sie einander während der Konferenz unterstützen wollen.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen

Je  
L  
Lambsdorff

Elen Pol LES Pt 16

Handwritten scribbles and symbols, possibly including the letters 'L', 'E', and 'S'.

SUBJECT re 7/7/5

File K6



10 DOWNING STREET

From the Private Secretary

MR. HATFIELD  
CABINET OFFICE

ECONOMIC SUMMIT

I should record some of the points made at the Prime Minister's briefing meeting today which was attended by the Foreign and Commonwealth Secretary, the Chancellor of the Exchequer, the Minister of State, Home Office and Officials.

KEYNOTE SPEECH

2. There was some discussion of the keynote speech which the Prime Minister will deliver to the Economic Summit on the morning of 8 June. The latest draft is attached to this minute. The Chancellor of the Exchequer kindly undertook to look at the draft over the weekend and let the Prime Minister have his observations. I should be grateful for any other comments as soon as possible on Monday, 4 June.

DECLARATION ON DEMOCRATIC VALUES

3. The meeting considered that it would be undesirable to exaggerate the importance, in public presentation, of this document. In particular, the alternative description of it as "The London Charter" should not be used (unless the attention given to the document by the media appeared to justify using this more weighty title after the event).

TERRORISM

4. The meeting considered a draft of the proposed press statement on international terrorism. I annex to this minute the latest draft as agreed between departments. Unless any further inter-departmental discussion is required I should be grateful if you could arrange for this text to be sent to all holders of briefs for the Summit and substituted for the present Annex E of the Steering Brief.

5. The meeting was informed that Foreign Ministers would discuss the question of terrorism, including the proposed statement, on the evening of 7 June, with a view to submitting their conclusions to Heads of State and Government on 8 June. The Foreign and Commonwealth Secretary said that contacts with

CONFIDENTIAL

other Foreign Ministers in the last few days had shown that there was less interest in what was said publicly about terrorism at the Summit than in the practical content of the discussion.

NORTH/SOUTH

6. The meeting agreed that we should do everything possible to stop talk of a second CANCUN gaining ground. But, in view of our relations with India, it was desirable to persuade others to take the lead.

FINAL PREPARATIONS

7. I should be grateful if you could bear in mind that the Prime Minister will be devoting much of Wednesday, 6 June to reading the briefs for the Summit and in other preparations. I should therefore be most grateful if any further amendments to the briefs could reach me by the evening of 5 June.

8. I am copying this minute to Mr. Ricketts, Mr. Peretz, Mr. Taylor and Mr. McCarthy.

A. J. COLES

1 June 1984

CONFIDENTIAL



(ARTAW)

ECONOMIC SUMMIT

Keynote Speech

Warm welcome to London - and to this opening session of our Economic Summit.

May I extend a special welcome to Signor Craxi, the only head of delegation who was not present at the very successful Summit held in Williamsburg under President Reagan's chairmanship last year.

We all have much experience of talking together. I am confident that that will enable us to achieve, in the next two days, a great measure of common understanding and agreement.

The recovery of the world economy has made welcome progress since our meeting last year. We shall want at this meeting to concentrate on how to sustain the recovery over the coming years and how to tackle the problems which remain.

At recent Summits we have agreed that our objective is recovery associated with the confirmed reduction of inflation. We have further emphasised the need, in pursuing that aim, to discipline monetary growth and public expenditure.

The recovery will be sustained only if we pursue it on that basis. This is not an easy or comfortable strategy for any of us. But we know that the freedom, strength and prosperity of our societies could not survive a continuation of the undisciplined and indulgent policies of the last decade.

Looking back we can now see that when we met at Versailles we were at the nadir of the recession. Last year we were able to welcome the first signs of recovery. Today we can say with more confidence that the recovery is strengthening, that its effects are spreading widely, and that the shape of the recovery, based on the strategies we have adopted, gives good grounds for hoping it will be sustained.

That must surely be the first message to go out from this Summit meeting: the strategy is the right one: (it is working;) and we intend to stick to it.

Among ourselves we need to acknowledge that there is still much to be done. All our countries, in different degrees, have made progress in reducing inflation. But we have not got it out of the system yet. There are many pressures which could spark it off again. We must all be conscious of worry - for our own economies and even more for the prospects of many debtor countries - over the high level of world interest rates.

A basic problem for all of us is the need to restrain public expenditures in the face of widespread pressures and against the easy expectations which have unfortunately built up in the past years and which are still powerful. We face heavy commitments and rising demands for social security provisions in most of our countries. The real humanitarian needs must not be denied. But none of us can afford more than we can effectively earn or prudently borrow. We need to establish and keep limits. And we need to curb expectations. This is another - a sterner but very necessary - message which we need to convey to our people.

In the world outside our own countries and economies a pressing problem - currently the subject of considerable concern - is that of debt. In our discussions we shall need to consider our strategy for dealing with the groups of major debtors. The central need is for these countries themselves to take as promptly as possible measures of adjustment. Such measures are in any case ultimately unavoidable. There are no easy or painless solutions. But we can chart some directions in which creditors and international financial institutions can help, in which debtor countries can be enabled to ease their problems, and the problems themselves be shown to be manageable. And we must give them hope that their efforts will not be undermined by high interest rates.


In contrast to earlier times, we are fortunate in possessing effective international institutions. Through the Summits and many other meetings we have well established means to develop a common understanding of the world's problems and to achieve the international cooperation needed to resolve them.

I suggest we should pool our ideas, and I offer you some examples:

- what can be achieved is seen, for example, in South Korea and Indonesia - two years ago on all our lists of potentially dangerous cases, but now no longer; and in Mexico - whose strenuous efforts in cooperation with the IMF and other creditors are beginning restore confidence;
- where debtors are beginning to restore confidence, creditors may well be willing to contemplate longer-term rescheduling of debt;

- many debtor countries possess substantial assets of natural and industrial resources; many potential foreign investors would be interested, particularly if there were an agreed international code of investment protection, in a participating equity stake in those resources; the desire to retain domestic control is understandable, but the financial benefits of allowing such investment could greatly ease the burdens of debt - and it is worth noting that the countries which have welcomed such investment have tended to be among those developing most rapidly;
- for the longer-term, we should surely seek all ways of encouraging direct equity investment: it is healthier than short-term bank finance, it may well be more readily available than such finance in the future, and it brings undoubted advantages of management and technological expertise and world-wide trading connections;
- again for the longer-term, should we not look to the World Bank Group of institutions to play a larger role, to gear their lending to performance and to act as a catalyst to attract private capital?

There is no escape from handling individual problems separately - every country is different. But we must show our joint concern and show that we have a framework for action over the years ahead which gives hope to the debtor countries of overcoming their problems and restoring confidence for the future.



The developing countries are a very widely varied group, and their economic experience in very recent years has shown remarkable differences. Some of the poorest in Africa have suffered not only recession but a sequence of years of drought. Some, particularly in Asia, have survived the recession robustly and shown a capacity to generate their own growth without running into unmanageable debt.

We need to maintain adequate flows of resources to these countries, including official and multilateral aid. We should also use our influence to encourage and give help with practical measures in those countries to conserve resources, to enhance their own production of food and energy, and to create conditions in which populations are more stable.

Perhaps the largest task which faces us in our own economies is that of adapting our societies to an unprecedented pace of change - unprecedented both in terms of the quantity of new jobs which needs to be created as traditional industries have declined and in terms of the flexibility needed to take advantage of the opportunities provided by rapidly developing new technologies.

It is a striking comparison between our countries that progress in reducing unemployment has been more rapid in the United States and Japan than elsewhere, even allowing for the relatively early recovery in those countries. This may have lessons for the rest of us. Is there a link with the fact that those are the two countries in which the claims of public expenditure pre-empt a relatively smaller proportion of total national output? Do we need to give more scope to private industry and enterprise to promote the process of adaptation to change?

It seems that in the European countries, there is less enthusiasm for change. There is certainly a legacy of

unhelpful habits, practices and government measures and laws - many of them designed to protect employment, but now constituting obstacles to the creation of new jobs.

I hope we could explore together some of the ways in which we can promote a more rapid acceptance of change - indeed a welcome for it. How can we stimulate a livelier industrial response to technological change and new market demands? How can we remove obstacles and give positive encouragement to the mobility of labour and flexibility in its use? Many of us have adopted measures to encourage innovative small businesses; should we not also discourage those measures which prop up declining industries? We cannot afford short-term horizons.

This accent on change is another message I would like to send out strongly from the London Summit.

We need to face the challenge of change also in international trade - both to give market opportunities to developing countries to earn the means to pay their debts: and, ultimately, to strengthen the dynamic basis of our own economies.

We are accustomed to conflicts of interest in our own countries: short-term preservation of employment against new and growing opportunities for the future; protection of this or that sectoral interest against the wider conditions and opportunities of the economy as a whole. The backward-looking pressures are understandably at their strongest when economic prospects are depressed. Now that the recovery is stronger, I hope we might add to its future strength by committing ourselves to rely less on export subsidies and other assistance which distorts trade, to shelter less behind protection of trade in goods and in services, and to remove distorting limitations on our

capital markets. I hope we can encourage specific and practical moves in these directions.

This recognition of the need for change must be tempered by a clear indication of concern about the ways in which industrial societies deal with the national and international impact of industrial processes on the environment. As you will know from the material you already have, I have made a specific proposal for further work on this by the Versailles Working Group on Technology, Growth and Employment.

(Conclude with a suggested order of discussion).

PRIME MINISTER

file

Pre- Summit Press Conference

Phillips & Drew are running a story about the need for a package of expenditure cuts in the summer. The Treasury are making efforts to dismiss this speculation along the lines of the attached note. This could come up at this afternoon's Press Conference. You should also seek to damp down this story by:

- (i) reaffirming the Government's determination to bring inflation down further and by countering claims that the underlying rate of inflation is edging upwards;
- (ii) stressing that fiscal and monetary policy is still on track;
- (ii) emphasising, as Phillips & Drew concede, that UK policies are in better balance than in the US, and that this is providing some degree of protection from events abroad.

The May unemployment figures came out this morning showing a rise of 18,000 seasonally adjusted - see the attached note. You could be asked whether this indicates that the recovery is faltering. You could say that the rise in unemployment is disappointing, though other indicators of the labour market are more favourable; vacancies have now risen for three months in a row and the numbers of people in work have also been rising. The Government sticks to its belief that the creation of genuine jobs is best secured by the pursuit of sound financial policies, coupled with efforts to improve the workings of the labour market.

/ - Industrial



You could draw on the following bull points:

- Industrial output in Q1 1984 up 3½ per cent on a year earlier.
- Capital expenditure by industry up 11½ per cent in Q1 on a year earlier.
- Manufactured export volumes up 8½ per cent in Q1 on a year earlier.
- Retail spending still buoyant.

Financial markets have been in better heart today. The gilts market has risen again, by  $\text{£}\frac{3}{4}$  for mediums and  $\text{£}1$  for longs. Money market rates are slightly lower at  $9\frac{7}{8}$  for three months. The exchange rate is firm, having strengthened against the Dollar to 1.3950. Nevertheless, you could be asked about the possibility of a further rise in base rates.

FI Index  
up 5.7 at  
1.00 pm.

Treasury recommend the following line to take:

"I believe that money markets have been calmer today. It seems to be recognised that the short term anxieties which influenced them earlier in the week were exaggerated. As the Chancellor confirmed recently, the growth of both narrow and broad monetary aggregates remains within the target ranges set at the time of the Budget."

HT

ANDREW TURNBULL

1 June, 1984

FROM: M T FOLGER  
DATE: 31 May 1984

(A)

CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER

1 Mr Williams

2 Mr Shabbard

MUS 31/5

cc Chief Secretary  
Financial Secretary  
Sir P Middleton  
Mr Bailey  
Sir T Burns  
Mr Cassell  
Mr Battishill  
Mr H P Evans  
Mr Lankester  
Mr Scholar✓  
Mr Culpin  
Miss Peirson  
Mr Shields  
Mr Page  
Mr A Smith  
Mr MacKinnon  
Mr Lord  
Mr Ridley  
Mr Portillo  
JD/02

PHILLIPS AND DREW JUNE FORECAST

1. P&D's latest assessment will be released on Friday, 1 June. Their forecasts are summarised in the attached note. The main changes from last month's forecast include a reduction in the balance of payments current account surplus from £1½bn to £800m as oil imports increase in response to the coal strike. Their views on output growth for this year remain unchanged and broadly in line with the Treasury view. However inflation increases slightly to reach 5¼ per cent by 1985Q4.
2. P&D have taken the opportunity, in their accompanying press notice (copy attached), to start a hare about the case for "a package" similar to the July 1983 £1 billion of public spending reductions. It is not clear whether they would see this as aimed at the current year (as the July 1983 package was) or covering later years too. (In their forecast they speak of a possible overshoot on public spending in 1985-86.) The reasoning for their recommendation is obscure - though they speak loosely of "a credibility gap" having "arisen whether justified or not between the Government's presumed intentions and its actions". They suggest that "domestic inflation expectations are now probably rising."
3. Suggested line to take:  
On the forecast Output and inflation forecasts broadly in line with Budget forecast for 1984. Balance of payments figure is lower but subject to large error, just as official forecasts are.

Basis of forecast rise in inflation in 1985 not at all clear.

on a "package" (as discussed with GEP)

(i) suggestion of rising inflationary expectations not well-founded. Note that Gavyn Davies of Simon and Coates, (bulletin to be issued 4 June) reported in FT on 31 May as seeing underlying rate of increase of producer output prices as having fallen so far this year. That is consistent with Budget forecast of RPI inflation edging down through the year.

(ii) recent statistics give no reason to suppose that fiscal and monetary position out of line with Budget forecast:

(a) target monetary aggregates have recently been growing at rates within 1984-85 target ranges

(b) PSBR expected to be heavily front-end loaded and April PSBR (£2.4 billion) has to be interpreted in that context

(c) [IF PRESSED] government's supply spending in April distorted by new carry-over arrangements, in particular carry-over of £½ billion of defence spending. So provides no reason to believe 1984-85 spending limits are threatened.

Neil MacKinnon

pp M T FOLGER

# Phillips & Drew ECONOMICS UNIT

## PRESS INFORMATION

Embargo: 00.01 hours Friday 1 June 1984

Further information: Dr. Paul Neild 01-628 4444 Night: 0480-65993

### TROUBLES IN PERSPECTIVE

When confidence is undermined it is easy to lose a sense of perspective regarding underlying economic trends. The large US budget deficit, US banking difficulties, Latin American debt and the response of the Federal Reserve to escalating US inflation expectations have combined to weaken confidence in the world economy. Simultaneously, a perception that the UK Government has diluted its anti-inflation stance has meant that the credibility of the Government's own economic strategy has been put into doubt at home. However, it is necessary to put these developments in perspective.

The US economy is in fundamental imbalance. This is serious. The budget deficit keeps interest rates higher than otherwise, which boosts capital inflows and underpins the dollar. The resulting uncompetitiveness of US production fosters a massive trade deficit. An upturn in activity on this basis cannot be sustained beyond the point at which inflation expectations begin to escalate. This point has been reached. The US represents 40% of free-world output. It is difficult for the UK to insulate itself from nearly half the world. This can only be done if there is absolute confidence in policies at home.

Unfortunately, this is no longer the case. A credibility gap has arisen, no matter whether justified or not, between the Government's presumed intentions and its actions. The Government's intention is presumably to keep inflation at worst on a stable path and at best on a downward path. The latest (April) output price and retail price figures have left a feeling of uneasiness that neither of these objectives is being attained. Meanwhile, the well-known front-end loading of the PSBR in conjunction with strong private sector lending has put the Government's FM3 guideline potentially in jeopardy, even though it is not being exceeded at the moment. Hence domestic inflation expectations are now probably rising, along with those in the US.

Contd/.....

PAUL NEILD DAVID ROBINS BRENDAN BROWN TIM O'DELL  
STEPHEN LEWIS CHRIS ANTHONY BILL MARTIN JOHN SILLS

The first point to make here is that the UK Government is in a much better position to deal with this escalation than is the US Administration. UK fiscal and monetary policies are in much better balance. The second point to make is that, as in the US, actual cost pressures, as opposed to potential pressures, are being largely contained. A firm policy move now can stop the rather more pessimistic expectations from being turned into reality.

The Government has already accepted higher base rates. Last July the Chancellor introduced a flbn package aimed at reducing public sector net spending. Circumstances were different then in that we had a new Chancellor operating in a post-Election environment. It is no doubt more difficult for a Chancellor to accept that similar action may be needed this year, when the ink on his first Budget is hardly dry. Yet such a package would go a long way towards restoring confidence in what is basically a much more soundly based economic revival than the example provided by the United States.

Failure to act may still see the present difficulties overcome. But it cannot be guaranteed to do so.

