## UNCLASSIFIED

E1.05

Current Class: UNCLASSIFIED Current Handling: n/a

Document Number: 1982STATE102366

Page: 1 Channel: n/a

DOC NBR= 1982STATE102366

FILM= D820199-0258

. . . . . . . . .

RELEASED IN FULL

<<<<.>>>>> UNCLÁSSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 102366

ORIGIN IO-15

INFO OCT-00 ADS-00 OIC-02 /017 R

166011

DRAFTED BY: IO/UNA: HJFELDMAN
APPROVED BY: IO/UNA: HJFELDMAN

IO/UNA:HJFELDMAN S/S-O:RHSTERN

\*\*O 160639Z APR 82

FM SECSTATE WASHDC INFO USMISSION USUN NEW YORK IMMEDIATE

UNCLAS STATE 102366

E. O. 12065: N/A

TAGS: SOPN

FOLLOWING REPEAT PM USICA LONDON DTG 151218Z APR 82 SENT ACTION USINFO WASHDC SECSTATE.

OUOTE: UNCLAS

USICA FOR PGM/RC FOR BELL; SECSTATE PLEASE PASS URGENTLY TO OBAN FISCHER.

SUBJECT: TIMES REPORT ON MRS KIRKPATRICK'S COMMENTS ON 'FACE THE NATION'.

FOLLOWING IS COMPLETE TEXT OF TIMES APRIL 14 INSIDE PAGE REPORT FROM WASHINGTON CORRESPONDENT NICHOLAS ASHPORD HEADED 'QUOTES FROM KIRKPATRICK' BEGIN TEXT:

"ALTHOUGH THE BRITISH ARE GENERALLY SATISIFED WITH THE AMERICAN UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Current Class: UNCLASSIFIED

Page: 1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE REVIEW AUTHORITY: OSCAR J. OLSON DATE/CASE ID: 7 NOV 2001 199903752

UNCLASSIFIED

## UNCLASSIFIED

Current Class: UNCLASSIFIED Current Handling: n/a

Document Number: 1982STATE102366

Page: 2 Channel: n/a

PAGE 402

STATE 102366

STANCE IN THE FALKLANDS DISPUTE, CPARTICULARLY ITS SUPPORT FOR SECURITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION 502, WAS JEAME KIRKPATRICK, THE CONTROVERSIAL UNITED STATES; REPRESENTATIVE AT THE UNITED NATIONS HAS RAISED HACKLES BOTH AT THE BRITISH EMBASSY IN: WASHINGTON AND AT THE FOREIGN OFFICE IN LONDON (NICHOLAS ASHPORD WRITES).

MRS KIRKPATRICK INITIALLY OFFENDED BRITISH SENSIBILITIES
'BY ATTENDING A DINNER GIVEN IN HER HONOUR BY THE ARCENTINE.
AMBASSADOR IN WASHINGTON A FEW HOURS AFTER THE INVASION OF THE
'FALKLANDS. OTHER SENIOR OFFICIALS ALSO ATTENDED.

THE BRITISH MADE NO PUBLIC COMMENT ABOUT THIS AT THE TIME BECAUSE IT WAS FRIT IMPORTANT FOR THE UNITED STATES TO REMAIN ON COOD TERMS WITH ARGENTINA FOR THE SAKE OF FUTURE MEDIATION EFFORTS.

LAST WEEK, HOMEVER, SIR NICHOLAS HENDERSON, THE BRITISH AMBASSADOR, CRITICIZED HER ATTENDANCE AT THE DINNER, SAYING. THAT IT WAS THE SAME AS IF HE HAD ATTENDED A DINNER AT THE IRANIAN EMBASSY THE DAY THE AMBRICAN HOSTAGES WERE SEIZED. SIR NICHOLAS'S CRITICISM WAS REJECTED BY MES KIRKPATRICK.

