



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
 MAIN BUILDING WHITEHALL LONDON SW1A 2HB
 Telephone 01-218 2111/3

ECJ (1)
 PS (1)
 CC N/Kerr
 Ok 16/9
 (58)

MO 13/4V

MWB 300/9

RECEIVED
 16 SEP 1988
 16 SEP 1988
 NEW OFFICER
 JUNIOR OFFICER

16/9

16 September 1988

Dear Charles,

PRIME MINISTER'S SPEECH IN BRUGES

Thank you for your letter of 14th September and revised version of the Prime Minister's speech.

We suggest three small changes:-

a. Page 58. The last 2 lines could be taken to go further than we would wish in accepting the US case for reducing their defence budget. The same point could be made as follows:-

" their natural desire that their allies should play a full part in the common defence of freedom particularly as " etc.

b. Page 60. The reference to aircraft in line 3 could be misconstrued as we are endeavouring to keep them out of our proposals for the Conventional stability negotiations, while the East is pressing for them to be highlighted. Either "aircraft" could be dropped, or the whole phrase in parenthesis deleted without affecting the flow of the text;

c. Page 62. The paragraph beginning "The time has come ..." tends to convey that nothing has been done by Europe (including UK) in these areas. This could be taken down and used against us eg in the burdensharing debate. For this reason, and at slight expense in terms of rhetoric, we would prefer to drop the "which phrases" clause.

I am copying this letter to Bob Pierce (FCO).

Your sincerely
 Brian Hawtin
 (B R HAWTIN)
 Private Secretary

Charles Powell Esq
 10 Downing Street



MO 13/4V

MWB 30079

19 SEP 1988

All these mps can now go on for.

RS
N. K. R. 1
OK 16/9

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

MAIN BUILDING WHITEHALL LONDON SW1A 2HB

Telephone 01-218 2111/3

1. Do. Do. news

2. Do. again.

16/ix

16

September 1988

19/ix

enter + pa.

19/ix

Dear Charles

PRIME MINISTER'S SPEECH IN BRUGES

Thank you for your letter of 14th September and revised version of the Prime Minister's speech. (67)

We suggest three small changes:-

a. Page 58. The last 2 lines could be taken to go further than we would wish in accepting the US case for reducing their defence budget. The same point could be made as follows:-

" their natural desire that their allies should play a full part in the common defence of freedom particularly as " etc.

b. Page 60. The reference to aircraft in line 3 could be misconstrued as we are endeavouring to keep them out of our proposals for the Conventional stability negotiations, while the East is pressing for them to be highlighted. Either "aircraft" could be dropped, or the whole phrase in parenthesis deleted without affecting the flow of the text;

c. Page 62. The paragraph beginning "The time has come ..." tends to convey that nothing has been done by Europe (including UK) in these areas. This could be taken down and used against us eg in the burdensharing debate. For this reason, and at slight expense in terms of rhetoric, we would prefer to drop the "which phrases" clause.

I am copying this letter to Bob Pierce (FCO).

Your sincerely
B R HAWTIN

(B R HAWTIN)
Private Secretary

Charles Powell Esq
10 Downing Street