Phillips & Drew  
120 Moorgate  
London EC2M 6XP  
Telephone 01-628 4444  
Telex 291163

B.A.M. Cottrell  
P.W. Parker TD FIA  
J.K. Taylor  
B.H. Fison FIA  
G.T. Birks  
P.M.D. Gibbs FCA  
H.H. Sparks  
M.G. Hall AIA  
C.J. Lewis FIA  
G.M. Redman-Brown  
P.J.C. Smallwood

D.J. Harrold  
P.J.W. Harrison  
D.R. Walton Masters  
A.R.P. Bird  
K.E. Percy  
P.G. Neild  
J.I.F. Perry  
W.R.C. Dyson  
H.M. Harris Hughes  
P.A. Stott  
E.V. O'Sullivan

F.E. Leonard  
C.H. Eaves FCA  
D.H.T. Bates  
A.R.L. Pearson  
R.L. Lawrence  
G.R. Gray  
T.F. Brown FCA  
P.J. Williams  
W.T. Holmes  
T.W. Buckland  
W.T. Seward

M. Freyd  
K.J. Humphries  
S.J. Lewis  
R.C.C. Saville  
J.N. Allan FFA AIA  
C.H.V. Collins  
J. Hemingway  
The Hon. Philip Howard  
J.B. Hyslop  
C.A. Marsh  
J.B. Marsh FCA

R.S. Baldwin  
W.J. Horwood  
A.P. Jones  
P.M.C. Meredith FIA  
M.D. Bayliffe  
J.A. Bradley  
P.M. Caulkett  
P.A.C. Churcher  
A.H. Gregory IPFA  
R.D. Leicester  
P.J. Packard

Head of Equity Research  
K.W.B. Inglis FFA  
Resident Members in Jersey  
A.H. Stewart  
C.R. Cavill  
Consultants  
T.J. Cann  
H.R. Hall OBE TD  
R.J. Pincham CBE  
A.F. Twist

### PHILLIPS AND DREW (JUNE) FORECAST

Latest assessment continues to remain close to the Treasury view on output and inflation this year. 1984 GDP increases at a similar rate to last year (about 3 per cent) but with stronger contributions from exports and investment. Retail price inflation falls to about 5 per cent by end-1984, rising slightly to 5½ per cent by end-1985.

#### ASSUMPTIONS

- OECD real GNP grows by 4½ per cent this year reflecting continuation of strong growth in USA and accelerating activity in Europe and Japan. As a result UK export markets expand by 4-5 per cent this year and, despite a slowdown in US growth, 4 per cent in 1985. OECD inflation rises steadily over the next two years to peak at 7½ per cent in mid-1985: the impact of increasing activity is partly offset by the effect of high unemployment on earnings. Total non-oil commodity prices (in constant currency terms) rise by 2 per cent from end-1983 to end-1984 and by 3 per cent in the following year. The official crude oil price remains at \$29/bl up to end-1985.

#### POLICY ASSESSMENT

- Argued that fiscal policy is procyclical because PSBR target of £7½bn for 1984-85 is higher than what might have been expected once nature of Budget measures, asset sales and cyclical position are taken into account. This indicates "a shift in policy emphasis" away from concentrating on the anti-inflationary bias to policy towards concern over unemployment."

Positive fiscal adjustments are assumed to be limited to £1bn pa upto 1988-89 (amounting to less than a third of the planned cumulative fiscal adjustment of £13½bn in the new MTF5) reflecting real increases in public expenditure and "balance of payments constraints on growth and inflation." Claimed that "credibility gap" has arisen over government's anti-inflation objectives; a firm policy move (similar to last year's package) is required to dampen domestic inflationary expectations.

#### FORECAST DETAILS

- Little change is expected in the saving ratio but rpdI grows by 3 per cent this year and next. This underpins growth in consumer spending of 2½-3 per cent in 1984 and about 2½ per cent in 1985.

- Budget measures expected to bring forward investment expenditures. As a result manufacturing investment (inc. leased assets) rises by 10-12 per cent this year compared to 6½-7 per cent pre-budget. Total fixed investment grows by 5½ per cent in 1984.

- Rising OECD activity sees export volumes expanding by almost 6 per cent this year while non-oil export volumes expand by 4 per cent. The current account shows a surplus of £0.8bn for 1984 (down on their previous forecast) but moves into virtual balance in 1985.

- Average earnings grow by 7-7½ per cent in the current round with slightly lower settlements offset by higher wage drift. Inflationary pressures remain subdued and retail price inflation moves slowly up to 5½ -6 per cent by end-1985.

#### **KEY INDICATORS**

(May forecast in brackets)

	GDP(A) (% chg on prev yr)	RPI (% chg on prev year - Q4)	Unemployment (UK adults-Q4) millions	Balance of payments on current account (£bn)	PSBR (£bn -fiscal year)
1984	3.2 (3.2)	5.0 (4.9)	2.98 (2.97)	0.8(1.5)	8.0 (8.0)
1985	2.2 (2.1)	5.9 (5.5)	2.89 (2.85)	-0.1 (-0.6)	6.7 (6.7)

PRIME MINISTER

Economic Summit : Briefing Meeting

I do not think you need discuss your Keynote statement in detail at this meeting. I attach a revised draft based on your earlier comments. But those attending the meeting do not have this version - and I suggest that we work on it separately (we have set aside time next week).

Nor do I think you should spend this meeting discussing press and administrative arrangements. I am arranging for you to visit Lancaster House early on Wednesday and we can focus on the details then.

At this meeting we need to settle our approach on the main questions of substance. The briefs are attached. You may want to take items in the following order:-

- (a) Declaration on Democratic Values - will anyone raise difficulties?
- (b) International Terrorism - is the press statement at Annex E to the steering brief all right? *Council of Europe*
- (c) Economic Issues
  - economic recovery
  - interest rates
  - debt
  - trade/protectionism
  - environment
- (d) North/South - idea of a second Cancun? *Key policy institutions*  
*remedies.*  
*Gp of Ten - Int. Comm.*  
*IMF.*
- (e) Political Issues
  - The Gulf
  - East/West Relations
  - Arms Control
- (f) Any other points of difficulty?

31 May 1984

A.J.C.

## ECONOMIC SUMMIT

### Keynote Speech

Warm welcome to London - and to this opening session of our Economic Summit.

May I extend a special welcome to Signor Craxi, the only head of delegation who was not present at the very successful Summit held in Williamsburg under President Reagan's chairmanship last year.

We all have much experience of talking together. I am confident that that will enable us to achieve, in the next two days, a great measure of common understanding and agreement.

The recovery of the world economy has made welcome progress since our meeting last year. We shall want at this meeting to concentrate on how to sustain the recovery over the coming years and how to tackle the problems which remain.

At recent Summits we have agreed that our objective is recovery associated with the ~~continued~~ reduction of inflation. We have further emphasised the need, in pursuing that aim, to discipline monetary growth and public expenditure.

The recovery will be sustained only if we pursue it on that basis. This is not an easy or comfortable strategy for any of us. But we know that the freedom, strength and prosperity of our societies could not survive a continuation of the undisciplined and indulgent policies of the last decade.



Looking back we can now see that when we met at Versailles we were at the nadir of the recession. Last year we were able to welcome the first signs of recovery. Today we can say with more confidence that the recovery is strengthening, that its effects are spreading widely, and that the shape of the recovery, based on the strategies we have adopted, gives good grounds for hoping it will be sustained.

That must surely be the first message to go out from this Summit meeting: the strategy is the right one: (it is working;) and we intend to stick to it.

Among ourselves we need to acknowledge that there is still much to be done. All our countries, in different degrees, have made progress in reducing inflation. But we have not got it out of the system yet. There are many pressures which could spark it off again. We must all be conscious of worry - for our own economies and even more for the prospects of many debtor countries - over the ~~rising~~<sup>high</sup> level of interest rates, in the United States, with its consequences for other countries. [We cannot credibly claim to have mastered inflation and set the basis for sustained recovery while interest rates are held so high]

A basic problem for all of us is the need to restrain public expenditures in the face of widespread pressures and against the easy expectations which have unfortunately built up in the past years and which are still powerful. We face heavy commitments and rising demands for social security provisions in most of our countries. The real humanitarian needs must not be denied. But none of us can afford more than we can effectively earn or prudently borrow. We need to establish and keep limits. And we need to curb expectations. This is another - a sterner but very necessary - message which we need to convey to our people.

In the world outside our own countries and economies a pressing problem - currently the subject of considerable concern - is that of debt. In our discussions we shall need to consider our strategy for dealing with the groups of major debtors. The central need is for these countries themselves to take as promptly as possible measures of adjustment. Such measures are in any case ultimately unavoidable. There are no easy or painless solutions. But we can chart some directions in which creditors and international financial institutions can help, in which debtor countries can be enabled to ease their problems, and the problems themselves be shown to be manageable. And we must give them hope that their efforts will not be undermined by high interest rates.

In contrast to earlier times, we are fortunate in possessing effective international institutions. Through the Summits and many other meetings we have well established means to develop a common understanding of the world's problems and to achieve the international cooperation needed to resolve them.

I suggest we should pool our ideas, and I offer you some examples:

- what can be achieved is seen, for example, in South Korea and Indonesia - two years ago on all our lists of potentially dangerous cases, but now no longer; and in Mexico - whose strenuous efforts in cooperation with the IMF and other creditors are beginning restore confidence;
- where debtors are beginning to restore confidence,

creditors may well be willing to contemplate longer-term <sup>rescheduling</sup> ~~restructuring~~ of debt, rather than ~~hand-to-mouth rescheduling~~;

- many debtor countries possess substantial assets of natural and industrial resources; many potential foreign investors would be interested, particularly if there were an agreed international code of investment protection, in a participating equity stake in those resources; the desire to retain domestic control is understandable, but the financial benefits of allowing such investment could greatly ease the burdens of debt - and it is worth noting that the countries which have welcomed such investment have tended to be among those developing most rapidly;
- for the longer-term, we should surely seek all ways of encouraging direct equity investment: it is healthier than short-term bank finance, it may well be more readily available than such finance in the future, and it brings undoubted advantages of management and technological expertise and world-wide trading connections;
- again for the longer-term, should we not look to the World Bank Group of institutions to play a larger role, to gear their lending to performance and to act as a catalyst to attract private capital?

There is no escape from handling individual problems separately - every country is different. But we must show our joint concern and show that we have a framework for action over the years ahead which gives hope to the debtor countries of overcoming their problems and restoring confidence for the future.

The developing countries are a very widely varied group, and their economic experience in very recent years has shown remarkable differences. Some of the poorest in Africa have suffered not only recession but a sequence of years of drought. Some, particularly in Asia, have survived the recession robustly and shown a capacity to generate their own growth without running into unmanageable debt.

We need to maintain adequate flows of resources to these countries, including official and multilateral aid. should also use our influence to encourage and give help with practical measures in those countries to conserve resources, to enhance their own production of food and energy, and to create conditions in which populations are more stable.

Perhaps the largest task which faces us in our own economies is that of adapting our societies to an unprecedented pace of change - unprecedented both in terms of the quantity of new jobs which needs to be created as traditional industries have declined and in terms of the flexibility needed to take advantage of the opportunities provided by rapidly developing new technologies.

It is a striking comparison between our countries that progress in reducing unemployment has been more rapid in the United States and Japan than elsewhere, even allowing for the relatively early recovery in those countries. This may have lessons for the rest of us. Is there a link with the fact that those are the two countries in which the claims of public expenditure pre-empt a relatively smaller proportion of total national output? Do we need to give more scope to private industry and enterprise to promote the process of adaptation to change?

It seems that in the European countries, there is less enthusiasm for change. There is certainly a legacy of

unhelpful habits, practices and government measures and laws - many of them designed to protect employment, but now constituting obstacles to the creation of new jobs.

I hope we could explore together some of the ways in which we can promote a more rapid acceptance of change - indeed a welcome for it. How can we stimulate a livelier industrial response to technological change and new market demands? How can we remove obstacles and give positive encouragement to the mobility of labour and flexibility in its use? Many of us have adopted measures to encourage innovative small businesses; should we not also discourage those measures which prop up declining industries? We cannot afford short-term horizons.

This accent on change is another message I would like to send out strongly from the London Summit.

We need to face the challenge of change also in international trade - both to give market opportunities to developing countries to earn the means to pay their debts: and, ultimately, to strengthen the dynamic basis of our own economies.

We are accustomed to conflicts of interest in our own countries: short-term preservation of employment against new and growing opportunities for the future; protection of this or that sectoral interest against the wider conditions and opportunities of the economy as a whole. The backward-looking pressures are understandably at their strongest when economic prospects are depressed. Now that the recovery is stronger, I hope we might add to its future strength by committing ourselves to rely less on export subsidies and other assistance which distorts trade, to shelter less behind protection of trade in goods and in services, and to remove distorting limitations on our

capital markets. I hope we can encourage specific and practical moves in these directions.

This recognition of the need for change must be tempered by a clear indication of concern about the ways in which industrial societies deal with the national and international impact of industrial processes on the environment. As you will know from the material you already have, I have made a specific proposal for further work on this by the Versailles Working Group on Technology, Growth and Employment.

(Conclude with a suggested order of discussion).

DRAFT PRESS STATEMENT

Thank you for coming along this afternoon. I thought it might be useful if I gave you a briefing on the London Economic Summit which opens next Thursday, June 7.

I am looking forward to receiving the Heads of State or Government of the six other countries represented and the President of the European Community. As you know, this is the 10th Economic Summit and my sixth.

In fact the only newcomer to our meetings will be Mr Craxi. But just as London will be Mr Craxi's first Summit, so it will be Prime Minister Trudeau's last - the last of the seven he has in fact attended.

It will not have escaped your notice that all the European participants are in the middle of an election - that of the European Assembly. And, of course, President Reagan is seeking re-election in November.

Could I just say few words about the mechanics of the Summit and the programme.

I shall be meeting the Heads of State or Government at the Kensington Palace Gardens Orangery - the first arrival being President Reagan on Monday. The rest of our guests will arrive on Thursday afternoon.

The Summit meetings - of Heads of State and Government, and also of Foreign and Finance Ministers - will be held in Lancaster House. Heads in the Music Room, Foreign Ministers in the State Drawing Room, and Finance Ministers in the Long Gallery.

We plan, however, to introduce our guests and their delegations to our heritage in a variety of ways.

After a reception at St James' Palace next Thursday evening, Heads of State and Government will be my guests for dinner at No 10 Downing Street, where Prime Ministers have been residence since 1735.

On Friday evening, the principals will join me at dinner in the magnificent Tudor Room of the National Portrait Gallery. Foreign Ministers will dine at the Royal Society of Arts and Finance Ministers, appropriately, at the Bank of England.

The Summit's business will conclude on Saturday afternoon at 4pm with the reading of the Summit Declaration in our ancient Guildhall in the City.

On Saturday evening Her Majesty the Queen is to give a banquet for the participants at Buckingham Palace.

There is therefore a very full programme and in addition I am arranging bilateral meetings with each Head of State or Government either before, during or immediately after the Summit.

Could I now turn to the likely pattern of business?

My aim is to have as relaxed a Summit as is possible with something like 3,000 journalists here in London. I intend our discussions to be quite informal but workmanlike and wide-ranging.

We meet without any formal agenda, but I propose to follow the usual pattern of discussing major economic issues on Friday and political issues over meals. We shall bring together our conclusions on Saturday morning, preparatory to the Summit Declaration on Saturday afternoon.

Heads of State and Government in the European Community keep in very close touch. But this Summit will be my first meeting with President Reagan since last September and my first with Mr Nakasone since Williamsburg.

This gives you the clue to the real value of Economic Summits - our meeting together in informal discussions of the major issues confronting the world.

There is always a tendency on the part of the media, however, to assume, and most certainly to hope, that because 7 or 8 world leaders are gathered together something dramatic, or preferably some dramatically new initiative, will emerge.

But that is not the purpose of Summits. And there are not in any case any magical solutions to our problems, whether they be unemployment or international indebtedness, the Gulf War or East/West relations.



What we seek to achieve is a clearing of minds and a reinforcement of policies each of us believe to be right.

I believe that the effect of Summits over the last 10 years has been for the Western countries to manage the great problems that confront them better and more effectively than we otherwise would have done without having the opportunity, which Summits afford, to get to know each other.

And do not forget the massive problems that have confronted us over the last 10 years; huge oil price increases; the worst recession since the 1930s; a terrible inflation; a great surge in unemployment; the acute indebtedness of the developing world; and all the social and political pressures that these problems give rise to.

Against that background, I believe this series of Summits has played a major role in helping us to conduct our affairs better and with greater regard for our neighbours. Certainly I believe they have helped to keep in check the protectionism which arises in times of recession.

Our major purpose next week will, I believe, be to nurture the economic recovery; to sustain growth without triggering off further inflation; to promote technological and structural change; and to ensure that the benefits of the recovery are spread as widely as possible.

I believe that the citizens we represent will draw some encouragement from those objectives.

Meanwhile, I hope you have an interesting and constructive Summit and that the Connaught Rooms prove to be a good Press Centre.

Questions please.

610

Confederation of British Industry  
Centre Point  
103 New Oxford Street  
London WC1A 1DU  
Telephone 01-379 7400  
Telex 21332

Mr F E R Butler

Mr. Glee ✓  $\frac{22}{5}$   
Mr. Thornbuck  
BT  
31/5



With compliments

For discussion when Sir James Cleminson calls on Sir Geoffrey Howe on 5 June to put CBI views in connection with the London Economic Summit.

