(copied to sur) But you ? Made you) out) Speet Model for for

We are only half way through 1979 but so far this year we have had to watch the prospects for the world economy deteriorate month by month. We began the year with a good chance of a better balance of growth between the major countries and less exaggerated balance of payments surpluses and deficits also. There was greater currency stability. Because of what has happened on oil the prospects are now much worse, especially on inflation.

For the second time in 5 years the vulnerability of the world

economy to developments on oil has been brought home to

us. The economic growth which looked like a natural law

in the 60's looks a fragile thing'in the second half

of the 70's. This is a profound change for the developed

countries. But among the biggest sufferers are the

developing countries who have no oil. They lose through

increased oil prices, through reduced world activity

and through the fact that the developed countries are

less well placed to help them. All this means more

instability in the world, both economically and

politically.

/I believe

I believe the economies of the countries of the free world have the resilience to surmount these difficulties, as they did in and after 1974. But the room for manoeuvre in economic policy in our countries has been reduced.

In meeting here in these circumstances I believe that we assume a heavy responsibility.

We imply that we can offer leadership and guidance not only to our own countries, but to others.

If we leave the impression that we are failing to face facts, or are taking refuge in pious platitudes, the state of the world will be worse than when we came.

It seems to me that our best course tak is to make the position on energy and the world economy clear in the simplest language we can find; to say what we can do; but not to be afraid to say what we can't do. We shall inspire more confidence by combining realism with candour than by burying the truth in meaningless phrases.

/ Nowhere

Nowhere does this apply more than to the problems of energy.

I do not want to anticipate the detailed discussions we shall have about this but I would like to pick out two or three points which are important.

The first one is that while we must do everything we can in the immediate situation, we have to prepare for

the long term too.

We must keep up, year after year, our efforts to reduce the dependence of the economies of the free world on uncertain sources of supply of oil.

job to the full in reducing demand and increasing supply.

This does not exclude other action.

And we have to face squarely the fact that nuclear

And we have to face squarely the fact that nuclear power is going to be needed on a large scale; to fill the energy gap.

We must apply our efforts to developing it and at the same time do all we can to reduce or eliminate the risks associated with it.

After energy, the second theme where a major response is required of us is inflation.

I believe the lesson of what happened to us from 1974 onwards is that we must not accommodate the inflation produced by oil price increases.

We must fight it.

To do that we have to convince our peoples that the oil price increase represents a loss of real income which Las to be accepted.

This does not mean giving up all hope of growth.

On the contrary, this realistic approach provides the best foundation for the resumption of growth.

And we will have to back that up by doing all we can to improve the efficient working of industry, agriculture and commerce, and the speed with which they respond to change.

This too calls for a sustained effort.

Need to comite complety what he have I amy appround to complety what he had I amy appround to complete whether he had I amy appround to complete a hours of any appround to chair or hould be.

11

I regard all these precepts as applicable to the United Kingdom.

On energy, although like two other countries represented here we are a major energy producer, we see our interests as closely identified with those of consumer countries.

We are therefore making determined efforts to save energy. Again, we know we have a particular problem in the need to rebuild the strength of our industries and make them competitive.

What I have said about fighting inflation and about adapting to change applies even more forcibly to us.

We are here to give a lead. To succeed in that I believe that we should approach our problems in the realistic spirit I have described.



CONFIDENTIAL



H M Treasury

Parliament Street London SWIP 3AG

Sir Kenneth Couzens Switchboard 01-233 3000 KCB Direct Dialling 01-233 4225

Second Permanent Secretary Overseas Finance

26 June 1979

B Cartledge Esq 10 Downing Street LONDON SW1

Dan Buyan

TOKYO : PRIME MINISTER'S OPENING STATEMENT

As requested, I attach some paragraphs which the Prime Minister may care to use as a chopping block in preparing an opening statement for Tokyo.

I am sending copies of this to the Private Secretaries to the Chancellor of the Exchequer, Foreign Secretary, Sir John Hunt, Sir Michael Palliser and Sir Jack Rampton.

> Your sincerely, Graham lighan

R E Couzens

TOKYO

DRAFT FOR PART OF PRIME MINISTER'S OPENING STATEMENT

We are only half way through 1979 but so far this year we have had to watch the prospects for the world economy deteriorate month by month. We began the year with a good chance of a better balance of growth between the major countries and a better balance of payments surpluses and deficits also. There was greater currency stability.

Because of what has happened on oil the prospects are now much worse, especially on inflation.

less Kagsfoled

- 2. For the second time in 5 years the vulnerability of the world economy to developments on oil has been brought home to us. The economic growth which looked like a natural law in the 60's looks a fragile thing in the second half of the 70's. This is a profound change for the developed countries. But among the biggest sufferers are the developing countries who have no oil. They lose through increased oil prices, through reduced world activity and through the fact that the developed countries are less well placed to help them. All this means more instability in the world, both economically and politically.
- 3. I believe the economies of the countries of the free world have the resilience to surmount these difficulties, as they did in and after 1974. But the room for manoeuvre in economic policy in our countries has been reduced.

Thois Potitudes

mayalfor Myan

assume a heavy responsibility. We imply that we can offer leadership and guidance not only to our own countries, but to others. If we leave the impression that we are failing to face the facts, or are taking refuge in verbiage or formality, the state of the world will be worse when we leave than when we came. I speak with humility as a newcomer, but to seems to me that our best course is to make the position on energy and the world economy clear in the simplest language we can find; to say what we can do; but not to be afraid to say also what we cannot do. We shall inspire more confidence by combining realism with simplicity, and confidence is what the world badly needs.

the took

5. I think it is quite clear that our first response to the situation which faces us has to be to do more about saving and producing energy. I do not want to anticipate the detailed discussions we shall certainly have about this but I would like to pick out two or three themes which I see as important. First, while we must do everything we can in the short term, we have to prepare for the long haul. For every economic and political reason we must keep up, year after year, the effort to reduce the dependence of the major fol economies of the free world on uncertain sources of supply of a critical raw material.

Nache dos tes thy mare than tolk publis glegy

for Mas

fulle

Secondly, we should allow the price mechanism to do its job to the full in reducing demand and increasing supply, without excluding direct action intended to accelerate these effects. Thirdly we have to face squarely the fact that nuclear power is going to be needed on a large scale to fill to developing it the energy gap. We must apply our en and at the same time do all we can to reduce or eliminate the risks associated with it.

After enegy

The second area where a major policy response is required of us is of inflation. I believe the lesson of what happened to us from 1974 onwards is that we have/to fight the inflation produced by oil price increases, and not accommodate it. To do that we have to convince our peoples that the oil price increase represents a loss of real income which has to be accepted.

more here of

This does not mean giving a low priority to growth. the contrary, it means providing the best foundation for the resumption of growth. And we will have to back that up by doing all we can to improve the efficient working of oconomies and the flexibility of their response to change. This too is a medium term response calling for sustained effort. Sheet with which they should to

I regard all the precepts to which I have referred as 9. applying to the United Kingdom. Like two other countries represented here, we are a major energy producer but we see

nergy

we hans

and we have shown our readiness to join in energy saving.

The British consumer is taking perhaps even a bit more than his share of the effort. Again, we have a particular problem in the need to rebuild the strength and competitive efficiency of our industries but what I have said about fighting inflation and about promoting flexibility of response applies to us also. It is in that spirit that I look forward to joining in our further discussions.

Corpy to change

facilly

conjetite.

The works we are prolong therefore efforts to

We are the feet gie a lead of Those the Moselmon with reality but determed spir.