[PLEASE RETURN COPY TO TYPISTS]

FOKLANDS SELECTIVE ADVANCES (38)

PS PS/MR HURD PS/IER ONSLOW PS/PUS Bolstoad LIR BULLARD SIR I SINCLAIR MR GIFFARD MR WRIGHT ER GILLMORE L'R URE MR BARRETT HS/S AM D HD/DEF D HD/PLANNING STAFF HD/UND HD/NEWS D HD/ERD\_ HD/ECD(E) HD/PUSD FELERGENCY ROOM

PS/NO 10 DOWNING STREET (2 copies)

PS/S OF S DEFENCE

PS/HOME SECRETARY

PS/HOME SECRETARY (c/o NO 10 DSt)

PS/ATTORNEY GENERAL

PS/CHANCELLOR DUCHY OF LANCASTER

PS/SIR R ARMSTRONG
PS/SIR M PALLISER,
PS/SIR A DUFF
MR WADE-GERY
MR FULLER

CABINET

) OFFICE

MR O'NEILL HD ASSESS-MRC. MENTS STAFF DIO

MR J M STEWART AUSD STAFF MOD ADMIRAL SIR ROY HALLIDAY DGI MOD DIRECTOR G.C.H.Q. ( Sia Rosus):

SECRET

RESIDENT CLERK

FROM UKMIS NEW YORK 201439Z MAY 82
TO FLASH F C O
TELEGRAM NUMBER 795 DATED 20 MAY 82
INFO FLASH WASHINGTON

Ry

YOUR TELNO 442: FALKLANDS

- 1. I SPOKE AS INSTRUCTED TO THE SECRETARY-GENERAL AT 1410Z

  TODAY (20 MAY). I SAID THAT WE APPRECIATED THE POSITIVE ASPECTS
  IN HIS AIDE MEMOIRE. BUT IT DIFFERED IN IMPORTANT RESPERCTS
  FROM OUR BOTTOM LINE. A FORTIOR! IT DIFFERED FUNDAMENTALLY FROM
  THE LATEST ARGENTINE POSITION. EVEN IF ACCEPTABLE TO BOTH SIDES
  AS A BASIS FOR NEGOTIATIONS IT WOULD TAKE DAYS IF NOT WEEKS
  TO KNOW WHETHER SUCCESS COULD BE ACHIEVED. I HAD EMPHASISED
  THE IMPORTANCE OF OUR DEADLINE OF MID-DAY ON 19 MAY.
- 2. PEREZ DE CUELLAR INTERRUPTED TO SAY THAT HE KNEW THE IMPORTANCE
  OF OUR DEADLINE. HIS IDEA HAD BEEN TO WORK TODAY WITH THE ARGENTINES
  IN ORDER TO SEE WHETHER HE COULD PERSUADE THEM TO PREPARE A
  COMPREHENSIVE PAPER FOR PRESENTATION TO US IN PLACE OF THEIR
  UNSATISEACTORY DOCUMENT OF 18 MAY.

COMPREHENSIVE PAPER FOR PRESENTATION TO US IN PLACE OF THEIR UNSATISFACTORY DOCUMENT OF 18 MAY. 3. I WENT ON TO SAY THAT EVEN IF WE WERE PREPARED TO NEGOTIATE ON THE BASIS OF HIS PAPER, WE WOULD FIRST NEED TO SEE DETAILED COMMENTS FROM THE ARGENTINES ON EVERY SINGLE POINT IN IT. WE WOULD FOR INSTANCE NEED WRITTEN CONFIRMATION THAT THEY AGREED WITH EVERY WORD OF THE FORMULATIONS ON THE POINTS WHERE HE HAD CONCLUDED THAT THE PARTIES WERE IN ESSENTIAL AGREEMENT. 4. PEREZ DE CUELLAR SAID THAT THAT COINCIDED EXACTLY WITH HIS OWN THINKING 5. I THEN REPEATED THE POINTS I HAD ALREADY MADE, AND WENT ON TO SPEAK ABOUT MILITARY PLANS AS IN PARAGRAPH 2 OF YOUR TELEGRAM UNDER REFERENCE. PEREZ DE CUELAR SAID ON THE LATTER POINT THAT THAT HAD BEEN HIS UNDERSTANDING FROM THE VERY BEGINNING. 6. IN CONCLUSION PEREZ DE CUELLAR SAID THAT HE HAD TOLD THE PRESS THAT HE HAD NOT PRODUCED A PLAN, JUST CONFIDENTIAL IDEAS WHICH HE HOPED WOULD ASSIST THE PARTIES TO REACH A NEGOTIATED SETTLEMENT. HE HAD NO INTENTION OF PUBLISHING THESE: IT WOULD BE DISHONEST TO DO SO. PARSONS NNNN