Confederation of British Industry  
Centre Point  
103 New Oxford Street  
London  
WC1A 1DU  
Telephone: 01-379 7400



CONFIDENTIAL

C 28 84

CBI SUBMISSION ON INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC POLICY

APPROVED BY THE COUNCIL AT ITS MEETING ON 24 MAY 1984

- 1 The report last year of a CBI Working Party on International Economic Policy identified four key problem areas:
  - a protectionism;
  - b the volatility and pattern of exchange rates;
  - c the burden of indebtedness;
  - d slow growth in the industrial world.
- 2 There has been little significant change in the international economy since then. While the growth rate of the OECD economies as a whole is somewhat higher than expected and inflation is no worse than feared, the same problems of high real interest rates, particularly in the United States, of threats from protectionism, and the burden of indebtedness on developing countries, remain.

International Trade

- 3 The open trading system has been a major engine for economic growth and higher real income and wages in the post-war period. Despite a global recession and increased protectionist pressures, international trade has held up reasonably well and there is hope for a resumption of desirable rates of growth. Further trade restrictions at this critical period could endanger these prospects and the role of the open trading system in resolving the debt crisis still affecting the Less Developed Countries.
- 4 Since the benefits of this trading system are not always immediately evident, while adjustment problems are easily visible, governments are inclined to intervene without considering the widespread harm which can result from such action. Thus the long-term public good served by the open trading system is often eroded by short-term measures.

- 5 Governments should defend and strengthen the principles of the GATT system. Those represented at the London Economic Summit have a special responsibility to maintain and abide by the international trade rules; they will be judged by their performance and should use this occasion to demonstrate their continued commitment to the system by concrete actions. This should include an endorsement of rollback of protectionist measures in a credible and transparent form, supported where possible by specific measures.
- 6 As a positive contribution to an improved trading environment, governments should take action to accelerate the tariff cuts negotiated during the Tokyo Round, and give strong and continuing attention to the early conclusion of outstanding issues still being negotiated within GATT.
- 7 Looking beyond such issues, steps should be taken towards the identification and discussion of others such as trade in services, which may be the subject of future rounds of multilateral negotiations.
- 8 The OECD, in conjunction with GATT, should explore ways of encouraging newly industrialised countries to increase their commitment to GATT obligations. The future of the open trading system depends on successful integration of these participants in global trade and the removal of current inequities. GATT efforts to encourage their participation should be strongly supported.
- 9 Also important is the need to protect against fiscal or other extra-territoriality of which unitary taxation on a worldwide combined reporting basis is a prime example. Progress in the activities of US Treasury Secretary Regan's Working Group, involving recognition of the problems for foreign companies, is welcomed. More remains to be done to reach agreement on a solution and then to secure its effective implementation, which remains our goal.

#### The Burden of Indebtedness

- 10 While the international debt crisis has not erupted there has been a deterioration in the situation since last year. Indebtedness problems continue to affect adversely world trade and output and threaten the integrity of the international financial system. High interest rates in the United States, partly attributable to the large federal budget deficit, are adding to the burden for many countries.
- 11 Debt rescheduling should be undertaken with each country's longer-term economic situation in mind in an effort to reduce the disruptive process of frequent or continuing debt negotiations, and strengthen their capacity for sustained economic growth. Efforts should be made to coordinate accounting and supervisory policies affecting the commercial banking system so that rescheduling terms can be more consistent with the economic realities of the countries concerned.
- 12 The IMF should continue to pursue conditionality, increasing its co-ordination with the World Bank, other international financial institutions, governments and private lenders.

### Exchange Rates

- 13 The volatility of exchange rates continues to create difficulties for business. While fluctuations may have been less marked during the last six to nine months it is important to ensure volatility is further reduced in the future.
- 14 A major source of exchange rate volatility is the United States budget deficit which raises interest rates and creates uncertainty about the future conduct of US economic policy. Countries should pursue macro-economic policies with full consideration of the impact on exchange rates and the effect on other economies.
- 15 Intervention and other temporary measures to offset or smooth the impact on exchange rates of temporary factors such as political disturbances could be effective in improving the predictability of rates and thus facilitating better business decisions.
- 16 It would also be desirable to increase the number of strong currencies able to play a vehicle role in international financial markets. In this connection, the proposed internationalisation of the Yen should be an immediate objective.

### Growth and Inflation

- 17 Since the last Summit world growth forecasts have been revised upwards and inflation remains low. Sustaining growth with low inflation will require that all countries adopt appropriate fiscal and monetary policies.
- 18 It will also require that economies should become more adaptable and flexible. Government policies must therefore pay attention to the supply side of the economy and remove restrictions on the mobility of capital and labour. Tax rates and structures, as well as social expenditures, must be consistent with the incentives necessary for entrepreneurial efforts within the economy.
- 19 Governments should move to reduce distortions to market signals by, for example, removing subsidies, making tax laws more neutral, lowering protectionist trade and investment barriers, and encouraging exchange rates that reflect economic fundamentals.
- 20 While keeping firm control over the level of public expenditure, governments must renew and strengthen their efforts to support basic education, training and retraining so that the work force of the future will match the skill requirements of the economy.

OW

CGP

2



cc MASON  
OPS

EMBASSY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
LONDON, ENGLAND

**PRIME MINISTER'S**

**PERSONAL MESSAGE**

**SERIAL No.** T 90/84

CHARLES H. PRICE II  
AMBASSADOR

May 31, 1984

Prime Minister  
Acknowledged.  
A.S.C. 4/5

Copy: F./C.O. (Mr. Mitchell).

Dear Prime Minister:

I have been asked to deliver the enclosed message to you from President Reagan, which was received at the Embassy this morning.

Sincerely,

Enclosure:

EYES ONLY

The Rt. Hon. Margaret Thatcher, M.P.,  
Prime Minister  
10 Downing Street  
London, S.W.1

EYES ONLY

# US Declassified

May 31, 1984

Dear Margaret:

Charlie Price has brought to my attention your May 11 speech to the Scottish Conservative Party Conference in which you addressed in brilliant and eloquent fashion the true meaning of the European-American partnership. At a time when the Soviets are trying to drive a wedge between us, it is particularly gratifying that you have spoken out so forcefully.

I look forward to seeing you in less than a week and plan to provide you the same stalwart support that you gave me in Williamsburg.

With warm regards,

/s/

Ron

The Rt. Hon. Margaret Thatcher, M.P.,  
Prime Minister  
10 Downing Street  
London, S.W.1





Jerv

PRIME MINISTER

Economic Summit : Briefing Meeting

I do not think you need discuss your Keynote statement in detail at this meeting. I attach a revised draft based on your earlier comments. But those attending the meeting do not have this version - and I suggest that we work on it separately (we have set aside time next week).

Nor do I think you should spend this meeting discussing press and administrative arrangements. I am arranging for you to visit Lancaster House early on Wednesday and we can focus on the details then.

At this meeting we need to settle our approach on the main questions of substance. The briefs are attached. You may want to take items in the following order:-

- (a) Declaration on Democratic Values - will anyone raise difficulties?
- (b) International Terrorism - is the press statement at Annex E to the steering brief all right?
- (c) Economic Issues
  - economic recovery
  - interest rates
  - debt
  - trade/protectionism
  - environment
- (d) North/South - idea of a second Cancun?
- (e) Political Issues
  - The Gulf
  - East/West Relations
  - Arms Control
- (f) Any other points of difficulty?

31 May 1984

A-B-C

W.0394

31 May 1984

MR HATFIELD

LONDON ECONOMIC SUMMIT

As we agreed I attach drafts of:

- (a) a speaking note for the Prime Minister to support the UK's Environmental Initiative including an annex of priority research areas on acid deposition as per David Barclay's minute of 30 May to John Ballard
- (b) a defensive brief on acid rain as requested in David Barclay's minute to you of 29 May.

Although both briefs have been prepared with the assistance of the DoE and the FCO, neither has been cleared by them so I am sending copies of this minute and the attachments to Martin Holdgate (DoE) and John Gray (FCO), with a request that they let Elizabeth Ransom have any comments as soon as possible. Copies also go to David Barclay and David Colvin.

ROBIN B NICHOLSON  
Chief Scientific Adviser

PH

Sub  
1/6

Draft Speaking note on Environmental Initiative by Cabinet Office

It is timely for us to consider environmental issues in more depth in the context of the Summit, because as responsible Governments, we have to consider the possible impact of industrial policies on the environment. Of course, we do not wish to interfere with the proper concern of other international groupings dealing with environmental matters.

2. But the Summit has a role both in demonstrating the importance we attach to this subject and in encouraging a balanced approach to the problem in which cost effective measures to reduce environmental pollution are introduced in the light of the best scientific evidence available and are paralleled by a more co-ordinated international approach to the research and development necessary to improve our scientific understanding of these complicated phenomena.

3. Let me give some examples from the important 'acid rain' problem. I am concerned that more than 10 years after the problem was recognised, there are continuing uncertainties about the relationship between emissions from power stations and environmental damage, which demand a far better model of the atmospheric chemistry involved in converting sulphur and nitrogen oxides into acids, the role of photochemical oxidants in this process and the geographic and climatic conditions which accelerate or depress the reactions.

4. Furthermore, there has been a rather simple assumption that 'acid rain' is at the root of the two most serious environmental problems, fresh water

fish depopulation and forest damage. Increasingly it looks as if we are dealing with two separate phenomena, and that forest damage is far more closely tied up with local levels of ozone, which in turn may have more to do with vehicle emissions than power station emissions.

5. Simple factual evidence is also remarkably thin and much data is derived from calculations rather than measurement, while some of the measurements are of doubtful accuracy and have been made over a limited period of time.

6. But 'acid rain' is only one of the key areas of environmental concern in the study we are proposing to remit to the Technology, Growth and Employment Working Group, which itself drew attention to the importance of international collaboration. The study is designed to produce an up to date picture of the state of scientific knowledge, to document the collaborative research already under way and to inform us if there are gaps which might call for increased effort. The group would also be asked to consider the scope for industrial collaboration in developing more cost effective abatement technologies.

7. [In response to those who might say that this is a substitute for action, I would argue that scientific and technological research is a vital part of the decision-making process through which we arrive at cost effective and efficient solutions. We cannot afford to waste time in combating these serious problems, but that indeed would be the consequence of precipitate and ill-conceived action directed at curbing the wrong emission, or the right emission but at the wrong place.]

8. I believe that the results of this initiative will underpin the various calls for international action with a better understanding of the problems.

It is likely that political pressure for action will continue, and possibly grow, in our countries, and we must anticipate these demands by equipping ourselves with the knowledge which will allow us to pursue vigorously the most sensible counter-pollution policies.

## ANNEX

### Current and future research on 'acid deposition'

The CEGB and NCB have funded a research programme on acid deposition and fish depopulation which is being jointly managed by the Royal Society, the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences and the Norwegian Academy of Science and Letters. The Management Group recently held its first meeting at which Sir John Mason presented his paper on 'The current status of research on acidification of surface waters'. This paper was the basis of his presentation at Chequers on 27 May.

2. Following the Chequers presentation the following areas of research were agreed as priorities in the urgent need to understand better the problem of acid deposition and its effect on fish depopulation and forest die-back:

- (a) the causes of forest decline and the key variables in the process including the possible identification of resistant strains
- (b) the effects on forest decline of different land and catchment area management policies
- (c) the causes of fresh water changes and the key natural and man-induced variables
- (d) better measurements of air pollutants in Europe, especially rain acidity, NO<sub>x</sub> and photochemical oxidants
- (e) the role of photochemical oxidants in converting primary pollutants into chemicals which cause ecological damage
- (f) technological developments to improve the cost effectiveness of abatement techniques including power station and vehicle emissions.

Addendum to Brief 16a

Draft Defensive brief on acid rain

A. SO<sub>2</sub> emissions

1. The UK has reduced SO<sub>2</sub> emissions by one third since the peak year in 1970 (6.12 million tonnes SO<sub>2</sub> in 1970, 3.99 million tonnes in 1982).
2. Our share of European (excluding USSR) emissions has fallen from 25% in 1950 to 11% in 1982.
3. Our share of total sulphur deposited in countries in Continental Europe is very small except in Norway (19% in Norway, 9% in Sweden, only 7% in Germany). 21% of sulphur deposited in the UK comes from the Continent (mainly France).

B. NOx emissions

4. The UK emits only 7% of the NOx emitted in Europe (1982 figures UK 1.73 million tonnes NOx, Europe 24.7 million tonnes) - the UK figure has been roughly constant for the past 10 years.
5. The UK emits 40% less NOx than Germany (1978 figures UK 1.80 million tonnes, Germany 3.00 million tonnes) - the reason is the higher vehicle population and larger average engine size in Germany.

C. Forest damage in Germany

6. German Government statements now admit that their forest die-back is not simply due to acid rain. (Their paper for the Munich Conference at the end of June includes the sentence "Initially acid precipitation was seen as the main cause of damage: recently, however, opinion has tended

towards the idea that photochemical oxidants developed from nitrogen oxides, under the impact of sunlight and particularly ozone, also play a significant part".)

7. The EC has also recognised this by bringing forward proposals to restrict vehicle emissions as well as power station emissions. The UK's preferred technology for meeting the 1989 vehicle emission standards will be lean-burn engines (low first cost, 10% better fuel economy rather than 3-way catalysts of doubtful service performance (high first cost, 10% worse fuel economy).

8. Because of the prevailing wind, UK exports of pollutants to Germany are negligible. The primary pollutants causing the German forest problem are vehicle emission in Germany and, especially, sulphur dioxide emissions in Eastern Europe.

D. UK policy on environmental protection

9. The UK's policy is to take swift, cost-effective action when a source of pollution is clearly identified, eg:

(a) Emissions of smoke have fallen 85% since 1958 as a result of the Clean Air Acts

(b) Rivers classed as grossly polluted have fallen from 7% to 2½% since 1958 - salmon are in the Thames for the first time since 1835

(c) Sulphur dioxide emissions have fallen by one third since 1970

(d) We are the first country in Europe to decide to go for lead-free petrol.

10. The UK does not believe in enforcing uniform action across Europe when the purpose is simply to apply the same economic burden to all countries irrespective of the size of their contributions to the environmental problem. (The Tenth Report of the Royal Commission on Environmental Protection stated: "We reject the suggestion that natural advantages of geography are a distortion of competition which should somehow be eliminated").

11. The UK believes that the draft EC large plant directive is not a



balanced approach to the solution of ecological problems because it fails to take account of modern trends in our understanding of these problems - the cost to the UK would be prohibitive (CEGB estimate a capital cost of £1400 million and an annual cost of £350 million) and the benefits minimal. The UK will argue for a balanced programme with a more positive relation between cost and likely benefit.

12. The UK continues to have a substantial and vigorous research and development programme on the atmospheric chemistry of acid precipitation, on ecological damage and on abatement technologies for power stations and vehicles:

(a) the CEGB and NCB have funded a major R&D programme in Europe on acid deposition and fish depopulation. It is managed on an independent basis by the National Scientific Academies of the UK, Sweden and Norway.

(b) The private and public sectors of the UK car industry have developed lean-burn engines as a cost effective means of controlling vehicle emissions.

(c) The CEGB has a £50 million R&D programme on new abatement technologies for SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from power stations.

SECRET

ANNEXE

754



Foreign and Commonwealth Office

London SW1A 2AH

31 May 1984

R Hatfield Esq  
Cabinet Office  
Whitehall  
LONDON SW1

CABINET OFFICE	
A	3921
31 MAY 1984	
FILING INSTRUCTIONS	
FILE No. ....	

c- Mr Colvin

Dear Mr Hatfield

1. Following the Prime Minister's meeting on 23 May Sir Robert Armstrong asked Michael Partridge to provide a draft statement on terrorism for use at the Summit.
2. A revised draft agreed with Michael Partridge is attached and we have also agreed with the Home Office that our Secretary of State will table this for discussion at the Prime Minister's meeting tomorrow. In view of the more conciliatory attitude towards a declaration which M. Cheysson showed during discussions amongst Foreign Ministers in Washington this week we will also have available a draft declaration which might be used if there is pressure for one from other participants, particularly the US.
3. I am copying this letter to David Hilary at the Home Office.

Yours Eves.

Alan Jones

pp. J W D Gray  
Maritime, Aviation and  
Environment Department

## ECONOMIC SUMMIT: DRAFT STATEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM

1. During the course of our discussions we considered the continued growth in international terrorism and the need for states to take action both nationally and in conjunction with others to combat this threat. We all view with serious concern the increasing involvement of states and governments in terrorist acts, including the abuse of diplomatic immunity, as in the recent murder of a policewoman in St James's Square. We recognise the importance which must attach to the inviolability of diplomatic missions and to the requirements of international law. But that law brings obligations as well as rights. We will be seeking to strengthen existing measures against international terrorism and to develop effective new ones. The sort of ideas we discussed were:

- a) closer co-operation in the exchange of information about threatened acts of terrorism and those engaged in international terrorism;
- b) the expulsion or exclusion of known terrorists including persons of diplomatic status suspected of involvement in terrorism;
- c) the strict application of the Vienna Convention as it affects the status of diplomats, the size of diplomatic missions and the number of buildings enjoying diplomatic immunity;
- d) the implications of international terrorism for the rules of the Vienna Convention; and
- e) the possibility that domestic legislation contains gaps which might be exploited by terrorists.

In ways such as these we intend to take the lead in developing action among the international community as a whole to prevent and punish terrorist acts and to identify and condemn those states which sponsor them. In our view, this is a problem which affects the international community as a whole and not only those assembled here.

