

B/F 4-9-79.

to check in Tim  
for any further action

TL spoke to  
Bath'shill

pa



10 DOWNING STREET

Mr Hall

I mentioned to the  
Chancellor that John Metson  
had spoken to us on the  
poker question, and would  
like to be helpful. The  
attached is, I think,  
self-explanatory.

? B/F

Should the Chancellor  
be content for Metson  
to write to him in terms  
of his draft? It seems  
to me quite helpfully  
moderate in tone, and the  
Chancellor could perhaps reply after  
his speech to the Institute of  
Directors. P. 115

31/8/79



B

FOR MEETING 9AM THURS.

TO POKE TO JOHN METHYEN WHO IS ANXIOUS  
POSITION, WITHOUT FIND A WAY OUT OF OUR PRESENT  
HE COULD ARRANGE, NEXT WEEK, FOR A LETTER  
TO G.H. <sup>from</sup> C.B.I. PRESIDENT'S COMMITTEE, THEIR  
TOP GROUP; IT WOULD BE A RESPONSE TO THE  
CONSULTATIVE DOCUMENT.

A DRAFT LETTER (WHICH HE IS QUITE HAPPY  
TO CHANGE) IS ATTACHED.  
IN RESPONSE TO THIS (AND NO DOUBT OTHER  
REPRESENTATANS) G.H. COULD MAKE A STATEMENT/  
REPLY WHICH SUGGESTED A OTHER BROADER  
STUDY OF PERKS AND TAX RATES BEFORE  
ANY ACTION, OR EVEN A DECISION TO SHELVE THE  
IDEA PRO TEM TILL TAX RATES WERE LOWER

David N.