

Thy Cabril. colley on of have spentsom four homs comidenç The Hay's later proposeds. Frences Rgm Las replied on our behalflut I am unité to son separately became I think you are the only person who will lindustered the & renteau 1 what I am lying to say. Throughout - my colonie who I have build to slay boyal to



the United States as one great dly and to the purishin 2 denously, liberty of junker. In you mellye wer say that you suppresent on tout Ja to the bour purplies we nemt proteit. I wish their were but they are not I recognize that A some separate is recovering by Het mely some this on shock copromise. Two u should my pricincia here been sherted particularly



In consider of the thoughout the court and I have been the entred in the colin media redistriction out your freelest: fort when we to self delermination and the repet to self delermination and the repet to self delermination and the aspection runs met

The present proposeds de not promite a night to deleminate. altroge it is fundaments to deminent and was exposed by the literature up to the moment of mission. We called that it should be wilheld.



the resty, contained in the Wares When to Frances Pyn son what is to could not become the Agentines would not reget it. So om fundamen primition are no longer what ne believe, nor those we were elected A mui be what the dichto? Will couple I have been to lengther that there was a larger that the try supporting that the interior administrates - must commende the landy elected sprending. It is not much to ence - partitudent

Atte legal there do not think you will turn idon. Leder to we On ur deward point - util. espellion must not be been to pay. He reporch ando laching. The military juste will he able to moderni lest - the work misser, was have hat sumulation heil that meens, and admirable the nett of only demender, and I garried a regulative france which fin they do bed to is very I huntine

in bling to and membership lend to schlarbilly successed Alpha pour of den at Jerey wante Toverigaly, and het they to do the another uninon Doubrere the red -? Peder you in now ter Thy the no days about their Perpe Wis Interior . Un Fuellande ven e demonder conty Munk mapour

Survey - He boy on hoge that though the and the Jordan ung the start of forfui Son A wor to quillies beaute the Combine They were white to the large would then there they camer have in Pertagn Da ill mos reculty I las so deeply doch was. That on por bradisti freezip. Lubish

y his loyelly able should here hoyeler me and those I represent mts confine vik Inderedt denoushie puristes sounds impossible while for one date blue House and I am No lo. 1 65 Lant 2 penult workened but he really must put or a formulate of 2 - chi teke for UK Publicum orfo others who mus k sould baled if we fail.

The letter to Reeyan utel was never sent-

My Cabinet colleagues and I have spent some four hours considering Mr. Haig's latest proposals. Francis Pym has replied on our behalf but I am writing to you separately because I think you are the only person who will understand the significance of what I am trying to say.

Throughout my administration I have tried to stay loyal to the United States as our great ally, and to the principles of democracy, liberty and justice.

In your message you say that your suggestions are faithful to the basic principles we must protect. I wish they were but they are not. I recognise that in negotiations some flexibility is necessary but there are surely some things on which we should not compromise. Two principles have been stressed in Parliament throughout all our debates and I have heard them echoed in interviews with your Senators: first the right to self-determination and second that aggression must not pay.

The present proposals do not provide a right to self-determination although it is fundamental to democracy and was enjoyed by the Islanders up to the moment of invasion. We asked that it should be included. The reply, contained in Mr. Haig's letter to Francis Pym was that it could not because the Argentines would not accept it. So our principles are no longer what we believe, nor those we were elected to give, but what the dictator will accept. I have tried to temper Mr. Haig's proposals a little, by suggesting that the interim administration must at

least consult with the locally elected representatives. It is not much to ask - and I do not think you will turn it down.

On the second point - that aggression must not be seen to pay, the proposals are also lacking. The military junta will be able to proclaim that through invasion, they have succeeded in ousting the British administration, with all that that means, have subjugated the right of self-determination, and have gained a negotiating framework which from its very structure and membership is likely to lead to substantially increased Argentine powers even though it does not of itself transfer sovereignty. And what then is to stop another invasion to achieve the rest?

Before this aggression the Falklands were a democratic country, with liberty and a just law. After the proposed settlement, the one thing they <u>cannot</u> <u>have</u> is the only way of life they want.

Perhaps you will now see why I feel so deeply about this. That our traditional friendship, to which I still loyally adhere, should have brought me and those I represent into conflict with fundamental democratic principles sounds impossible while you are at the White House and I am at No.10.

I too want a peaceful settlement but we really must put up a more formidable diplomatic fight for the Falklanders and for others who may be similarly treated if we fail.