MARITIME, AVIATION AND  
ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

31 May 1984

SECRET

~~SECRET~~

CONFIDENTIAL

ANNEX E to FMV(84)1

PRESS STATEMENT ON INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM

(Draft of 31 May 1984)

During the course of our discussions, we considered the continued growth in international terrorism and the need for states to take action, both nationally and in conjunction with others, to combat this threat. We all view with serious concern the increasing involvement of states and governments in terrorist acts, including the abuse of diplomatic immunity, as in the recent murder of a policewoman in St James's Square. We recognise the importance which must attach to the inviolability of diplomatic missions and to the requirements of international law. But that law brings obligations as well as rights. We will be seeking to strengthen existing measures against international terrorism and to develop effective new ones. The sort of ideas we discussed were:

- a. closer co-operation in the exchange of information about threatened acts of terrorism and those engaged in international terrorism;
- b. the expulsion or exclusion of known terrorists, including persons of diplomatic status suspected of involvement in terrorism;
- c. the strict application of the Vienna Convention as it affects the status of diplomats, the size of diplomatic missions and the number of buildings enjoying diplomatic immunity;
- d. the implications of international terrorism for the rules of the Vienna Convention; and
- e. the possibility that domestic legislation contains gaps which might be exploited by terrorists.

SECRET

SECRET

In ways such as these we intend to take the lead in developing action among the international community as a whole to prevent and punish terrorist acts and to identify and condemn those states which sponsor them. In our view, this is a problem which affects the international community as a whole and not only those assembled here.

SECRET

*Subject a matter*



*Jeve*

10 DOWNING STREET

*From the Private Secretary*

MR. HATFIELD  
CABINET OFFICE

Meeting with the International TUC  
Delegation

I enclose a copy of the record of the meeting between Ministers and the International TUC Delegation, which took place at Downing Street this morning.

I am sending copies of this minute and the record to Len Appleyard (Foreign and Commonwealth Office), David Peretz (HM Treasury) and David Normington (Department of Employment).

MR. D. BARCLAY

31 May 1984

*VC*

*NR*

RECORD OF A MEETING BETWEEN THE PRIME MINISTER AND AN  
INTERNATIONAL TUC DELEGATION AT 10 DOWNING STREET ON  
THURSDAY 31 MAY 1984 AT 1030 HOURS.

Present:

Prime Minister  
Chancellor of the Exchequer  
Secretary of State for Employment  
Minister of State, FCO  
Sir Crispin Tickell

A list of those  
representing the  
delegation is at  
Annex A

\* \* \* \* \*

The Prime Minister welcomed the International Trade Union delegation to 10 Downing Street. She was glad of the opportunity to meet them before the 10th Economic Summit, and she extended a special welcome to Mr. Len Murray since this would be the last Summit during his term of office as General Secretary of the TUC.

The Prime Minister said that it would be wrong to expect sudden and miraculous solutions to the world's economic problems to emerge from the Summit. Such solutions did not exist. The Summit nations had to work together to find realistic and practical policies, and to apply them. The Prime Minister then invited Mr. Basnett as

/President

President of the Trade Union Advisory Committee to OECD, to speak on behalf of the delegation.

Mr. Basnett thanked the Prime Minister for her welcome. He and his colleagues valued the opportunity to put directly to the Prime Minister the main concerns of the trade union movement about international economic development. Their written statement for the Summit had been sent to all Heads of Government. It represented the agreed view of national trade union bodies in OECD countries, all of which shared a common understanding of the need for coordinated policies and action.

The trade union statement was built around two central objectives: the generation of productive employment, with decent wages and living conditions; and a process of social, economic and structural development which reinforced and complemented the creation of jobs. Within this context, the delegation wished to raise certain specific issues.

- (i) Economic activity in OECD countries was currently too weak to generate sufficient new employment. There was a need both for faster growth, and a reduction in working time.
- (ii) Accelerated economic growth should be combined with the repair and modernisation of infra-structure. The trade union movement advocated an internationally coordinated programme of public infra-structure projects; and their view was shared by employers' organisations. By coordination of public investment, the West could achieve the maximum benefits in terms of jobs and output, at the minimum public cost.
- (iii) The way forward did not lie in real wage cuts, or the reduction of workers' rights implied by terms

/ such as



such as "labour market flexibility". This was clearly demonstrated by recent European experience: for example, real wages fell in the Federal Republic of Germany between 1980 and 1982 yet unemployment rose faster than in France, where real wages had risen over the same period.

- (iv) The trade unions were supporters and not opponents of change. They recognised that economic growth, increased employment, and higher living standards depended on technical and structural change.
- (v) But Governments had to show that the fear of technical change was unfounded, by combining it with enlightened social expenditure, and sensitive industrial relations policies. What Governments should not do was to reinforce the apparent link between technological change and mass unemployment.
- (vi) The Economic Summit should treat the problems of international debt as obstacles to be overcome. Trade unions remained concerned about the effect of high interest rates on the economies of the third world, and they commended to the Summit leaders their recommendations on the international financial system.

Summing up his introduction, Mr. Basnett said that confidence in the reality of the recovery and in the possibility of economic growth had to be shared by all. It was necessary for people to work together, and to acknowledge each other's aspirations, if policies for economic growth were to succeed. He wished above all to stress two points: that the question of employment should be at the very top of the world agenda; and that technological change was entirely welcome provided that the

whole community worked together.

On behalf of the Japanese representatives, Mr. Usami said that the workers in his country had great hopes for the Summit under United Kingdom chairmanship. He too felt that the most important problem facing the world leaders was unemployment. In order to tackle it, economic growth rates had to be improved - it was encouraging that in the United States in the first quarter the rate of growth had reached 8.8% per annum. The Japanese economy was planned to grow at 4.1% this year, a figure which he believed to be too low. The Japanese trade unions had asked their government to consider measures to stimulate domestic demand further, as the only route to greater stability of employment.

Continuing, Mr. Usami said that he recognised that the process of growth would require additional investment. Given current financial difficulties, there was a clear need to harness the vitality of the private sector, for example in the service industries. He wished to make two further points. First, the West had to bear in mind the pressures on developing economies and the need to promote price stability in the agricultural sector. Secondly, the maintenance of peace was a pre-requisite for economic progress - too much money was spent for military purposes, when it could be better used to relieve poverty and hunger.

On behalf of the German participants, Herr Breit said that he wished to concentrate on the reduction of working time. Whilst he welcomed the attention which OECD Ministers were giving to the prospects for economic growth, the fact was that growth alone would be insufficient to combat unemployment on the current scale. There were 35 million unemployed people in the OECD countries, and the chances were that this total would continue to grow since productivity tended to increase at least as fast as economic activity. The social consequences of unemployment on this

scale could not be ignored. The economic waste was unacceptable, and - as the history of his own nation showed - long term unemployment could all too easily sow the seeds of political radicalism. He therefore hoped that the Summit would consider seriously the possibility of setting up a common employment policy, designed to overcome the problem of unemployment in the foreseeable future. He greatly feared for the consequences if this were not done, since unemployment was the key problem both for the developed world itself and for its relationship with the developing world.

Responding to the points that had been made, the Prime Minister said that the aims of the Summit participants and of the trade union delegation were fundamentally the same, though - not surprisingly - there was disagreement about methods of achieving them. Such differences could arise from differences of personal experience or from differences of history. There were two major points in what Mr. Basnett had said which she wished to underline. First, she shared the trade unions' concern to see new jobs created - that is to say genuine jobs which gave young people hope for the future, and a sense of personal responsibility. Secondly, she agreed that the achievements of a rising standard of living and of rising employment meant making use of technological change. Japanese experience proved this conclusively. Throughout history, technical change had created more jobs in the long-run than it had destroyed in the short-run. She recognised that account had to be taken of the initial dislocation, and indeed Western Governments had tried hard to develop an effective response.

As regards the other points raised, the Prime Minister said that the working week would be discussed by the Council of Ministers the following week. She had listened with interest to what Herr Breit had said. She agreed with what had been said about the need to act together internationally

on some issues, though this did not obviate the need for individual countries to take action themselves where action was needed. She shared the delegation's concern about the problems of the under-developed world. Above all, the world needed a continuing and sustained recovery, not a series of stop-go measures. Countries which were in difficulty with their debts should be encouraged to agree with the IMF on sound economic policies which could help them towards recovery. They would then find other nations and the banks willing to help them through a difficult period. As regards infra-structure, the Prime Minister noted that there were several international agencies (including the World Bank) already active in this field, financed through multi-lateral aid programmes. It was essential to avoid economic aid degenerating into competition between lending countries on interest rates.

The Prime Minister continued that she agreed with what had been said about the need to rebuild confidence. The underlying economies of the Summit nations were healthy; they were embracing new technology; and providing for retraining and new skills. The overwhelming need was for prudent financial policies to provide both help for countries in debt and a basis for confidence at home.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer commented that all the Summit nations shared the desire to see unemployment come down. There were two forms of unemployment to tackle - cyclical, and structural - and both were present in the Western world. The West was now recovering from the worst recession since the 1930s, and as a result cyclical unemployment pressures were subsiding. This process could continue providing rates of inflation were held down, notwithstanding the problems of high interest rates which were associated with the size of the US budget deficit. Structural unemployment was more obdurate, and could only be tackled by a variety of careful counter-measures designed to

improve the workings of the economy. The Summit governments were at one with the trade unions in accepting the prime importance of bringing unemployment down.

Mr. Murray said that he did not doubt that the British Government, just as much as the TUC, wished to see economic growth and the reduction of unemployment. Their differences about methods could be discussed elsewhere. He recognised also that the British Government, like other Western governments, was anxious not to get out of line with its competitors. This anxiety became particularly important on issues such as the reduction of working hours where no country wished to be first to incur the additional costs. For that reason, the TUC felt that the issue should be pursued on a European basis. Equally, he hoped that the Summit leaders would lay emphasis on joint action to promote economic recovery. The Prime Minister commented that whilst she was not opposed to joint action where appropriate, she had no wish to see a "carve up" of the market. She believed in competition.

Concluding the meeting, the Prime Minister said that the Western nations would continue to work together without submerging each other's individuality. Every nation had its own special character, and had its special contribution and wisdom to bring. The Prime Minister undertook to convey to her Summit colleagues both the paper which the trade unions had prepared, and the views which they had expressed at the meeting

A copy of the agreed press statement which was released after the meeting was attached.

31 May 1984

Trade Union SummitPARTICIPANTSCanada

Mr. R. Lang, Director of Legislation and Research,  
Canadian Labour Congress

France

Mr. E. Maire, General Secretary,  
Confédération Démocratique du Travail,  
accompanied by Mr. A. Mercier and Mr. H. Bertrand.

Germany

Mr. E. Breit, President,  
Deutscher Gewerkschaftsbund,  
accompanied by Mr. E. Kristoffersen.

Italy

Mr. L. Lama, General Secretary,  
Confederazione Generale del Lavoro,  
accompanied by Mr. O. Del Turco, and Mr. C. Gilmore.

Mr. P. Camiti, General Secretary,  
Confederazione Italiana Sindacati Lavoratori,  
accompanied by Mr. A. Gennari.

Mr. G. Benvenuto, General Secretary,  
Unione Italiana del Lavoro,  
accompanied by Mr. M. Scarpellini and Ms. P. Terzoli.

Japan

Mr. T. Usami, President,  
DOMEI,  
accompanied by Mr. M. Aihara.

Mr. T. Kurokawa, President,  
SOHYO,  
accompanied by Mr. Y. Yamada.

Mr. T. Tateyama, President,  
Churitsuroren,  
accompanied by Mr. M. Fujino.

UK

Mr. D. Basnett, President,  
Trade Union Advisory Committee to OECD,

Mr. L. Murray, General Secretary,  
TUC,  
accompanied by Mr. D. E. Lea, Mr. B. Callaghan, Mr. M. Walsh,  
Mr. B. Barber, Mrs. M. Barber.

TUAC

Mr. K. Tapiola, General Secretary,  
accompanied by Mr. S. McClelland.

ICFTU

Mr. J. Vanderveken, General Secretary,  
International Confederation of Free Trade Unions.

ETUC

Mr. M. Hinterscheid, General Secretary,  
European Trade Union Confederation.

WCL

Mr. J. Kulakowski, General Secretary,  
World Confederation of Labour.

(16) Principal  
(16) Accompanying Adviser

SUMMARY OF MEETING BETWEEN PRIME MINISTER AND  
INTERNATIONAL TRADE UNION DELEGATION

On 31 May 1984 the Prime Minister, the Rt Hon Margaret Thatcher, FRS, MP, received a delegation of leaders of the trade unions in the major industrial countries participating in the London Economic Summit. The delegation, which was led by Mr David Basnett, presented a submission prepared in the framework of the TUAC (Trade Union Advisory Committee of the OECD) to the Summit on the main issues facing the international economy. Other members of the delegation were:

- Canada: Mr R Lang, Director of Legislation and Research, Canadian Labour Congress.
- France: Mr E Maire, General Secretary, Confédération Démocratique du Travail.
- Germany: Mr E Breit, President, Deutscher Gewerkschaftsbund.
- Italy: Mr L Lama, General Secretary, Confederazione Generale del Lavoro.  
Mr P Carniti, General Secretary, Confederazione Italiana Sindacati Lavoratori.  
Mr G Benvenuto, General Secretary, Unione Italiana del Lavoro.
- Japan: Mr T Usami, President, DOMEI.  
Mr T Kurokawa, President, SOHYO.  
Mr T Tateyama, President, Churitsuroren.
- UK: Mr L Murray, General Secretary, TUC.
- TUAC: Mr K Tapiola, General Secretary.
- ICFTU: Mr J Vanderveken, General Secretary, International Confederation of Free Trade Unions.
- ETUC: Mr M Hinterscheid, General Secretary, European Trade Union Confederation.
- WCL: Mr J Kulakowski, General Secretary, World Confederation of Labour.

The Prime Minister, opening the discussion, welcomed the delegation to 10 Downing Street. As Chairman of the London Economic

Summit she valued the opportunity of hearing the views of the international trade union movement on the issues facing the world economy, and particularly the industrialised countries. The Summit came at a crucial time: the economic recovery foreseen at Williamsburg is now underway. What is important now is to establish it as a basis for sustainable growth and to spread its benefits widely not only in the industrialised countries but in the rest of the free world. That would be the best possible basis for creating new and lasting jobs and reducing the levels of unemployment about which she and other Heads of State or Government were no less concerned than the trade unions. But the achievement of sustainable growth would entail unremitting efforts to bring inflation down still further and to reduce the levels of interest rates, which were too high.

Mr Basnett, introducing the TUAC submission, said that it was based on the main objectives of creating jobs, and achieving technological and structural change in a way which enhances people's lives. The trade unions remained deeply concerned about the high levels of unemployment. They agreed that recovery and growth were essential conditions for reducing unemployment; they were not convinced that they were sufficient conditions, or that market forces alone would bring about the fall in unemployment which all desired to see. They emphasised the need for an internationally co-ordinated approach, with particular reference to investment in infrastructure, and the need to avoid cuts in wages or undermining of workers' rights in the name of labour market flexibility. They said that reductions in working hours needed to accompany higher growth levels if lower unemployment rates are to be achieved. They also stressed the need for co-operation with and support for the developing countries, so that those countries too could enjoy the benefits of economic recovery and growth.

Mr Basnett emphasised that the trade unions were the supporters, not the opponents, of change. They recognised that growth, employment and living standards depended on technological and structural change; they stressed that change could be successful only if it was based on understanding and at least some degree of consensus.

After other members of the delegation had spoken, the Prime Minister, summing up the discussion, once again thanked the delegation



for coming and for the clear expression of their views. The delegation would not be surprised if she said that she would herself part company with them on some aspects of their diagnosis and prescription; but on the main objectives, as defined by Mr Basnett (creating jobs and facilitating technological change), there was a large measure of agreement. It was necessary to secure and establish the recovery, and to achieve sustainable growth. This was a necessary condition of bringing down levels of unemployment. The Prime Minister very much endorsed what had been said about the importance of technological and structural change in the creation of new jobs, and about the need to increase public understanding and acceptance of change: this had been a theme which she herself had stressed at the Versailles and Williamsburg Summits, and would stress again in London.

She also endorsed what had been said about the need to spread the benefits of growth to the developing countries. They stood to gain just as much as industrialised countries from economic recovery, since a growth in the world trade associated with economic recovery would enable them to increase their exports to the industrialised countries; and (in the case of the debtor countries) would help them to meet the cost of servicing the debts which they had incurred. In this connection it was much to be hoped that the benefits of increasing exports would not be eroded by increasing debt service costs as a result of rises in interest rates: another reason why it should be an object of policy in the industrialised countries to create the conditions in which interest rates would fall rather than rise.

The Prime Minister concluded the meeting by repeating her thanks to the delegation for their visit, and her assurance that she would convey their views to her colleagues at the London Economic Summit.

31 May 1984

Issued from 10 Downing Street,  
Whitehall  
LONDON SW1



FILE

Mc: TF

207

10 DOWNING STREET

*From the Private Secretary*

MR HATFIELD

CABINET OFFICE

ECONOMIC SUMMIT: STATEMENT

The Prime Minister at present proposes to make a statement in Parliament on the Summit on Tuesday, 12 June.

DX I should be most grateful if you could arrange for a draft of the statement and notes of supplementaries to reach me as early as possible on Monday, 11 June.

