

## SECRETARY OF STATE FOR ENERGY THAMES HOUSE SOUTH MILLBANK LONDON SWIP 40J

01-211 6402

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

2Z May 1979.

T.P. Lankester, Esq., Private Secretary to the Prime Minister, 10 Downing Street, London SW1.

Dear lim,

PRIME MINISTER'S VISIT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

I attach a first - very rough - draft of a note of the principal points made in discussion on Friday. I'll be happy to take on board any comments you may have.

The precise style in which it is reported will plainly depend on the choice of circulation.

John Arnott, Private Secretary.

Encl.

PRINCIPAL POINTS MADE BY THE PRIME MINISTER ON HER VISIT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY: 18 MAY 1979.

Oil

The Prime Minister expressed the view that the cuts in consumption incurred through or on behalf of international agencies could not be top priorities for the UK, It was much more important to keep industry going by maintaining adequate supplies of fuel. She was very concerned that a specific Halet figure of 5% was a blanked restriction which did not take sufficient account of the circumstances of individual countries. Generally on fuel stocks for the coming winter she noted that if there should be any difficulties in supply we would have need of maximum reserves of other fuels, and felt that as a contribution towards flexibility we should have more dualfired power stations. If there should prove to be difficulties with supplies it would be absurd not to have taken any possible steps towards securing alternative supplies, and she asked specifically what constraints applied to the import of coal nouired about the in order to support coal stocks. causes of the decline in/explomation/and as to the right balance of incentive and compulsion for future policy. and felt that there was a real risk that the of the regardless of the trail to it balancing carrets would have a damaging effect on confidence.

Coal

是 X X

The Prime Minister asked what we were able to do with the £300 million loss which the NCB expected to make this year

wild story of the way

annited

KULL

VEGB

Chola

Lund

nyms

a dons

The derivation of the provide of the

on ton

hold hold

t my

729

Seand recalled that Government's relations with the NCB had largely consisted of a sories of write-offs for so long as she could remember. She asked how much a pit had to be losing before it could be closed, and expressed confidence that many miners would be happy to accept closure providing that they were given generous terms of redundancy. The Rhondda was cited as an area where extensive pit closures had not prevented the development of economic prosperity. She hed that she was born and bred in the area of the Belvoir development, St felt that there were too few public areas left in Britain and very much hoped this project would not proceed. She had great difficulty in seeing the money which the Government had continuously put into the coal industry as any kind of serious investment proposition; as there remained no likelihood of a return on the money which had been put in. She recalled again that Over the years of her knowledge of the industry it had frequently been promised that a few more years of investment would see the industry round the corner; but the impovement had yet trappear

Electricity

On re-organisation, the Prime Minister said that she had never been able to see the case for a further re-organisation. She was against it because it tended to absorb energy which could otherwise be applied to the problems which the industry faced.

There had been many re-organisations in the past which had failed to produce a wholly satisfactory structure and she felt there was a need to inject more competition into the field. She recalled that the Conservative Party had suggested many years ago a structure of regional power boards, rather than a

Che barreton

Che barreton

Che barreton

Che barreton

Che moonin

Che moonin

Che harreton

Che ha

ensome

mon o

whats

the hughery

Sum

DRAFT/3.

the way was properly a north for the CEGB, who was the control of the court of the

centralised industry and remarked that she felt it would be highly beneficial if the consumer could have the option of buying surplus electricity from any producer-such as a local manufacturerwho might happen to have excess production, rather than being tied to a CECB monopoly. Rather than a re-organisation of the industry she would like to see fairly small changes to open the industry up to competition and wondered whether it would be possible to add something to this end to the Trade Secretary's Competition Bill which is being apprologic at the moment. She remarked on the difficulties which had attended of the on the decision by the industry to use 500 Mw/sets and wondered why the Government did not have the power to discourage the Board from such imprudent technological adventures. She also expressed surprise that more attention had not been given over the years to the relatively high losses of the electricity transmission eyetem. Pachetie.

Nuclear

and believed that we would only come close to solving our problems in energy supply when we had a substantial production of electricity from nuclear power. She would very much like to see the CDFR project proceed. She was not in the least worried by the Three Mile Island Incident and was sure that when such reactors owne to be constructed in this country our engineers would not cut corners in a way that might expose us to similar cangers. She noted with regret that the French nuclear programme in fast reactors which had once been belied ours was now ahead of us. She noted that the Government was committed to a

arud

fund ha

durked

to man

6- 660 mw

.

major public enquiry on the CDFR but would like to see this possible within that constraint. She move ahead as fast as possible within that constraint. She noted the desirability of ensuring that all engineering problems were fully worked out before relevant construction work commenced, as had not happened with the first AGR programme.

efforts to present nuclear power in a positive light and commended a lecture/which Sir John Hill had given which remarked that a critical analysis of the use of coal as a fuel, had it been performed before the exploitation of coal became commonplace, would certainly have condemned such a dangerous and messy power source. If we were to have adequate supplies of energy and fundamental acceptability of, nuclear power was essential.

She remarked on nuclear waste that she had been berrified by the volume of waste which was produced. She hoped that some more efficient way of dealing with such a large quantity of material could be found, and that we would not hesitate to purchase foreign technology for this purpose.

## Gas

The Prime Minister hoped that the price of gas would not be increased for reasons of energy policy alone. She noted that the new Government had inherited a great many inflationary pressures which would have to be allowed to work their way through the system. She would not wish to see unnecessary additions to these.

## BNOC

The Prime Minister made clear that she saw no value in having another commercial oil company. She noted that there were real restraints on a re-assessment of ENOC role in its existing contracts and commitments which would have to be respected. She saw no advantage of selling off a public interest in ENOC, on the lines of BP, and would prefer to dismember it. The equity interests could be sold off, subject to any provide required to retain a control. She was not convinced that having oil in the hands of ENOC put the Government in any stronger position in effecting EEC restrictions than it would be in the absence of ENOC.

hetradical mythickers

The proposition of the on the order

that the proposition of the order

or empory from the proposition of the order

that the proposi