

SECRET

WHITE HOUSE SITUATION ROOM

Judge

PAGE 01 OF 04 USDEL SECRETARY BUE 6049 DTG: 191754Z APR 82 PSN: 046257
SIT650 TOR: 109/1846Z

DISTRIBUTION: WPC MCF WHLR JP VP RENT SIT FONT EOB /012

FLASH
DE RUEHLA #6049 1091755
Z 191754Z APR 82 ZFF-6
FM USDEL SECRETARY BUENOS AIRES

TO AMEMBASSY LONDON FLASH
THE WHITE HOUSE FLASH
SECSTATE WASHDC FLASH 6728

~~SECRET~~ SECTION 1 OF 2 SECTO 6049

NODIS
EO 12065: RDS-3 4/19/12
TAGS: OVIP, (HAIG, ALEXANDER M., JR.)
SUBJECT: ANNOTATIONS OF DRAFT TEXT WORKED OUT IN BUENOS AIRES
PREAMBLE:

THIS IS A MERGER OF TEXTS WE CARRIED FROM LONDON AND RECEIVED FROM THE ARGENTINES. IT CONTAINS CLEAR REFERENCE TO UNSC RES. 502 AS THE BASIS FOR THE AGREEMENT, AND RETAINS THE IMPORTANT CONCEPT THAT THE TEXT IS AN INTEGRAL WHOLE. PARAGRAPH 1 (CESSATION OF HOSTILITIES). THIS IMPLEMENTS OPERATIVE PARAGRAPH 1 OF THE UNSC RESOLUTION. PARAGRAPH 2 AND 3 (WITHDRAWL AND SEPARATION OF FORCES) THESE PROVIDE THE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF WITHDRAWL AND NON-INTRODUCTION OF FORCES. WE WERE UNABLE GET ARGENTINE AGREEMENT TO FOREGO FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT EXCEPT FOR THE THREE SPECIFIED 150 NM WITHDRAWL/NON-INTRODUCTION ZONES. THE STATEMENT THAT ARGENTINA WILL NOT OPERATE ITS FORCES IN THE ZONES IS DESIGNED TO MAKE CLEAR THAT THEIR SO-CALLED "THEATER OF OPERATIONS" DOES NOT APPLY. THE WITHDRAWL SCHEDULE PERMITS UK NAVAL FORCES TO REMAIN WITHIN THE ZONE FOR SEVEN DAYS, AT WHICH TIME ARGENTINA

DECLASSIFIED

NLS F96-003-1, # 76

~~SECRET~~

BY dlb NARA, DATE 5/9/00

Reproduced at the Ronald Reagan Library

NODIS

NODIS

~~SECRET~~

WHITE HOUSE SITUATION ROOM

PAGE 02 OF 04 USDEL SECRETARY BUE 6049 DTG: 191754Z APR 82 PSN: 046257

MUST HAVE WITHDRAWN HALF OF THEIR FORCES. THE IDEA OF REQUIRING THE UK NAVAL TASK FORCE SEVEN DAYS TO BE AT 1750 NM FROM THE COORDINATES PROVIDES FLEXIBILITY. THE POSITION OF UK FORCES AT THE TIME OF AGREEMENT WILL OF COURSE DETERMINE THE CLOSEST POINT OF APPROACH OF THOSE FORCES TO THE COORDINATE POINTS BEFORE HAVING TO TURN BACK IN ORDER TO BE AT 1750 NM ON DAY SEVEN. THE UK WILL OF COURSE WANT TO LOOK VERY CLOSELY AT THE TREATMENT OF THE SUBMARINES, WHICH WAS A MAJOR POINT OF CONTROVERSY HERE. AT THE LAST MINUTE, WE DETECTED A DRAFTING ISSUE IN PARA 2.2.1 (TEXT OF DRAFT AGREEMENT BEING SENT SEPTEL FLASH). WE SUGGESTED THAT THE TWO SENTENCES REGARDING UK ACTIONS BE COMBINED BY WORD "AND" TO MAKE CLEAR OUR INTENT THAT 1750 NM LIMIT APPLIES ONLY "WITHIN THE SAME TIME PERIOD", AND THEREFORE IS NOT APPLICABLE UNTIL ONE WEEK AFTER AGREEMENT. AT THIS WRITING, CHANGE IS BEING REVIEWED BY GOA.

PARAGRAPH 4 (ECONOMIC SANCTIONS).