I am copying this minute to Mr. Ricketts (FCO) and to Mr. Peretz (HM Treasury).

A. J. COLES

31 May, 1984



FILE

207

10 DOWNING STREET

*From the Private Secretary*

MR HATFIELD  
CABINET OFFICE

Policy

ECONOMIC SUMMIT

I enclose a copy of a statement prepared by the International Chamber of Commerce for submission to the Heads of State and Government attending the London Summit.

I have acknowledged the letter from Mr. Durham which covered the statement and a copy of which I also enclose.

I am copying this minute and its enclosures to Mr. Ricketts (FCO), Mr. Peretz (HM Treasury) and to Mr. McCarthy (Department of Trade and Industry).

A. J. DOLES

31 May, 1984



FILE

57

10 DOWNING STREET

*From the Private Secretary*

31 May, 1984

The Prime Minister has asked me to thank you for your letter of 29 May enclosing an Executive Summary of the submission which the International Chamber of Commerce is making to all the participants in the London Summit. Its contents will be studied with interest.

A. J. COLES

K. Durham, Esq.

PRIME MINISTER

MEETING WITH INTERNATIONAL TRADE UNION DELEGATION

Opposite is the main brief for your meeting tomorrow (May 31) with an international trade union delegation, starting with the composition of the delegation - 16 principals and 16 advisers.

The following supporting material is attached.

Annex A: TUAC statement to the OECD Ministerial Council and the London Summit

Annex B: Sir Robert Armstrong's minute of May 22 to you (which you have seen)

Annex C: The revised summary of the discussion which has been agreed with TUAC. The amendments underlined in red are yours when you saw the original. The bits underlined in blue are those requested by the TUAC.


Annex D: Final version of the Thematic Paper.

The meeting will be held in the State Dining Room and before you open it we have, as agreed, organised a television and still photo-pool. Coffee will be served.

Because you are due to appear on American breakfast television at 12.07, it is important you finish the meeting at 11.50am.

Afterwards, subject to your clearance overnight, we shall issue the paper at Annex C as a summary of the discussion. The paper will be given to the media immediately before the TUAC hold their press conference in No 12. This press conference will be followed by one to be held by the Secretary of State for Employment. Mr King has agreed to do this and will also give radio and television news interviews afterwards.

Content?



BERNARD INGHAM  
30 May 1984

ANNEX A

Statement to the OECD's Ministerial Council and the London Summit

Adopted by the 72nd Plenary Session of TUAC, 26 - 27 April, 1984

## INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

1. Despite some recent encouraging signs of an upturn, unemployment remains very high throughout the OECD area and continues to grow in many countries. Economic growth - let alone a recovery - is by no means guaranteed, and on present policies, the forecast is for growth slowing down and not spreading. The prospects of structural change have come to mean additional insecurity of present and future employment and income for an ever growing number of people. Such growth as has taken place has not been equitably distributed. Differences between groups in our societies, and between countries, have been growing and this has increased tensions both within and between countries, developed and developing alike.
  
2. Problems caused by unbalanced growth, continued high unemployment and uncertainties due to structural change are seriously aggravated by the international monetary chaos, world debt problems, and austerity programmes which are imposed on a growing number of countries. Joint deflationary policies have strongly contributed to the recession in the OECD area. When the same kind of policies are prescribed for developing countries, the effect is nothing short of devastating. The oil price shocks and the recession have hit harder most of the developing countries than the OECD area. The least developed countries are in an especially dramatic situation, and many of them need emergency food and other aid simply to assure the survival of their populations.
  
3. The poverty and the need for real economic and social development of hundreds of millions of people in the developing countries can - and must - be attacked by policies which also provide for accelerated economic and employment growth in the industrialised countries. The world economy has become so interdependent that there will be no durable solution to economic and employment problems in the OECD area unless the issues of underdevelopment and poverty in the whole world are tackled.

Policies for economic and employment growth and for world development, have to be coordinated as much as possible, in order to maximise their positive effects. The more there is delay and hesitation, the greater is the risk that even the best individual countries' policies yield only little or partial results. Growth which amounts to a recovery has to be the outcome of policies negotiated and implemented jointly by all countries.

4. The world economy will not be pulled out of the recession by simple exhortations or by a "trickling down" of growth from North America. Recovery will not be achieved by passive government policies and interventions which are based on the belief that market forces have to be "liberated" from regulations and institutions which have evolved throughout modern history - and which have contributed to social progress and economic efficiency as well. The governments have the responsibility to provide a framework for economic and employment growth, and the most realistic way to achieve this is through cooperation which involves the trade unions and the business community. The first step should be for the governments to clearly demonstrate their political will to seek solutions together, and use and develop national and international economic policy tools for a robust recovery.

5. Real adaptation and desirable change in the OECD countries will not be promoted through intensified competition, deregulation and privatisation, accompanied by a weakening of social safeguards, or if wage levels are depressed and problems of low pay and poverty continue to spread. It is imperative to avoid a development towards a dual society, with an escalating polarisation between the better off and those who lose out from structural change. Sustainable growth can not be fostered through measures which in reality make it a divisive factor in our societies. The cost of change must not be borne by those who lose from it. Governments have the duty to devise their policies so that the benefits of economic growth and structural change will be shared by all.

6. To cope with structural change, opportunities are needed on a large scale. There is no room for adaptation without a better growth path. Opportunities are not

provided by an incentives-based policy which increase inequalities between groups and individuals. Real adaptation will not be sustainable unless there is active consent and willing participation of all groups in society. The automatic solutions offered up to now to the OECD countries and the world economy have not worked. Falling inflation did not by itself lead into a recovery and more jobs. Cuts in social expenditure have further weakened the social fabric and have not generated private investment. It is equally misleading to assume that now recovery can be conjured by increasing wage and labour market flexibility; this will only create further obstacles to a healthy recovery which would be fair for all.

7. A number of elements are indispensable in order to ensure that there will be economic and employment growth in the OECD area and the whole world economy, in a way which does not lead into an upsurge of inflation. The OECD's Ministerial Council and the London Summit should discuss, and agree upon, a growth strategy based on the following items :

- (i) Jointly negotiated and coordinated monetary and fiscal policies, to back up the stuttering growth which has taken place and to ensure it is spread throughout the OECD area and the world economy. Given the international economic linkages, the coordination of measures individual governments take to stimulate growth will serve to maximise the joint positive impact of these measures on economic growth and employment creation.
- (ii) An underpinning of an OECD-wide recovery programme by fair and workable policies in each of the countries, which aim at increasing productive and job-generating investment. Such policies must be devised and implemented by governments in consultation and through negotiations with trade unions and the business community.
- (iii) A significant increase of both public and private infrastructure investments. There is broad agreement between trade unions and employers on the need for such investments. When they are carried out in an internationally coordinated way, the effect on employment and investment will be optimised without detrimental results on government expenditure and trade balances.



- (iv) government policies to facilitate structural change by creating opportunities and promoting a situation in which collective bargaining between trade unions and employers can have an important constructive role, so that change can take place with a reasonable degree of security of employment and income.
- (v) A shortening of working time, through negotiations between trade unions and employers which also aim at making full use of the employment creation potential of this measure. Governments should facilitate and certainly not hinder the climate in which such negotiations are conducted.
- (vi) Balanced growth in world trade, which is possible only hand in hand with economic and employment growth in all countries and the expansion of domestic markets. Policies which restrict domestic demand distort trade flows and increase trade conflicts, and make any "roll back" of protectionism more difficult.
- (vii) Measures to counteract the international monetary chaos, to increase stability of the exchange rate markets, to reduce real interest rates world wide, and to solve the world debt problem in ways which do not undermine but support the democratic process and strengthen the economic and social potential of indebted nations.
- (viii) A review of the conditionality framework of the International Monetary Fund, so that the conditions for countries in difficulty do not mean a halt to growth and development but are constructive and promote the necessary structural adjustments.
- (ix) An increase in resources for development, in particular Official Development Assistance, and the adoption of policy measures targeting these resources to attack blatant inequalities and to help to create and strengthen viable economy and society in each recipient country.

8. The decade of recession and mounting uncertainty must be overcome. Change is inevitable, due to technological progress, shifts in world trade patterns, and the growing interdependence between different parts of the world. But this change has to be managed. Mechanisms for building a workable understanding on the management of change have to be developed and used. This requires an atmosphere of mutual respect and confidence within the societies

that a search for solutions to our common problems will be serious and constructive. The key issue for the OECD's Ministerial Council and the London Summit is to create the framework in which people can meet change with reasonable security, and in which the policies pursued both in the OECD area and the developing countries are fair for all.

-----

./.

## I - THE FRAMEWORK FOR ECONOMIC AND EMPLOYMENT GROWTH

1. Growth and unemployment

9. Confidence in the effectiveness and the fairness of the OECD government's policies is seriously eroded by continued high and rising unemployment. Already now one fifth of the young cannot find an entrance into working life. Lower inflation, from 12.8% in 1980 to 5.8% today, did not automatically bring about the increase in economic activity and job-generating investment which it was supposed to. Unemployment in some of the low-inflation countries is among the highest in the OECD area. In the United States, where unemployment has fallen from 10.3% in 1982 to 7.8% now, the upturn did not start in 1982/83 by itself but was brought about by increasing domestic demand and consumption through a relaxation of monetary and fiscal policies. It should by now be clear that the recent North American growth will not be automatically carried over to other OECD countries and the rest of the world by the market mechanism without governments taking measures to spread and sustain it. Instead, there are rapidly growing worries over the sustainability of growth in the United States and the ability of the still high unemployment there to fall any further.
10. Economic growth so far is only a rebound from the deep recession and it is weaker than in previous up-swings. Industrial production has only now reached the 1979 level. The prospects, based on present policies, are for a continuation of growth at levels between 2-3% which are too low to even start reducing unemployment. The OECD Conference on Employment Growth in the Context of Structural Change, in February, 1984, did conclude that the principal battle against unemployment must be fought at the macro-economic level. It requested that the subsequent Conference of Finance Ministers would assign a high priority to employment creation, in "ensuring virile but sustainable economic growth". Judging by all accounts, this appeal was not heard by the Finance Ministers, who did not adequately recognize that there must be sufficient domestic demand growth in all OECD countries in order to achieve a better functioning of the economy and tackle structural problems.
11. A basic constraint faced by governments, by the industry, and trade unions, is the instability and volatility of the present economic situation. The insecurity of the international economic environment cannot justify the OECD government's being

frightened into inaction or confining joint decisions to negative, deflationary measures alone. Those who no longer believe in the possibility of internationally agreed policies for recovery are fatally attracted by beggar-thy-neighbour policies. In an extremely unstable environment, even the best of national policies, based on broadest possible agreement, will face severe difficulties and may well not succeed. It is distressing that the recession has increased economic nationalism. The economic linkages between OECD countries are often seen only as constraints on national policies; in fact they offer an opportunity for joint action to overcome the recession.

12. A more secure framework will be created if all governments negotiate and adopt coordinated growth and employment policies consisting of diversified measures adapted to the specific problems in each country, and taking advantage of whatever multiplier effects can be obtained by coordination. Expansionary measures, when coordinated and targeted, will build inflation out of the system in a more lasting way than trying to squeeze it out with depressed expectations. The hitherto prevailing hesitant attitude towards spreading economic growth has to be overcome. Growth, albeit still very unbalanced, could not be sustained if monetary and fiscal policies were to be further tightened. Yet this danger is clearly implied wherever the efforts to contain and cut budget deficits concentrate mainly upon cuts in public expenditure. Nor is there evidence that a reduction of public expenditure would induce the market forces to make use of the room for growth they may thus be offered; they, too, need a clear public policy, a policy which among other things involves public expenditure.
  
13. The hoped-for automatic ways of achieving employment-generating growth have not worked. Unemployment has not been reduced by simply bringing inflation down, and now the benefits of lower inflation are being eroded by continued recessionary policies. The incentives to growth which have resulted from falling inflation, from a change in the proportion of income consumed or saved, from restocking and from exports to North America cannot be repeated. Investments are still unlikely to lead a

strong recovery because of high real interest rates, uncertain demand prospects and capacity which remains unutilised. Those investments which are taking place are not primarily of a job creating type.

14. More recently, there has been a growing emphasis on reducing unemployment through lower wages, greater wage differentials, cuts in social benefits, and various devices to weaken the bargaining power of trade unions. All this may have marginal effects on the profitability of enterprises, but it will have no effect on their job creation performance. The fortunes of enterprises depend on several other and usually more important elements, such as lower interest rates, reasonably balanced and regular international trade, a more stable monetary system, management expertise, technological innovation, taxation and sufficient levels of domestic demand. The magnitude of the unemployment problem in the OECD countries is such that without vigorous job-creating investments, no amount of tampering with wages/profits shares will provide a solution.
15. Governments have to work out a proper industrial and trade policy framework for economic and employment growth. New jobs will have to be created in activities which offer a sufficiently secure perspective for the future. They must be viable jobs in the sense that they contribute to an improvement of working and living conditions throughout the economy. The context in which they are created has to be one of confidence. The workers must have the confidence which can only be brought about by security of future employment and income. Employers also need a confidence in future prospects, if they are to proceed with the kind of investments which will make their labour force grow and not diminish. Investments have to be promoted by policies whose basic criterion is their employment effect. The way to achieve the necessary confidence is through discussions and negotiations involving all the parties concerned.

## 2. Infrastructure investments

16. Investment in physical infrastructure is a logical avenue for increasing economic activity and employ-

ment. It is needed to rehabilitate and upgrade existing facilities which have been neglected over a long period of time, and to construct new ones in areas where economic expansion and the development of new industries is to take place. The weakness of infrastructure must not become a constraint on recovery. Measures have to be taken now, as substantial investment programmes demand time for implementation, and in particular as on present policies, growth is foreseen to slacken towards the middle of 1985. In addition to the direct investment and employment advantages, such investment has a substantial multiplier effect due to backward and forward linkages throughout the economy. This helps to spread any growth that may be achieved in leading sectors of the economy towards a more broadly-based recovery.

17. This type of investment can be achieved through a combination of public and private expenditure and initiative, but the governments have a pivotal role to play. At a time when the problem for our economies is how to generate productive investments to sustain recovery and create new jobs, there needs to be a framework of policies to bring together all available resources. Public investment in the infrastructure also induces large amounts of private investment. Indeed, no sharp distinction can be drawn between "public" and "private" investment: activities such as construction for new housing, or urban renewal, or the strengthening of transport facilities, typically involve both public and private resources.
18. The financing of these investments does not have to be the problem it is often presented to be. Firstly the increase in employment, both direct and indirect, reduces the expenditures on subsidising unemployment. Secondly, the increased economic activity which such investments stimulate increases government revenues. Thirdly, innovative funding schemes which inject private capital at various stages without impairing public accountability can ensure that there is an adequate rate of return. All of these benefits will be magnified if the expenditure on infrastructure of each country are made as part of a coordinated programme which makes positive use of the interdependence of the OECD

economies. Studies by the OECD on international economic linkages show that the government budget consequences of such investments will be positive within a few years.

19. Trade unions in a number of OECD countries have made, and participated in, studies which look into the type of infrastructure investments and forms of financing which would strengthen the economy and create jobs. For example, a joint study by a high-level group of trade unionists and industry leaders in the United States has recently proposed investments in highways, bridges, urban water supply systems, and wastewater treatment facilities, involving both increased user fees and greater access to capital markets. A study by the Nordic and German trade unions has indicated how a common investment initiative by all OECD countries would have a beneficial international multiplier effect, induce private investment, and reduce unemployment. Proposals from business and industry circles for solving the present crisis also include increased investment in infrastructure such as transport and communications, with the participation of private capital.

20. Infrastructure investments are more than a starter for recovery by stimulating private investment. They also have an important role in improving the efficiency of our economies and their capability to carry out structural change. A well functioning and modern infrastructure enhances the productivity of the economies. Thus, infrastructure investments can and should be targeted to the most pressing constraints. Some areas where investments can be undertaken by governments, and where public policies to stimulate investments can be promoted, are :

- Communications and transport facilities, such as railways, roads, bridges and ports, and the information technology network which is necessary for their functioning ; due to its nature, much of this investment requires intergovernmental cooperation ;
- The renewal of both urban and rural housing and other facilities where they have fallen into decay ; in many of the urban conglomerates in the OECD countries this has to amount to an extensive rehabilitation of inner cities and/or urban areas ;

- New housing and the construction of educational, health, child and old-age facilities ;
- Waste disposal and treatment, including the possibilities for recycling and the use of waste for energy generation ;
- Investments in a more efficient use of energy and energy conservation (in households, by the community, and by industry) ; and
- Protection of the environment, for instance through sufficiently large-scale investments in equipment and facilities which reduce the threat to the environment.

21. The aim of public policy should also be improved social infrastructural services in a number of vital areas. These include the provision of health care, which is made all the more important by demographic developments. Child care facilities have to be expanded, in particular where a lack of them creates an unwarranted obstacle to the full participation of both parents in economic life. Much investment is needed in education, starting with basic education and continuing with vocational education and training, including adult and recurrent education, to cope with technological developments and structural change. Any comprehensive plan for restoring economic growth must have as an integral part an effective and well financed system of public education. These activities are far from being passive from the viewpoint of a national economy. They improve the ability of the economy to create employment and contribute to its productive potential. These are activities which by nature should be in the public field, and where the private sector is involved in them, it has to accept the necessary discipline (equal access to social services) and to maintain high standards of employment.

