For KJ

Speech on the Trade Unions.

The purpose to to say what we all think, that the Unions have oncreasingly been a factor impoversihing Britain and eroding freedoms. This is not their intention, but was the predictable result. They do not carry the sole blame, since politicos and pundits helped preach unreality.

Their offences are compound :

- \* Total compulsive unrealism.
- \* Class struggle and destructive obstructive resentful attitude towards society.
- \* Personal and corporate egotism.

They are preaching and pracising a one-sided civil war which is wrecking the economy. This in turn is now adversely eaf affecting their own members. When exercise it was a statement of the stat

They adduce every ill-effect of their actions as justification for fruther inroads into what is left of economic realism. We must place the opposite construction.

pell well when when it was a sure of the s

2

It may be, in Hughe warned in. Nor worken do not reduce him too much they to the closed shop is part of their drive towards the closed apprint. But the force him to their power over the individuals; they

society. It extends their power over the individuals; they can force him to strike against his will, conscience or interests, for economic reasons, anti-economic reasons, political causes, or to erode the remaining islands of economic freedom, e.g. the small business and the self-employed.

It is backed up by intimidation, legal, illegal and semi-legal.

The arguments for a closed shop are easily dispelled. (I have given several notes on this.)

first a Government
The/question is not what/Conservatives would do about it, governments
cannot cure all evils, and certainly not at once. The question is
whether we call evil by its name, whether we can help those who
intend to fight it. Clearly, unless large numbers of working titizens
rebel against the closed shop, it will triumph, as evil always x
triumphs when good men do mxxxixxx nothing. But if there is a revolt,
we must facilitate and encourage it.

We can do all this only insomfar as we gain wide understanding for the elementary truths of economics. But why should this be condidered impractical? Chechan win for

3

\* Our approach is historical, not ideological.

We recognise the motives which impelled workers to create unions in the first place. But the unions have failed to develop with the times. Their thinking is still defensive, restrictive, anti-managerial, phillistine, and compulsive disregard for economic realities, thanks to the mixture of Romantic marxism with natural narrow-mindedness.

not only ignoring the problem of capital accumulation and replacement and replacement but also the concept of "socially necessary labour", thereby removing the basis of labour regists. So in practice, by elevating the rights of producers above consumers, they end up by destroying the basis of the basis of labour regists.

The idea that we solve problems by putting salt on their tails has visbily not worked. Since their own members are now cikixxik victims, we must talk to their own members.

The fact that the union structure has become increasingly professionalised and alienated from the mass membership should help.

We are a party of the people, and should not fear talking to the people.

No - Shop Re Prantide About Paralle And Frankel And Mon Than Ren or more almost almed union Think