THE BASIC CONCEPTS HAVE BEEN RETAINED FROM THE DRAFT WE CARRIED FROM LONDON. THE TIMING, HOWEVER, HAS BEEN ALTERED AT ARGENTINE INSISTENCE. STEPS ARE TO BE TAKEN TO TERMINATE BILATERAL SANCTIONS SIMULTANEOUSLY AND WITHOUT DELAY, RATHER THAN ANYTIME IN THE TWO WEEK PERIOD WE PREVIOUSLY DISCUSSED. THE OPERATIVE COMMITMENT REMAINS "TO TAKE STEPS". SIMULTANEITY IMPLIES BILATERAL COORDINATION, WHICH WOULD OBVIOUSLY BEAR ON THE TIMING OF THE ACTIONS IN BOTH SENTENCES. WE ASSUME "WITHOUT DELAY" INCLUDES TIME NECESSARY TO MAKE SUCH ARRANGEMENTS, A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME TO COMPLETE DOMESTIC REQUIREMENTS, AND THE TIME NECESSARY TO CONSULT THE EC AND THIRD COUNTRIES.

PARAGRAPH 5 (AUTHORITY)

THE CONCEPT OF A TRIPARTITE SPECIAL COMMISSION SURVIVES, WITH A CHANGE OF NAME TO SPECIAL "INTERIM AUTHORITY". WHILE THE COMMISSION'S FUNCTIONS HAVE NOT CHANGED MATERIALLY FROM THE TEXT WE WORKED OUT IN LONDON, THE CHANGE OF NAME WITH IT'S CONNOTATIONS IS OPTICALLY MORE APPEALING TO ARGENTINA. THE AUTHORITY IS NOW TO VERIFY COMPLIANCE WITH ALL OBLIGATIONS IN THE AGREEMENT. AWKWARDLY, THE DRAFT RETAINS REFERENCE TO "OBSERVERS"

~~SECRET~~

Reproduced at the Ronald Reagan Library

NODIS

NODIS

~~SECRET~~

WHITE HOUSE SITUATION ROOM

PAGE 03 OF 04 USDEL SECRETARY BUE 6049 DTG: 191754Z APR 82 PSN: 046257

TO ACCOMPLISH THESE TASKS; IN OUR LONDON TEXT, OBSERVERS WERE INTENDED TO MONITOR FORCE WITHDRAWAL AND SEPARATION ONLY. STAFF LIMITATIONS WOULD BE HANDLED IN THE SEPARATE PROTOCOL CREATING THE AUTHORITY.

PARAGRAPH 6 (LOCAL ADMINISTRATION).

HERE AND ELSEWHERE, WE HAVE ATTEMPTED TO DIVORCE SUBSTANTIVE PROVISIONS "PENDING A DEFINITIVE SETTLEMENT" FROM THE INTERIM PERIOD FOR NEGOTIATIONS, TO PROMOTE A BASIS FOR CONTINUING ARRANGEMENTS SHOULD NEGOTIATIONS NOT MEET THIS DEADLINE.

THIS TEXT RETAINS THE CONCEPT OF AUTOMATIC RATIFICATION OF LOCAL ADMINISTRATION ACTIONS, EXCEPT WHERE DEEMED INCONSISTENT WITH THE AGREEMENT BY THE SPECIAL INTERIM AUTHORITY. (THE AUTHORITY, AS PROVIDED IN THE SEPARATE PROTOCOL, WOULD DECIDE BY MAJORITY VOTE).

LOCAL ADMINISTRATION IS TO CONTINUE "THROUGH" THE COUNCILS, UNDERLINING THE TERMINATION OF THE OFFICE OF GOVERNOR. WHILE UK APPOINTMENTS TO COUNCILS WOULD CONTINUE, IN THIS TEXT ARGENTINA WOULD ALSO HAVE TWO OFFICIAL APPOINTEES WHO WOULD SERVE IN EACH COUNCIL. ELECTED REPRESENTATION OF THE RESIDENT ARGENTINE POPULATION WOULD BE PROVIDED FOR ALSO, USING THE TEXT WORKED OUT IN LONDON. ALL OTHER LINKS, ADMINISTRATIVE AND LEGAL, TO THE UK, WHICH FORM THE BASIS OF THE LOCAL ADMINISTRATION, WOULD ALSO CONTINUE. THIS DRAFT GIVES THE SPECIAL AUTHORITY A SUPERVISORY ROLE OVER THE LOCAL POLICE, WHO WOULD BE ADMINISTERED BY THE COUNCIL'S DAY-TO-DAY, WITH "A REPRESENTATION" OF THE LOCAL RESIDENT ARGENTINE POPULATION ON THE FORCE CONTEMPLATED. THE FLAG PROVISION IS UNCHANGED. ARGENTINE CONCERN AT POSSIBLE UK

DECISIONS OR LAWS INCONSISTENT WITH THE AGREEMENT BUT NOT SUBJECT TO SPECIAL AUTHORITY RATIFICATION HAS BEEN MET BY A NEW SUB-PARAGRAPH (B) WHICH IS INTENDED TO RESTATE THE OBVIOUS UK OBLIGATION TO RESPECT ITS COMMITMENTS UNDER THE AGREEMENT.