3. Negotiating structural change

22. The fear of change can be removed only through bona-fide discussions and negotiations with, and within, the existing institutions to ensure that the needed safeguards are in place and that all opportunities are made use of. High and rising unemployment,



insecurity over conditions of work and incomes, and the lack of meaningful vocational education and training and retraining facilities are heavy constraints to necessary adaptation ; these constraints cannot be removed without coherent and effective government policies. Negotiations on how to manage structural change have to be carried out at different levels. They call for decisions by governments on industrial, technological, investment, regional and labour market policy. Discussions between governments, trade unions and employers are essential for ensuring that the cost of change will be borne equitably and not only by those who are worst hit by it.

23. Confidence is not increased if the governments' message is that there will be more closures and dislocation of work and less social provision. Redistributive taxation, public programmes and the social wage system are partial compensations within an economy, from the groups which gain from technology and trade-induced change to those who lose out from it ; as such, they should be developed further. The provision of vocational education, training and retraining is an indispensable element ; there is much scope for cooperation between governments, trade unions and the employers in this field. Information to, and consultation with, the workers by enterprises, and particularly multinational enterprises, on future prospects and planned changes allows for a whole series of workable procedures to cope with, or offset, negative effects of restructuring decisions. In the final analysis, the effectiveness of the measures taken either by governments or enterprises to manage the effects of technology and trade depends on vigorous employment growth and the creation of new opportunities. Without this, income support or training arrangements for an unemployed worker do not amount to much more than first aid.

24. Insecurity can be substantially reduced through negotiations between trade unions and management over changes at the workplace. This will help in moving towards "industries of the future" in a manner which is socially desirable. Technology agreements (either directly between trade unions and employers or tripartite framework agreements) are designed to ensure that the process of change at the workplace is achieved in a way which is

recognized to be fair and equitable. This is particularly necessary when changes are fundamental due to a new generation of production and communications technology.

25. The increasing complexity of company structures and management systems diminishes the transparency of ownership and control of enterprises, while decentralisation assisted by new technology leads into geographical dispersion of activities and breaks up existing employment structures. It is increasingly difficult for the employees of a given entity to be aware of the way in which the company as a whole is faring. Industrial relations systems and practices must be such as to ensure that in these circumstances, too, meaningful negotiations on wages and working conditions will cover all workplaces, irrespective of their size and location. There has to be (by agreement, or by legislation if need be) information to, and consultation with, the employees on planned changes in the activities of the enterprises. This is important because restructuring on a world-wide scale can lead into substantial change for reasons which are directly attributable to the performance of a specific enterprise or the economic position of the host country.
26. Real adaptation to economic change has to take place through negotiations and agreement. It cannot be achieved by a policy based on incentives which increase inequalities between groups and individuals and which do not guarantee a place in society for all. Cuts in social expenditure, shifts towards indirect taxation, and the widening of income differentials weaken the social fabric and make it more difficult for society to adapt to economic change. The recession has undermined the workers' confidence in future access to secure incomes and thus generated fear of change. The governments have up to now offered solutions which have failed one after the other. Disinflation did not produce jobs. Cutting public expenditure has not brought forth private investment. The latest vogue panacea, "wage flexibility", could turn out to be counterproductive to real adaptation.

#### 4. Wage and labour market flexibility

27. Flexibility of wages, and of the labour market more generally, cannot be unilaterally imposed by the governments' policies. The concept of flexibility has to be properly defined before it

can be used as a key element in the OECD's economic strategy. It must not be forgotten that wage levels and differentials have a social basis, and changes in them can only be brought in the collective bargaining process. This process does allow for real adaptation without the negative effects that fragmentation of the labour market produces. This is why it is dangerous to make political assumptions for instance on the basis of a superficial reading of trends in growth and employment creation in different parts of the OECD area.

28. Mass unemployment is by far the biggest obstacle to flexibility, especially regarding occupational mobility and the achievement of structural change. The most efficient way to achieve change is to make sure that opportunities are created and that the necessary adaptations take place through negotiations and a strengthening of confidence between all parties, and without demolishing labour legislation and social protection. In so different economies as the United States, Belgium and Japan, neither declining real wages nor higher profits have engendered employment-creating investment. Increased demand has been crucial to recent growth where it has taken place. Attempts to reduce demand by depressing over-all income levels will not solve the problem of 35 million unemployed in the OECD area; they will make it worse. Every single OECD country already has a low-wage problem, a problem of segmentation of the labour force where women are frequently locked into low-paid and involuntary part-time jobs, and most of them have a new problem of poverty.
29. A downward pressure on wages in all countries, for reasons of competition, will only serve to add to these problems, instead of promoting investment in better equipment. A high-productivity and high-employment society with decent wages will not be achieved by flexibility which entails low-paid and insecure jobs in informal sectors with insufficient social safeguards. Artificially low wages increase pressures on social security and welfare benefits which have to be provided by society. They can also become an unwarranted subsidy to ailing firms, thus prolonging their life well beyond a time when efficient measures for restructuring could and should have been taken. Finally, the remedies proposed for unemployment should in no circumstances promote a situation where groups and individuals compete with each other to have a place in the labour market.

30. Reducing social protection, in the name of flexibility, only increases the incentive to seek protection against change and not through change. Making redundancies easier without providing for new opportunities will shift costs from the private sector to the whole of society. As economies are confronted by large-scale change, the notion of flexibility must not amount to revising the foundations on which economic growth and social progress in the period following World War II have been built. Social expenditure and the existence of a social wage are by no means charity: they must continue to be a central means of achieving adaptation, transferring compensation from those who gain from change to those who lose from it. If this comprehensive system which is based on universality of access is undermined, the scope for social cohesion could irremediably be damaged. Workers will be prevented from accepting, and involving themselves in, change and adjustment if their standards of living and work are being attacked. Productivity improvements will not be seen as desirable, if they increase unemployment and inequality in the societies.

31. Free collective bargaining is by nature a flexible system which had developed, and also undergone change, in the light of changing economic and social conditions. Governments should once and for all discard the notion that certain forms of wage bargaining are inherently inflationary or hamper job creation. Differences in the ways in which wage levels are set among OECD countries do not correspond to differences in economic performance or the inflation rates. Instead of prescribing interference with collective bargaining structures and practices, governments should join in exploring the possibilities free collective bargaining offers for looking for real ways to bring about and sustain a recovery.

##### 5. Working time

32. A variety of negotiations between trade unions and employers on the shortening of working time are going on in different countries. Growth alone - even with considerably higher rates than now forecast - will not provide work for all those who need it. Consequently, it is imperative to maximise whatever employment potential there is in a negotia-

ted shortening of working time (a 35-hours week, a shorter working year, paid educational leave, reduced life-long working time etc.). Even though the main way of implementing a shortening of working time is through negotiations between trade unions and the employers, governments can and should, both individually and collectively, promote the environment in which this take place and they should certainly not hamper this process. Legislation and administrative measures may be needed and governments are also directly involved through education, training, pension provision and other social security measures; and they are employers themselves.

33. Reduced working time should not be conceived as only "sharing unemployment". It must be recognised to be a benefit for the employees and a benefit for society as well. It should not be seen as simply a cost to the employer or the society. This "cost" is a social benefit, directly insofar as it increases per hour productivity and employment, and indirectly as it greatly improves the quality of life for people who no longer have to work long hours to earn a decent wage.
34. The reduction of working time must not be separated from the issue of overtime, or else there may be little or no effect on actual working time or employment. A worker should be able to make a living without overtime pay being a necessary supplement to an inadequate basic wage. Special corrective measures will be necessary in those industries and enterprises where strong reliance on overtime pay has become part of the wage pattern. The recession has aggravated the practice of combining compulsory overtime with short-time working. Flexible working time arrangements and overtime should not be methods for employers to keep employment levels down. All working time arrangements should be voluntary and negotiated, and not at the employers' discretion. They should not become a daily feature of working life which increases uncertainty of income.
35. Governments should recognise and deal with the distorting effects of maintaining or increasing differences in labour standards between countries which have achieved a comparable level of economic development and strength. Competitive advantages should

not be forced by a refusal to translate productivity gains into improved working and living conditions. Sustained and targeted measures should be taken to reduce and harmonise working hours, which can differ by as much as 20% between countries of similar economic development and strength, as well as to improve the standards of social security, health and safety, and the working environment between these countries. The governments have increasingly discussed the shortening of working time in the OECD. It is a forum which governments can use for international cooperation on these issues, drawing as appropriate on the specialised knowledge and facilities of the ILO and the GATT.

## II - TRADE, MONETARY POLICIES AND DEVELOPMENT

1. Trade policies

36. Trade is not an engine of growth because all countries are pursuing policies which aim at increasing exports and restricting imports. No healthy industrial policy can be built upon this basis either. Successful development of export industries needs an increase in domestic activity and demand, and employment growth. Instead the search for competitive advantage has deteriorated into cut-throat competition between countries for one another's markets. Such competition can occur not only when markets are shrinking but also when they are growing at different, unbalanced rates. In looking for ways to further liberalise international trade, and "roll back" protectionism, governments should realise that policies which restrict domestic demand are themselves protectionist because they distort trade flows and increase trade conflicts.
37. For years now, the benefits of trade have been argued in terms of exports only. The assumption on the beneficial effects of imports have always presupposed the existence of full employment, a situation which does not exist today. Under present conditions, in the absence of balanced economic growth among the trading partners, trade tends to threaten entire sectors of national economies and the jobs in them, as import penetration surges destroy otherwise competitive enterprises. Since the collapse of the Bretton Woods system, trade flows have been excessively affected by erratic exchange rate movements rather than by real comparative advantage, and through the ebb and flow of capital transactions based on interest rate differentials, expectations, uncertainties and sheer speculation. Competitive trade itself is diminishing because countertrade is expanding, and because a significant and growing share of trade takes place within and through multinational enterprises. The volume and direction of intra-firm trade changes without warning, as multinational enterprises carry out their restructuring world-wide.
38. Each country needs a sufficiently diversified and solid economic structure. These structures have already been badly shaken by upheavals which the economy has been undergoing over the past decade. With extraordinarily rapid change it is in danger

of disintegrating further without the actors involved, and the decision makers, even fully realising the gravity of the process, let alone devising consistent policies to counteract it. Over-adjustment, or panic adjustment, to temporary pressures should be avoided. Moreover, the survival of a viable economic structure requires the maintenance and modernisation of essential industries and services which may not appear to be internationally competitive. There are also social pressures to maintain industries which no longer are internationally competitive and which should be assisted in returning to competitiveness or in restructuring into other product lines. These pressures can be overcome only if there is an economic and industrial policy creating new opportunities for enterprises and workers, and if there are social and employment policies which are designed to cope with trade adjustment needs.

39. Persistent instability of the trading system requires anticipatory and built-in adjustment provisions. Their scope must be broad enough to underpin any liberalisation agreements which would further expose the service sector, where most new jobs have been created, to international competition. There has to be confidence in the governments' will and capacity to manage the effects of trade, both nationally and through international cooperation. The workers' ability to adapt to change also depends on the effectiveness and coverage of social guarantees in different OECD countries, as well as on the timing, speed and methods of industrial restructuring. In particular the various forms of subsidies, which are necessary to cushion the effects of change or to promote change, must be clearly identified and subject to international agreement. Such international agreement is needed on the temporary use of these and other measures, such as voluntary export restraints. If and when trade interventions and subsidies to ailing industries are discontinued, governments must take anticipatory measures so that change is manageable and constructive rather than simply disruptive.
40. The Havana Charter of 1948, which made the link between trade and employment, recognised that low labour standards in one country can erode labour standards in its trading partners. Operative conclusions were not drawn because the projected International Trade Organisation was not established. Trade tensions and unfair trade arise out of



situations where the working population is deprived of trade union rights and, more generally, prevented from receiving its share of the benefits accruing from exports. Social clauses, such as fair labour standards clauses included in some existing commodity arrangements, should be negotiated in trade agreements, and implemented. This will remove the distortions caused by violations of internationally agreed minimum labour standards and will in no way jeopardise the economic progress of countries concerned. On the contrary, it will foster more balanced economic and social development, and it will also ensure that in countries which have achieved higher labour standards, trade adjustment is not forced by exploitation of workers elsewhere.

41. Differences in labour standards among increasingly interdependent countries at a comparable level of economic development and strength distort trade. Large differences in working time, wages, pensions, eligibility and levels of unemployment and other benefits (with consequences for non-wage labour costs) and in the level of social provision exist among the main trading groups within the OECD area. This jeopardises the open trading system in two different but complementary ways; the maintenance of such differences is a powerful, though concealed, protectionist device; at the same time, it is a hidden export subsidy because it makes workers assume a disproportionate cost of making exports competitive. The failure to translate past productivity gains into improved labour standards, enhanced social progress and higher living standards boosts unfairly the competitive position of countries. For the sake of stability in the international trading system, growth must rely upon the expansion of both export and domestic demand. A harmonisation which leads into an overall improvement of labour standards among these countries would facilitate the expansion of trade in both directions.

## 2. International monetary issues

42. The existing international monetary chaos constitutes one of the major obstacles to general, sustained and non-inflationary recovery and orderly structural change. The links between the value of currency and the state of the country's economy

have been largely severed, wild fluctuations have become the general rule of exchange rate behaviour, the influence of interest rates on the direction and scope of international flows of capital has become excessive, and international indebtedness has reached very dangerous proportions. The debt crisis of the past two years is far from being over, especially if monetary policies remain tight, and in the foreseeable future, even some of the major industrial countries may experience difficulties in meeting their international debt service obligations. Due to the declining inflows of money in the developing countries and to their increasing debt service, the net transfer of resources has been reversed: the developing countries are now exporting more capital to the industrial countries than they are receiving from them.

43. This chaos cannot easily be overcome: it has proved difficult even to reach agreement on the minimum objectives of necessary reform. There should at least be general recognition that it is necessary to ensure a greater stability of exchange rates; to keep the interest rates under control; to limit the amount and impact of the so-called hot money, which makes foreign currency speculation more profitable than productive investment; to counteract major overvaluations or undervaluations of single currencies which seriously affect the pattern of international trade; and to prevent speculative devaluations and other methods of exchange rate warfare from being used as strategic weapons of trade warfare. There is a tremendous need for greater stability in the exchange rate markets, and initiatives to broaden monetary stability should be pursued.
44. To cope with the disruptive effects of excessive debts, governments must both individually and collectively go well beyond mere crisis management. Governments and central banks must fully resume their responsibility for surveillance and control of international lending and cooperate among themselves, and with the International Monetary Fund, to ensure the surveillance of the exchange rates and of the conditions in the exchange rate markets. The banks, after having been rescued by the emergency measures adopted by the governments and the IMF to keep the global financial system afloat, must be brought to go beyond the rescheduling process which increases the interest payments of the

countries in difficulty and merely increases the burden of repayment in the future. Rather than getting increased profits out of the publicly financed rescue measures, banks must accept reasonable losses in the years to come, and thus recycle to the debtor countries part of the profits drawn from irresponsible lending.

45. The conditions attached to IMF assistance should be based in each specific case on a comprehensive analysis of the economy and social realities of the country in difficulty, to define the constructive policy changes which could help to develop viable economic and social structures. The tendency of the IMF to prescribe drastic remedies for balance of payments and budgetary deficits can jeopardise social development and the democratic process, and it must not be allowed to prevail. The developing countries continued access to international liquidities is clearly inadequate, pushing them to function at levels far below their optimum and to resort to the most expensive forms of private lending. OECD governments cannot afford to deny the International Development Association the resources it needs, in view of the vital role of the World Bank and the IDA in furthering the development process and in shielding the developing countries from the instability and devastating effects of private lending.
46. A major endeavour to restore the function of the international financial institutions can be initiated without waiting until agreement about a comprehensive and more orderly monetary system is reached. Governments and central banks should give greater consideration to the likely or actual effects their domestic monetary policies have on exchange rates. They should cooperate to bring about a coordinated reduction of real interest rates. Governments should also agree to discuss with the IMF, and within it, as well as with and within the OECD, the aspects of their individual economic policy choices (whether international or domestic) which have or can have an adverse impact on other countries. The dividing line between domestic and international economic issues is blurred. For instance, insufficient domestic demand in surplus countries is not a purely internal affair inasmuch as it produces strains on other economies. Actual knowledge and understanding of the interrelationships between balance of payments deficits, budgetary

policies, interest rates and exchange rates remains inadequate. Therefore, IMF action and the joint action of the member governments has to be based on discussions and agreement rather than on questionable theories.