DURING AN INTERVIEW ON THE CBS FACE THE NATION PROGRAMME.

"IT WAS AN UTTERLY PALSE ANALOGY," SHE DECLARED, POINTING
OUT THAT THE QUESTION OF SOVEREIGNTY OVER THE ISLANDS HAD NEVER
BEEN SETTLED. "IT IS NOT AS THOUGH THE ARGENTINE GOVERNMENT
HAD ATTACKED THE BRITISH EMBASSY IN BUENOS AIRES AND SEIZED THE
INRABITANTS AS PRISONERS."

ASKED ABOUT THE USE OF FORCE BY ARCENTINA TO TAKE THE ISLANDS, SHE SAID THE UNITED STATES HAD MADE ITS POSITION CLEAR ON THIS ISSUE AT THE UNITED NATIONS. BUT SHE ADDED THAT THE UNITED STATES ALSO WANTED TO "RETAIN OUR HISTORIC POSITION THAT "WE ARE NOT TAKING SIDES ON THIS QUESTION OF SOVEREIGNTY ON THESE ISLANDS."

ELABORATING ON THE SOVEREIGNTY QUESTION SHE SAID: "THE UNITED: STATES GOVERNMENT HAS NEVER TAKEN A POSITION ON THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT HAS NEVER TAKEN A POSITION ON THE

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03

STATE 102366

OWNERSHIP OF THAT DISPUTED TERRITORY. AGAIN AND AGAIN WE HAVE DECLINED TO TAKE A POSITION ON THAT.

"ME HAVE ALWAYS DECLINED. WE DECLINED TO TAKE A POSITION ON ALMOST ALL THE TERRITORIAL DISPUTES IN LATIN-AMERICA."

MRS KIRKPATRICK WAS THEN ASKED WHETHER HER ACTION COULD BE CONSTRUED AS FAVOURING ARMED AGGRESSION BY ARGENTINE. SHE REPLIED: "NO. BECAUSE IN THE UNITED NATIONS ME WERE MAKING PERFECTLY CLEAR BY OUR VOTE AND OUR COMDUCT THERE THAT WE OPPOSED

Current Class: UNCLASSIFIED

Page: 2

## UNCLASSIFIED

Current Class: UNCLASSIFIED

Current Handling: n/a Document Number: 1982STATE102366 Page: 3

Channel: :n/a

THE ARGENTINE MILITARY ACTION.

"NON, LOON, ONE HAS TO BE CLEAR ABOUT THIS, I THINK, "SHE "WENT ON. "ARMED AGGRESSION WOULD TAKE PLACE-IN A CLEAR-CUT OWNERSHIP."
"WAY AGAINST TERRITORY ON WHICH THERE WAS CLEAR-CUT OWNERSHIP."

"THE ARGENTINES OF COURSE, HAVE CLAIMED FOR TWO HUNDREDS-YEARS THAT THEY OWN THOSE ISLANDS. AND THE BRITISH HAVE CLAIMED THAT THEY OWN THOSE ISLANDS. NOW, IF THE ARGENTINES OWN THE-ISLANDS, THEN MOVING TROOPS INTO THEM! IS NOT ARMED AGGRESSION."

ASKED WHETHER THIS EXPLANATION WAS NOT SIMILAR TO THE ONE USED BY NAZY GERMANY WHEN IT MOVED INTO THE SUDENTENLAND, SHE PEPLIED: "WE THOUGHT THIS WAS AN ARMED MILITARY ACTION (BY ARGENTINA) OF WHICE WE DISAPPROVED. WE MADE THAT CLEAR. WE CALLED ON THE ARGENTINES TO END THAT ACTION."

SHE REPEATED THAT THE UNITED STATES DID NOT HAVE A POSITION ON THE SOVEREIGNTY OF THE ISLANDS. END ITEM. USICA LONDON UNQUOTE EAGLEBURGER

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Current Class: UNCLASSIFIED

Page: 3