PARAGRAPH 7 (TRAVEL, RIGHTS OF INHABITANTS)

PARAGRAPH 7 (A) EXPANDS UPON THE SUBJECT COVERED IN PARAGRAPH 6 OF THE TEXT WORKED OUT IN LONDON, INCLUDING RESIDENCE AND MOVEMENT OF PERSONS AND RELATED PROPERTY QUESTIONS, AND CONTAINS A GENERAL OBLIGATION TO PROMOTE AND FACILITATE SUCH LINKS

~~SECRET~~



Reproduced at the Ronald Reagan Library

N
O
D
I
S

N
O
D
I
S

~~SECRET~~

WHITE HOUSE SITUATION ROOM

PAGE 04 OF 04 USDEL SECRETARY BUE 6049 DTG: 191754Z APR 82 PSN: 046257

ON AN EQUAL BASIS. SPECIFIC MEASURES HOWEVER, REMAIN IN THE FORM OF RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE SPECIAL AUTHORITY. THE SCOPE OF SUCH RECOMMENDATIONS WOULD INCLUDE POSSIBLE ARRANGEMENTS FOR COMPENSATION OF ISLANDERS WISHING TO DEPART. THE TEXT ADDS A REQUIREMENT FOR GOVERNMENTS TO REPLY PROMPTLY (BUT NOT NECESSARILY AFFIRMATIVELY) TO SUCH RECOMMENDATIONS, AND FURTHER EMPOWERS THE AUTHORITY TO MONITOR IMPLEMENTATION OF PROPOSALS ADOPTED.

BT

Reproduced at the Ronald Reagan Library

NO--S

NO--S

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

WHITE HOUSE SITUATION ROOM

PAGE 02 OF 02 USDEL SECRETARY BUE 6049 DTG: 191754Z APR 82 PSN: 046244

WAS VIEWED AS AN IMPORTANT ELEMENT BY THE ARGENTINES TO PRECLUDE UNILATERAL STEPS. THE CONDITIONS ARE STATED TO INCLUDE "DUE REGARD" - WHICH WILL OBVIOUSLY VARY IN WEIGHT IN THE EYES OF THE TWO SIGNATORIES - FOR THE RIGHT OF THE INHABITANTS AND THE PRINCIPLE OF TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY "APPLICABLE TO THIS DISPUTE". THE ARGENTINES WILL ARGUE THAT THE RIGHTS OF THE INHABITANTS REFERS ONLY TO INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS, AND NOT A "COLLECTIVE" RIGHT OF SELF-DETERMINATION. THE ARGENTINES WILL ARGUE THAT A PRINCIPLE OF TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY WITH THE MAINLAND APPLIES; THE REFERENCE COULD EQUALLY BE ARGUED TO MEAN THE ISLANDS SHOULD NOT BE PARTITIONED OR DISMEMBERED IN THE PROCESS OF CHANGE OF STATUS. THESE REFERENCES IN EFFECT RECALL THE DIFFERING POSITIONS OF THE TWO PARTIES THROUGHOUT THIS DISPUTE.

SELF-DETERMINATION IS REINFORCED BY UN CHARTER AND RESOLUTION 1514 REFERENCES; THE ARGENTINS CITE TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY LANGUAGE IN 1514 AND INSISTED ON REFERENCE TO UNGA RESOLUTIONS ON THE ISLANDS. EXPLICIT REFERENCE TO 2065 IS RETAINED (THE UK ACCEPTED SUCH A REFERENCE IN THE 1971 AGREEMENT WITH ARGENTINA ON THE ISLANDS), BUT THERE IS A SUBORDINATE GENERAL REFERENCE TO THE OTHERS (INCLUDING RESOLUTION 31/49 WHICH THE UK OPPOSED). THESE RESOLUTIONS ARE DESCRIBED BY THE UN AGENDA HEADING UNDER WHICH THEY APPEAR, WITHIN QUOTATION MARKS AND INCLUDING THE ALTERNATIVE NAMES OF THE FALKLANDS.

PARAGRAPH 8 (B) RESPONDS TO ARGENTINE CONCERN THAT GOOD FAITH NEGOTIATIONS MAY NOT OCCUR TO PRODUCE A RESULT BY THE DEADLINE. US ASSISTANCE TO THE NEGOTIATIONS WOULD BE CONDITIONAL ON A REQUEST FROM BOTH GOVERNMENTS.

HAIG

BT

Reproduced at the Ronald Reagan Library

NO DIS

NO DIS

~~SECRET~~