47. Beyond the initial steps, the discussions on international monetary reform should aim at resolving a number of fundamental problems. These are, in particular, the existing asymmetry in the treatment of deficit and surplus countries, development assistance as part of the international financial system, and the respective roles of the IMF, the World Bank, the Bank for International Settlements and the OECD in handling the balance of payments crises.
48. Although the IMF has the authority to make its assistance to countries in balance of payments deficit conditional on their accepting certain policy recommendations, the Bretton Woods system failed to provide any mechanism whereby countries in persistent surplus would be induced to bring their balance of payments into, or closer to, librium. This paralyzing asymmetry has contributed a great deal to the stringency of the IMF conditionality.
49. Development assistance has arisen only after the adoption of the Bretton Woods agreements, and it has never been fully integrated in the international monetary and financial system. Consequently, its size and modalities are largely exempt from generally accepted and binding rules. Development assistance provided by single donor countries is measured solely on the basis of a uniform target set in terms of the GNP and has so far been treated mainly as a matter of generosity. Additional incentives for governments to ensure the regular flow of resources to developing countries are needed. For example, the external position (balance of payments, balance of trade etc.) of a country exerts a considerable influence on the ability to provide assistance, especially where the surplus is of a persistent nature. Furthermore, development assistance creates export markets for the industrial countries' manufactures (especially investment goods), yet the assistance performance of single donor countries is not being assessed in relation to the balance of their trade in manufactures with developing countries.

50. It is necessary to review and to redefine the relationships between the World Bank's, the IMF's and the OECD's respective activities and their relative weight in international monetary policies. Short-term measures can be fully effective only if they do not undermine longer term development prospects. Therefore, the World Bank should efficiently participate in devising the policy recommendations to the countries seeking international assistance to overcome their balance of payments difficulties. Since the recommendations go far beyond strictly financial issues, and indeed pertain to the whole range of economic policies, the OECD should have its share of responsibility in their formulation. The policy advice given, and measures introduced, by these institutions have far-reaching economic and social consequences. Therefore, when policies are formulated and implemented there should be a full discussion with trade unions and employers, in order to arrive at workable and socially acceptable measures.

### 3. Development cooperation

51. Even a full-fledged recovery in the industrialised part of the world will not by itself solve the fundamental problems facing the developing countries, and low growth prospects make their solution immeasurably more difficult. It is futile to claim that economic trends which increase inequalities and imbalances in the OECD area can somehow have a beneficial "trickle-down" effect on the developing countries, if only the market mechanism is set free. Private capital flows go only to some sectors and some countries. They seldom aim at improving the economic and social structure, and more often than not remain insulated within the recipient countries' economies. In the absence of other measures, they are quite likely to increase the inequalities within and between countries. A broad range of essential investments in the developing countries will only take place through official assistance channels. The key issue is the improvement of the position of the developing countries through a development of their socio-economic infrastructure. Self-sustaining development cannot be achieved in countries where the social structure is obsolete and rapidly disintegrating.

52. The situation of some countries has become so bad that it is imperative for the OECD countries to immediately step up food and other emergency aid. People in a growing number of the least developed countries, especially in Africa, are facing starvation. An immediate increase in basic aid is a concrete matter on which the OECD's Ministerial Council and the London Summit should agree upon. Emergency measures should be followed up by assistance to develop the rural sector, in order to reverse the trend which has made many previously self-sufficient producers into net food importers. This sector must be helped so that it can be revived and properly organised, to decisively improve its productivity.

53. The economic interdependence of today's world has meant unprecedented hardships for the non-oil producing developing countries which have been hard hit by escalation of energy prices to levels which are prohibitive to them, and by the continuation of deflationary policies in the OECD area. Continuing high interest rates and energy prices, and stagnating credits, loans, assistance and direct investment have forced developing countries to restrict their imports and put their development efforts into reverse. Commodity prices, though rising, are still depressed, and the markets for most other exports of these countries remain largely stagnant. Growth in the industrialised countries is indispensable for the future of the developing countries. But the concomitant expansion of markets has to be accompanied by policies which ensure that the benefits will fuel genuine development. In particular, the benefits must be shared by the entire population of the developing countries instead of being reserved to the privileged few who have been faring well throughout the recession while poverty has been growing.

54. There can be no real economic progress on the global scale unless it goes hand in hand with the improvement of the social conditions of the working people everywhere. The poverty of hundreds of millions of people in the developing countries can, and must, be attacked by policies which at the same time help to reduce unemployment in the industrialised world. The long-term economic and employment problems in the industrialised countries can

only be solved if the issues of underdevelopment and poverty in the world are tackled. The interdependence is illustrated for instance by a recent study carried out by the Nordic and German trade unions, showing that the coordinated fulfilment of the United Nations' target of 0.7 per cent of GNP for development assistance would create a considerable number of new jobs in the OECD countries within a few years.

55. Private investment is no substitute to Official Development Assistance. Official Development Assistance must be considerably increased, and much better targeted and monitored than in the past. This assistance is vital for the development of a sound economic structure and a viable society (which includes the existence of wage structures, orderly industrial relations, social security, health and safety regulations, unemployment benefits, pensions schemes and so on). Indeed, an improvement in the economic and social structure of the developing countries will also facilitate private investment flows, and minimise their often disruptive side-effects. Any encouragement of private investment flows to developing countries should go hand in hand with greater compliance with the ILO Declaration on Multinational Enterprises and Social Policy, in which the special responsibilities of these enterprises for employment and job security have been recognised.
56. While the development process requires a much bigger quantity and variety of imports by the developing countries they have been forced, especially by the IMF austerity programmes, to drastically limit them, even imports of goods which are essential for their basic needs and their export industries. This IMF-administered cure has led into a further drop in living standards, higher food prices, power shortages, disruptions in public transport, and the postponement of development projects. Austerity programmes, quickly and rigidly imposed upon a debtor countries by the IMF, even undermine the necessary structural adjustment, and are thus self-defeating. The suspension of financial flows delays new investments, and when austerity programmes are imposed on many debtor countries at the same time, there is a cumulative downward effect on markets for goods exported by both industrialised and other developing countries.

Some of the countries facing rigid austerity programmes may have come late to the IMF, but this only demonstrates the gap between long-term development policies and the short-term need to survive. It also illustrates the speed with which the recession in the industrialised countries spread its effects to the developing part of the world and the inadequacy of the existing financial arrangements and institutions. Given that major rescue operations cannot be undertaken unconditionally, the conditions involved must be constructive. They must not put a stop to growth. It is wrong to call for cost-cutting and for the concentration of the limited resources available on one or two export sectors only; considerably more emphasis must be put on agriculture, energy, an efficient public sector, sanitation and health, tax reform, basic and adult education, and vocational education and training. It is evident that there is now a strong case for reviewing the conditionality framework of the IMF.

-----



000  
Ref. A084/1528

MR COLES

---

As you know, on 31 May an international trade delegation is to meet the Prime Minister, in her capacity as Chairman of the London Economic Summit, to present a submission on the views of the international trade union movement on the issues facing the world economy.

2. This is a standard feature of pre-Summit activity; and such delegations have been received by the Chairman of the year before each recent Summit.

--- 3. I attach a copy of the statement to which they will be speaking. There is a good deal in it, particularly as to assumptions and recommendations for action, with which the Prime Minister and most of her colleagues at the London Economic Summit will disagree. But there is a rather special domestic significance about this meeting, which means that both the Treasury and the TUC are extremely anxious that the meeting should be, and be seen to be, a friendly affair and a success.

4. The delegation will be led by Mr Basnett; and both the Treasury and the TUC believe that, if the meeting can be seen to be constructive and friendly, that will strengthen the hands of those in the TUC who want to bring the TUC back into the National Economic Development Council (NEDC) in September. The Chancellor of the Exchequer takes the view that it is in the Government's interest that those views should prevail, and that the TUC should return to the fold.

5. Clearly what is said in the statement issued to the press after this meeting will be important in this connection. The Assistant Secretary General of the TUC has told me that in his presentation Mr Basnett will stress that the objectives of the trade union movement are to create jobs, and to achieve technological and structural change "in a way which enhances rather than degrades the lives of our people". Though there are differences about other objectives, and about the way of achieving these particular objectives, it should be possible to register agreement on these objectives.

6. With this in mind, I have prepared a draft of the sort of press release that might be issued. The TUC have stressed to me that they will wish the press release to contain some account of their views as presented; and Mr Ingham has in mind that he would like to have some on-the-record remarks by the Prime Minister which he could relay in his briefing. I attach a copy of a draft press release herewith.

7. I am sending copies of this minute and the attachments to the Foreign and Commonwealth Secretary and the Chancellor of the Exchequer, and to Mr Ingham.


*Mc*  
Approved by  
ROBERT ARMSTRONG  
*and signed in his absence*

22 May 1984

DRAFT PRESS RELEASE

On 31 May 1984 the Prime Minister, the Rt Hon Mrs Margaret Thatcher FRS MP, received an international delegation of members of the Trade Unions Advisory Committee (TUAC). The delegation, which was led by Mr David Basnett, presented a submission by the TUAC to the London Economic Summit on the main issues facing the international economy. Other members of the delegation were:

2. The Prime Minister, opening the discussion, welcomed the delegation to 10 Downing Street. As Chairman of the London Economic Summit she valued the opportunity of hearing the views of the international trade union movement on the issues facing the world economy, and particularly the industrialised countries. The Summit came at a crucial <sup>time</sup> ~~junction~~: the economic recovery foreseen at Williamsburg <sup>is</sup> ~~could not~~ ~~be seen to be~~ under way. What <sup>is</sup> ~~was~~ important now <sup>is</sup> ~~was~~ to establish it as a basis for sustainable growth and to spread its benefits widely not only in the industrialised countries but in the rest of the free world. That would be the best possible basis for creating new and lasting jobs and reducing the levels of unemployment about which she and other Heads of State or Government were no less concerned




than the trade unions. But the achievement of sustainable growth would entail unremitting efforts to bring inflation down still further and to reduce the levels of interest rates, which were too high.

3. Mr Basnett, introducing the TUAC submission, said that it was based on the main objectives of creating jobs, and achieving technological and structural change in a way which enhances rather than ~~degrades~~ improves people's lives. The trade unions remained deeply concerned about the high levels of unemployment. They agreed that recovery and growth were essential conditions for reducing unemployment; they were not convinced that they were sufficient conditions, or that market forces alone would bring about the fall in unemployment which all desired to see. They emphasised the need for an internationally co-ordinated approach, with particular reference to investment in infrastructure, and the need to avoid cuts in wages or undermining of workers' rights in the name of labour market flexibility. They also stressed the need for co-operation with and support for the developing countries, so that those countries too could enjoy the benefits of economic recovery and growth.



4. Mr Basnett emphasised that the trade unions were the supporters, not the opponents, of change. They recognised that growth, employment and living standards depended on technological and structural change; they stressed that change could be successful only if it was based on understanding and at least some degree of consensus.

5. After other members of the delegation had spoken, the Prime Minister, summing up the discussion, once again thanked the delegation for coming and for the clear expression of their views. The delegation would not be surprised if she said that she would herself part company with them on some aspects of their diagnosis and prescription; but on the main objectives, as defined by Mr Basnett, there was a large measure of agreement. It was necessary to secure and establish the recovery, and to achieve sustainable growth. This was a necessary condition of bringing down levels of unemployment. The Prime Minister very much endorsed what had been said about the importance of technological and structural change in the creation of new jobs, and about the need to increase public understanding and acceptance of ~~technological~~ change: this had been a theme which she herself had stressed at the Versailles and Williamsburg Summits, and would stress again in London.



6. She also endorsed what had been said about the need to spread the benefits of growth to the developing countries. They stood to gain just as much as industrialised countries from economic recovery, since a growth in world trade associated with economic recovery would enable them to increase their exports to the industrialised countries; and (in the case of the debtor countries) would help them to meet the cost of servicing the debts which they had incurred. In this connection it was much to be hoped that the benefits of increasing exports would not be eroded by increasing debt service costs as a result of rises in interest rates: another reason why it should be an object of policy in the industrialised countries to create the conditions in which interest rates would fall rather than rise.

7. The Prime Minister concluded the meeting by repeating her thanks to the delegation for their visit, and her assurance that she would convey their views to her colleagues at the London Economic Summit.

C

SUMMARY OF MEETING BETWEEN PRIME MINISTER AND  
INTERNATIONAL TRADE UNION DELEGATION

On 31 May 1984 the Prime Minister, the Rt Hon Margaret Thatcher, FRS, MP, received a delegation of leaders of the trade unions in the major industrial countries participating in the London Economic Summit. The delegation, which was led by Mr David Basnett, presented a submission prepared in the framework of the TUAC (Trade Union Advisory Committee of the OECD) to the Summit on the main issues facing the international economy. Other members of the delegation were:

- Canada: Mr R Lang, Director of Legislation and Research, Canadian Labour Congress.
- France: Mr E Maire, General Secretary, Confédération Démocratique du Travail.
- Germany: Mr E Breit, President, Deutscher Gewerkschaftsbund.
- Italy: Mr L Lama, General Secretary, Confederazione Generale del Lavoro.  
Mr P Carniti, General Secretary, Confederazione Italiana Sindacati Lavoratori.  
Mr G Benvenuto, General Secretary, Unione Italiana del Lavoro.
- Japan: Mr T Usami, President, DOMEI.  
Mr T Kurokawa, President, SOHYO.  
Mr T Tateyama, President, Churitsuroren.
- UK: Mr L Murray, General Secretary, TUC.
- TUAC: Mr K Tapiola, General Secretary.
- ICFTU: Mr J Vanderveken, General Secretary, International Confederation of Free Trade Unions.
- ETUC: Mr M Hinterscheid, General Secretary, European Trade Union Confederation.
- WCL: Mr J Kulakowski, General Secretary, World Confederation of Labour.

The Prime Minister, opening the discussion, welcomed the delegation to 10 Downing Street. As Chairman of the London Economic

Summit she valued the opportunity of hearing the views of the international trade union movement on the issues facing the world economy, and particularly the industrialised countries. The Summit came at a crucial time: the economic recovery foreseen at Williamsburg is now underway. What is important now is to establish it as a basis for sustainable growth and to spread its benefits widely not only in the industrialised countries but in the rest of the free world. That would be the best possible basis for creating new and lasting jobs and reducing the levels of unemployment about which she and other Heads of State or Government were no less concerned than the trade unions. But the achievement of sustainable growth would entail unremitting efforts to bring inflation down still further and to reduce the levels of interest rates, which were too high.

Mr Basnett, introducing the TUAC submission, said that it was based on the main objectives of creating jobs, and achieving technological and structural change in a way which enhances people's lives. The trade unions remained deeply concerned about the high levels of unemployment. They agreed that recovery and growth were essential conditions for reducing unemployment; they were not convinced that they were sufficient conditions, or that market forces alone would bring about the fall in unemployment which all desired to see. They emphasised the need for an internationally co-ordinated approach, with particular reference to investment in infrastructure, and the need to avoid cuts in wages or undermining of workers' rights in the name of labour market flexibility. They said that reductions in working hours needed to accompany higher growth levels if lower unemployment rates are to be achieved. They also stressed the need for co-operation with and support for the developing countries, so that those countries too could enjoy the benefits of economic recovery and growth.

Mr Basnett emphasised that the trade unions were the supporters, not the opponents, of change. They recognised that growth, employment and living standards depended on technological and structural change; they stressed that change could be successful only if it was based on understanding and at least some degree of consensus.

After other members of the delegation had spoken, the Prime Minister, summing up the discussion, once again thanked the delegation



for coming and for the clear expression of their views. The delegation would not be surprised if she said that she would herself part company with them on some aspects of their diagnosis and prescription; but on the main objectives, <sup>creating jobs and facilitating technological change</sup> as defined by Mr Bassett, there was a large measure of agreement. It was necessary to secure and establish the recovery, and to achieve sustainable growth. This was a necessary condition of bringing down levels of unemployment. The Prime Minister very much endorsed what had been said about the importance of technological and structural change in the creation of new jobs, and about the need to increase public understanding and acceptance of change: this had been a theme which she herself had stressed at the Versailles and Williamsburg Summits, and would stress again in London.

She also endorsed what had been said about the need to spread the benefits of growth to the developing countries. They stood to gain just as much as industrialised countries from economic recovery, since a growth in the world trade associated with economic recovery would enable them to increase their exports to the industrialised countries; and (in the case of the debtor countries) would help them to meet the cost of servicing the debts which they had incurred. In this connection it was much to be hoped that the benefits of increasing exports would not be eroded by increasing debt service costs as a result of rises in interest rates: another reason why it should be an object of policy in the industrialised countries to create the conditions in which interest rates would fall rather than rise.

The Prime Minister concluded the meeting by repeating her thanks to the delegation for their visit, and her assurance that she would convey their views to her colleagues at the London Economic Summit.

31 May 1984

CONFIDENTIAL

ANNEX D

LONDON ECONOMIC SUMMIT

7-9 JUNE 1984

THEMATIC PAPER

	Paragraphs
<u>Introduction and Background</u>	1-8
<u>Prospects</u>	9-12
<u>Medium Term Objectives and Policies</u>	13
<u>Immediate Measures</u>	14
<u>North/South Relations</u>	15
<u>East/West Economic Relations</u>	16
<u>Science, Technology and the Environment</u>	17-20

Chevening, Kent

21 May 1984

Introduction and Background

1. Through successive recent Summits, governments have worked to bring down levels of inflation, nationally and internationally, to promote soundly-based growth in their own countries and to spread the benefits more widely, and to promote greater stability in international monetary and exchange conditions. Over the past two years, inflation has fallen and output has begun to recover, particularly strongly in the United States but also in other industrialised countries.
  
