

29 Mar Last night at 1830 when I was ab<sup>b</sup> to go to the Max Beloff  
buffet at the Athenaeum Peter Carrington came into my room at  
the H.L. He is disturbed at the way the party is being run. We  
are giving the impression of an extreme right wing party. Two  
persons to blame Margaret & Keith - I said I had warned  
again and again but had been ignored. Had wanted to go  
over the devolution blunder, but inhibited because of H.L.  
and my partnership w: him. No change of leader possible.  
He agreed & he add<sup>d</sup>: "What is more, no one is in sight"  
I did not agree. I said Ted, Willie, F. Pym & J. Prior, all  
less glamorous than M.T. wd. do better than her in way we  
were discussing, but no change in leadership possible so  
soon after last. He sd: Well what are we going to do  
after she gets in if she gets in? I said all we can do

is to see she is surrounded by adequate advisers. P. said At present there are Keith who is largely to blame, and Angus, who is not extreme, but bitter. We agreed that in the circs. he wd speak to P. Thomeycroft and arrange to see Margaret all three together. We both agreed Margaret an extremely nice person, but I said that I had never had much confidence in her judgment & my fears had been realised. Keith tho' clever, even brilliant, is dotty & lacks moral fibre for high office. Margaret is extremely good at walkabout & meeting the public, but politically unaware. The big industrialists have nimbled this. I spoke of my own conversation with Plowden at Millions. Peter agrees & said they much resented the hectoning way she lectured them. He said one had said: "I do not mind being treated as a schoolboy if only she wd. put me in the 6<sup>th</sup> form. But I do mind being put in the 4<sup>th</sup>." Plowden, with less succininity had said the same to me.

Wilberforce's judgment in Pizsey v Simmonds came round y'day. He agrees w: mine & does not wish to ad