2. The main concerns are now: to reinforce the basis for enduring growth, without provoking a resurgence of inflation, and to continue to spread its benefits; to make sure that the industrial economies develop flexibly and in response to market needs and technological change; to create conditions conducive to lower interest rates; to encourage flexible labour policies; by these and other means to create conditions for a sustained improvement in employment, especially for the young; to promote improvement of the functioning of the international monetary system; to strengthen and liberalise the international trading system and capital markets; to continue to manage international debt problems in ways which both meet the needs of the debtor countries and protect the viability of the international financial system; to promote and encourage conditions for adequate financial flows to non-industrialised countries; and to co-operate with those countries in their efforts to place their economies on a more secure and self-reliant basis.
  
3. In many respects, the recovery in the industrialised nations is more soundly-based than in some previous cycles, founded as it is upon real and often painful structural changes against a background of firm efforts in most countries to reduce underlying fiscal deficits and control monetary growth. But its continuation cannot be taken for granted; it requires unremitting efforts. In the industrialised countries, failure to sustain policies to reduce inflation and damp down inflationary expectations could put recovery at risk. Many other countries, including relatively advanced economies with substantial debt burdens as well as persistently low income countries, are still encountering severe difficulties.

4. The main developments over the past year have been -

- 4.1 - economic growth - strong in North America, fairly strong in Japan, somewhat less in certain European countries. This variation has helped to avoid some of the pressures seen in earlier, more rapid, recoveries;
- 4.2 - improvements in productivity, meaning that recovery has so far had only a limited impact on employment. Experience on job creation has varied widely in the Summit countries, with a particularly strong rise in employment in the United States (only partly the result of the strong recovery there);
- 4.3 - significant reductions in inflation, although it remains high in some countries;
- 4.4 - a tendency to increasing budget deficits in certain Summit countries but reductions in others;
- 4.5 - a revival in world trade, with a marked rise in the imports of some Summit countries, especially the United States, helping to spread the benefits of the recovery;
- 4.6 - high interest rates, currently rising particularly in the United States;
- 4.7 - continuing strength of the dollar and continuing volatility of certain exchange rates;
- 4.8 - a reduced rate of growth of monetary aggregates;
- 4.9 - substantial imbalances in current account between Summit countries: the United States deficit has increased considerably, the Japanese surplus has been rising, and the Summit countries as a whole have moved in to deficit with the rest of the world. Reductions in current account deficits elsewhere;
- 4.10 - many debtor countries still face substantial debt servicing costs.

5. The situation of the poorest countries, especially in sub-Saharan Africa, remains daunting. Official aid from most of the Summit countries has increased, but its rise is constrained by budgetary pressures. The outcome of negotiations to replenish the International Development Association (IDA) has so far disappointed

many governments.

6. The flexible strategy on debt evolved during the last few years has worked well so far. World economic recovery has been helping the debtor countries but its benefits will be impaired if interest rates continue to rise. An increasing number of debtor countries have accepted the need to adjust their economic policies, and are seeing the benefits. A key role has been played by the IMF, whose resources have been strengthened. But there is still a long way to go.

7. Some progress has been made in implementing the commitments to resist and reverse protectionism made last year at the OECD Ministerial meeting and at Williamsburg. All Summit governments have agreed to accelerate implementation of the Tokyo Round and to ease restrictions on imports from the poorest countries. Nevertheless, there has been no general easing of protectionist pressures and demands for new protectionist measures persist. Little has been done either in the industrialised countries or in the newly industrialised countries to dismantle trade barriers or to reduce internal constraints on competition.

8. Oil prices have remained relatively stable during the year but the market balance is fragile because of current political factors. Other commodity prices have risen modestly.

#### Prospects

9. Most forecasters expect that on present policies the recovery in the Summit countries should continue, at least for the foreseeable future. Output in North America may rise more slowly after the rapid recent increases, reflecting a return to more sustainable growth; activity in Japan and the European economies should strengthen. Unemployment seems likely to fall further in the United States, but elsewhere the recovery may in the short term bring little or no reduction, particularly where market rigidities persist or where there is short run unemployment caused by structural adjustments. In this situation, and given prudent monetary policies, it should be possible to avoid an early resurgence of

CONFIDENTIAL

inflation. Recovery combined with present fiscal policies should help to limit budget deficits in most countries; in the United States the Administration, with its "down payment" measures, has taken an important step in the process of reducing the budget deficit.

10. Prospects for interest rates are uncertain but disquieting. Interest rates have recently been rising, particularly strongly in the United States. Among the factors to which this is attributed are : inflationary expectations, inappropriate monetary policies, rapidly expanding growth, availability of higher rates of return than those on fixed interest assets, and the budgetary deficit. Elsewhere, further progress in curbing inflation and budget deficits may ease interest rate pressures.

11. Exchange rate prospects are also uncertain. The influence on the United States dollar of the level of the current United States balance of payments deficit could be offset by the high rate of return on assets in the United States. Japan's growing surplus and strong macroeconomic performance could lead to upward pressure on the yen, especially in view of recent and pending liberalisation of its capital markets. The maintenance of a high degree of stability of exchange rates in the EMS, brought about by a greater degree of convergence in policies, will depend on the continuing success of the countries concerned in bringing their inflation rates together at a low level.

12. Current account imbalances among Summit countries could increase. Rising exports and higher commodity prices will go some way to help many of the non-oil developing countries to service their debts and reduce their current account deficits. The prospect that the increase in energy demand will be only modest should help towards achieving stable oil prices, leaving aside political factors.

Medium Term Objectives and Policies

13. We have the opportunity to move to a period of sustained growth. If this is to be achieved, Summit countries need to set themselves a common range of medium-term objectives, and to take account of the impact of their policies on each other and on the rest of the world. Other countries and groups of countries have already expressed their concern in this respect. The objectives are

- 13.1 - to continue to pursue, and where necessary to strengthen, appropriate monetary policies, supported by strict control of public expenditure and fiscal deficits, aiming at still lower rates of inflation. Especially firm action will be needed in countries where inflation remains relatively high;
- 13.2 - to create the conditions for lower nominal and real interest rates. It will be important to reduce inflationary expectations. Structural budget deficits need to be reduced;
- 13.3 - to ensure that, as demand expands, the unemployed are drawn into productive employment; this will also reduce the strains on public expenditure from social insurance provisions, which seem likely otherwise to rise to insupportable levels in many industrialised countries. A major objective will be to reduce obstacles to higher employment by encouraging industrial adaptation, job training and flexibility in the use of labour and in wage structures, discouraging measures to prop up declining industries, and reducing or phasing out subsidies and other assistance which distorts markets;
- 13.4 - to promote conditions for the development of new technologies, in traditional as well as in new industries, and to encourage international technical co-operation and trade in the products of high technology and a more rapid and widespread acceptance of technological change;
- 13.5 - to promote conditions which favour productive investment and private enterprise, including in innovative small businesses;

- 13.6 - to make renewed efforts to liberalise and expand trade, in services as well as in manufactures and commodities;
- 13.7 - to maintain and wherever possible increase flows of resources, including official development assistance, to the developing countries, especially to the poorest countries; and to encourage practical measures to conserve resources and enhance indigenous food and energy production;
- 13.8 - to find ways of increasing the flow of private investment, through the progressive removal of impediments to capital flows and by encouraging a climate of confidence for investment;
- 13.9 - to adapt and improve the operation of the international financial system. This entails creating a more stable medium term financial environment by prudent and convergent policies and performance among the major countries, providing an adequate flow of funding to the international financial institutions and removing restrictions on and improving international access to capital markets in industrialised countries;
- 13.10 - to assist debtor countries in making the necessary economic and financial adjustments, taking due account of political and social difficulties; for them as for the industrialised countries, success will depend on sustained world recovery and adequate but not excessive world liquidity;
- 13.11 - to pursue policies which will avoid, wherever possible, sudden and de-stabilising movements in either direction in international oil markets;
- 13.12 - to recognise the international dimension of environmental problems and the role of environmental factors in economic development; to promote research into the causes, effects and means of limiting pollution; and, particularly in the light of such research, to make greater national and international efforts to reduce, as far as practicable, the pollution of air, water and ground.



Immediate Measures

14. In order to attain these objectives within a framework of sustained growth and promotion of employment, Summit countries can agree on the following measures -

- 14.1 - to make further progress on prudent fiscal and monetary policies, so as to achieve lower inflation and interest rates and greater exchange rate stability; and in particular to carry forward and develop as appropriate the agreed procedures for multilateral monitoring and surveillance of national economic and financial policies;
- 14.2 - to support and strengthen work in the appropriate international organisations, notably the OECD, on policies to improve economic efficiency, incentives and flexibility and to consider how understanding of the sources and patterns of economic change can be improved;
- 14.3 - to reduce obstacles to growth, in particular by encouraging productive investment, harmonising international standards, creating a climate for and stimulating innovation and adaptation, encouraging job training, facilitating the mobility of labour and capital and flexibility in wage structures, and increasing public understanding and acceptance of the need for technological development;
- 14.4 - to invite Finance Ministers to carry forward, as a matter of urgency, their current work on ways to improve the operation of the international monetary system, including exchange rates, surveillance, the creation, control and distribution of international liquidity and the role of the IMF; and by early 1985 to complete the present phase of their work and to make recommendations for next steps, including further international discussion;

CONFIDENTIAL

- 14.5 - to confirm the strategy on debt and to continue to implement it flexibly, welcoming the progress made by many debtor countries and the extensive international co-operation which has supported them, and recognising that continued recovery, lower interest rates and trade liberalisation will be important for further progress;
- 14.6 - to develop arrangements which could foster renewed economic progress by debtor countries and strengthen their credit standing. These include the encouragement of private direct investment and continuing flexibility in commercial bank lending; closer co-operation between the IMF and the IBRD; IBRD operations which can help to attract long-term capital investment; and improvements where necessary in the official supervision of lending by commercial banks;
- 14.7 - to provide adequate flows of official development assistance, including that channelled through the IDA, with special attention to the needs of the poorest countries, particularly those in Sub-Saharan Africa;
- 14.8 - to urge all trading countries to resist continuing protectionist pressures and to reduce barriers to trade;
- 14.9 - to accelerate the completion of current trade liberalisation programmes, in cooperation with other trading partners; to press forward with the work on trade in services in the international fora; and to explore with other GATT partners as quickly as possible the objectives for, the participation in and the timing of a new negotiating round;
- 14.10 - to invite the Working Group in Technology, Growth and Employment, to :
  - consider what has been done so far and identify special areas for research on the causes, effects and means of limiting environmental pollution where existing knowledge is inadequate;
  - identify possible projects for industrial cooperation to develop cost-effective techniques to reduce environmental damage;and to report by 31 December 1984.

North/South Relations

15. In the light of the foregoing, Heads of State or Government may wish to consider what position the London Summit should take in relation to proposals for pursuing the so-called North-South dialogue.

East/West Economic Relations

16. The Summit countries note, with approval, the continuing consensus on the security and other implications of economic relations with Eastern countries, and on the need to pursue the continuing work on this subject in the appropriate organisations.

Science, Technology and the Environment

17. The Summit countries welcome the report of the Working Group on Technology, Growth and Employment created by the Versailles Summit, and the progress made in the 18 areas of co-operation launched following that Summit. They invite the Group to pursue further work on technology and the environment, education and employment, adaptation to technological change, trade in technology and the products of technology, and the sharing of major scientific facilities, and to report to Personal Representatives in time for the next Economic Summit.

18. The Summit countries thank Prime Minister Nakasone for his report on the Hakone Conference on Life Sciences and Mankind, organised by the Japan Foundation in March 1984, and welcome the intention of the French Government to host a follow-up Conference in 1985.

19. They welcome the invitation from the United States Administration to other Summit countries to participate in the development of an international manned space station. They note that a space station would be an example of the kind of programme that provides a stimulus for technological development leading to

strengthened economies and improved quality of life. They agree that other Summit countries will actively examine the possibility of accepting the invitation, having regard to their own space programmes. They welcome the intention of the United States Administration to report at the next Summit on international participation in the programme.

20. The Summit countries also welcome the invitation from the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany to other Summit countries to a multilateral conference on the environment in Munich on 24-27 June 1984.



PRIME MINISTER'S MEETING WITH AN INTERNATIONAL TRADE UNION  
DELEGATION, THURSDAY, 31 MAY

LONDON ECONOMIC SUMMIT

Points to Make

1. Noted twin objectives of TUAC paper, creating jobs and achieving acceptable technological and structural change. Agree on desirability of creating permanent, real jobs and on importance of adapting to technological change in both new and existing industries. But do not necessarily agree with methods of achieving those objectives.

2. Useful to have TUAC's expression of views in advance of the Summit. As hosts welcome contribution these views make to work of preparing Summit. Economic Summits do not set detailed policies for individual countries to pursue. Aim is to achieve better understanding of world economy and work towards common objectives and policies. Policies in individual countries must take account of international effects while national government retain responsibility for their own economic policy.

3. Main objective for Summit will be to agree need to sustain recovery and spread benefits to the rest of the world, as identified by TUAC paper. Recognise trade as important transmission mechanism for growth. Summit will be seeking credible commitment on resisting protectionism.

4. Summit will be examining debt problems of developing countries particularly in medium term context. Agree that lower interest rates and greater exchange rate stability desirable, not only for debtor countries. Have made our views known to the Americans on importance of their economy in this respect. But the key is adjustment by debtor countries. Support the role of the IMF. Work on international monetary system, as suggested at Williamsburg, continues in G10.

Nov  
early - long  
MWH



5. An underlying theme of Summit will be need for structural change to allow economy to grow. This process can be self-reinforcing by using results of growth for productive investment to generate employment. Public acceptance of new technology important in realising it is beneficial for job creation.

6. Note that TUAC document also submitted to OECD Ministerial Meeting. Communiqué of the meeting reflected some of TUAC concerns notably need to make inroads into unemployment.



Background

7. Every year the Trade Union Advisory Committee to the OECD (TUAC) prepares a paper for presentation to the OECD Ministerial Meeting and the annual 7-power Economic Summit. The text of the paper is at Annex A. The Prime Minister need read only the Summary. It is traditional for an international trade union delegation to call on the Summit host in the long run up to the Summit itself to present this paper. The delegation will consist of representatives from the US, Germany, Japan, Italy and France led by Mr David Basnett, Chairman of the TUAC.

8. Mr Lea, Assistant General Secretary of the TUC called on Sir Robert Armstrong on 31 May to discuss the meeting with the Prime Minister. He said that the TUC hoped it would be possible to present the meeting with the Prime Minister as useful, both to the press immediately afterwards and in the report that would be made to the TUC's Economic Committee in June. Sir Robert Armstrong's minute of 22 May to Mr Coles (Annex B) describes the importance of a positive outcome to the meeting.

9. Mr Lea said he hoped the meeting with the Prime Minister might concentrate on the elements in the TUAC paper with which we could agree, particularly the 2 main themes (of creating jobs and achieving technological and structural change in a positive way) and the need to ensure that everyone shared in the benefits of recovery. He hoped the Prime Minister would be able to commend the TUAC document to her Summit colleagues as a constructive contribution to their debate even though she did not agree with it entirely. Mr Lea identified two areas which it might not be profitable to discuss in detail, namely:

a) the view that recovery might be helped by a reduction in real wages associated with a weakening of trade unionism.

b) the trade unions' view that high employment could not be restored without a reduction in working hours, which the unions did not see as being a barrier to increase competitiveness.

Mr Lea said he hoped the Prime Minister would be able to say something helpful about the need to explain new technology to those affected by it and to bring about their involvement in and agreement to its introduction.

10. The approach of the TUAC document is both different from and more detailed than that outlined in the thematic paper for the London Economic Summit (text at Annex C). The OECD Ministerial did not endorse the thrust of the TUAC's strategy. While the TUAC document agrees that inflation should not be rekindled, it does not say how the measures which it proposes should be introduced would be paid for. It sees a greater role for governments in such fields as infrastructure, investment, negotiating structural change and flows of finance for developing countries. The paper argues unconvincingly that its proposals, particularly higher government capital expenditure, are in part self-financing. But it does not explain how the cost of extra public expenditure would be funded nor the inflationary dangers avoided. The document's judgement that present policies have not been successful seems premature. Prospects generally seem better in the countries which have had most success in reducing inflation.

11. Nevertheless there are certain resonances between the TUAC document and the thematic paper.

a) both documents recognise the need for soundly based economic growth;

b) both stress the need to spread the benefits of growth more widely;

c) both accept the need to avoid rekindling inflation (the TUAC rather obliquely - eg the first sentence of para 7);

d) both favour growth in world trade (although the TUAC link this to growth and 'the expansion of domestic markets');

e) both want lower interest rates and greater stability in exchange markets;





f) both want to help debtor countries but differ on methods.

12. EUROPEAN TRADE UNION CONFEDERATION: The UK was almost alone among Western European countries in not being represented at an employment conference organised by the ETUC in Strasbourg in April. We felt there was little to be gained in hearing familiar arguments rehearsed in an unsympathetic forum, particularly as in February a Department of Employment Minister (Mr Gummer) met overseas colleagues to consider problems of employment growth at a time of structural change at a high-level conference organised by the OECD. Mr Basnett, as Chairman of the TUAC, also attended.

FOREIGN AND COMMONWEALTH OFFICE

29 May 1984

KODAK Q-60 Color Input Target

C M Y



IT8.7/2-1993  
2007:03

[FTP://FTP.KODAK.COM/GASTDS/Q60DATA](ftp://ftp.kodak.com/gastds/q60data)

Q-60R2 Target for  
KODAK  
Professional Papers

