THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF HER BRITANNIC MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT

E(80) 35th Meeting

COPY NO 55

CABINET.

MINISTERIAL COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC STRATEGY

MINUTES of a Meeting held at 10 Downing Street on THURSDAY 2 OCTOBER 1980 at 9.30 am

PRESENT

The Rt Hon Margaret Thatcher MP Prime Minister

The Rt Hon William Whitelaw MP Secretary of State for the Home Department

The Rt Hon Lord Soames Lord President of the Council

The Rt Hon Peter Walker MP Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food

The Rt Hon John Nott MP Secretary of State for Trade The Rt Hon Sir Keith Joseph MP Secretary of State for Industry

The Rt Hon James Prior MP Secretary of State for Employment

The Rt Hon Michael Heseltine MP Secretary of State for the Environment

The Rt Hon David Howell MP Secretary of State for Energy

The Rt Hon John Biffen MP Chief Secretary Treasury

THE FOLLOWING WERE ALSO PRESENT

The Rt Hon Francis Pym MP Secretary of State for Defence (Item 1)

The Rt Hon Patrick Jenkin MP Secretary of State for Social (Item 1)

The Rt Hon Lord Mackay of Clashfern QC $\binom{\text{Lord Advocate}}{(\text{Item 1})}$

The Rt Hon George Younger MP Secretary of State for Scotland

The Rt Hon Sir Michael Havers QC MP Attorney General (Item 1)

Mr J R Ibbs Central Policy Review Staff

SECRETARIAT

Sir Robert Armstrong Mr P Le Cheminant Mr D J L Moore

CONFIDENTIAL

195

CONTENTS

tem No.	Subject	Pag
1	CIVIL SERVICE CONTRACTS OF EMPLOYMENT	1
2	LOCAL AUTHORITY CURRENT EXPENDITURE IN SCOTLAND IN 1980-81	2
7	CIRCULATION OF MEMORANDA	4

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

i. CIVIL SERVICE CONTRACTS OF EMPLOYMENT

the Committee considered Memoranda by the Lord President of the Council ($\epsilon(80)$ 85 and $\epsilon(80)$ 8 $^{l_{\pm}}$), a minute of 5 August from the Attorney General to the Prime Minister and a letter of 30 September from the Lord Chancellor to the Prime Minister on Civil Service contracts of Employment.

Their discussion and conclusions reached are recorded separately.

17

39

41

43

45

46

40

- MEM

3

5

7

5

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

LOCAL ANTHORITY CURRENT EXPENDITURE IN SCOTLAND IN 1980-81

Previous Reference: E(80) 32nd Meeting, Item 1

The Committee considered a memorandum by the Secretary of State for Scotland (E(80) 107) on the elimination of overspending by local authorities in Scotland in 1980-81.

THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR SCOTLAND said that there had been a misunderstanding at the Committee's meeting on 10 September of his proposals for action to aliminate the total potential excess of about £40 million on local authority current expenditure in Scotland in 1980-81. The decision recorded was that the sums payable under the first Increase Order in respect of the 1980-81 Rate Support Grant (RSG) in Scotland should be abated by £40 million with an undertaking that some or all of this sum might be reinstated later in the light of actual expenditure performance. He had however intended to make clear that his proposal was not for a general abatement on these lines but for selective action under his existing statutory powers, to which there was no counterpart in England and Wales, against individual authorities which had overspent. A general abatement would lead to very rough justice. For the most part the local authorities in Scotland, both Conservative and Labour, were co-operating fully in taking measures to keep within their budgets. The main exception was the Lothian Regional Council who were budgeting for expenditure of £25 million above the guideline and who were looking for Political confrontation rather than cuts in their programme. If there were to be a general abatement they would lose only £4.5 million grant. The balance of £35.5 million would fall on the other local authorities who were Co-operating and, in some cases, now planning to spend less than the guidelines. He recommended that he should instead take selective action, when the outturn for 1980-81 was known, against any individual local authorities which had incurred excessive or unreasonable expenditure. He judged that the threat of this action would be more effective in discouraging excessive expenditure, and would certainly be much fairer, than a general abatement.

THE PRIME MINISTER, summing up a short discussion, said that the Committee agreed that the Secretary of State for Scotland should use his power to deal selectively with overspending local authorities rather than make a general

2

CONFIDENTIAL

197

43

45

abatement of grant at the time of the RSG Increase Order. He should make clear to the local authorities in Scotland that it was h_{18} firm intention to make use of his powers as circumstances required.

The Committee -

- 1. Approved the recommendations in paragraph 11 of E(80) 107.
- 2. Invited the Secretary of State for Scotland to inform the Scottish local authorities of his intention to take selective action if necessary against individual local authorities which had incurred excessive or unreasonable expenditure in 1980-81.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CIRCULATION OF MEMORANDA

THE PRIME MINISTER said that the Committee, and the Cabinet, faced a heavy programme of work over the next few weeks, during which they would be taking major decisions on a number of economic questions. So that Members could have sufficient time to consider the issues fully, it was essential that the Ministers concerned should give their colleagues ample varning of their proposals. Wherever possible memoranda should be circulated a week before they were due to be discussed.

The Committee -

Took note.

Cabinet Office

31

39

46

MEMOS.

3

5

7

9

CONFIDENTIAL

3

CONFIDENTIAL

DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF HER BRITANNIC MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT

COPY NO 1

CABINET

MINISTERIAL COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC STRATEGY

LIMITED CIRCULATION ANNEX E(80) 35th Meeting Minutes, Item 1 THURSDAY 2 OCTOBER 1980 AT 9.30 AM

CONFIDENTIAL

1. CIVIL SERVANTS' CONTRACTS OF EMPLOYMENT

The Committee considered memoranda by the Lord President of the Council (E(80) 85 and 84) on the scope for altering civil servants' contracts of employment so as to widen management's range of response to industrial action and, in particular, to enable civil servants to be laid off without pay when industrial action by limited numbers of staff left larger numbers without useful work to do. They also had before them a minute of 5 August from the Attorney General to the Prime Minister on legislation on contracts of employment and a letter of 30 September from the Lord Chancellor to the Prime Minister.

THE LORD PRESIDENT OF THE COUNCIL said that, under their existing contracts of employment, non-industrial civil servants could not be laid off without pay when their work dried up because of industrial action by others. These arrangements differed from those applying to industrial civil servants, for whom there was explicit provision for such lay-off, but were on a par with those for white-collar workers in the private sector. The lack of ability to lay off staff in these circumstances greatly strengthened the unions' power to cause disproportionate damage to their employers. There were two possible ways of dealing with this. First, the contracts for new entrants could be revised to provide expressly for laying off without pay in stated circumstances. Secondly, legislation could be introduced to enable changes in the contracts of staff already in posts. Such legislation might be confined to public alternates or apply to white-collar workers in the private sector also. Had power to lay off white-collar workers without pay already been available, it could have been useful but he could not recommend taking action now to change the

1

CONFIDENTIAL

7

43

45

46

7

existing arrangements, either for civil servants only or more generally. do so when there were no current disputes could well provoke widespread ao so when the state of the sta industrial detailed by legislation terms of service argued that the Government was overriding by legislation terms of service argued that the argued that the terms of which had been freely offered and accepted on entry. To alter the terms of contract of new entrants would mean that for a number of years there would be effectively two classes of civil servant who would be treated differently if they were laid off. Accordingly he recommended that no changes should he made for the time being, but that the position should be reconsidered urgently if there were further major cases of selective industrial action by nonindustrial civil servants.

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL said that, while it would be possible to legislate on the lines discussed, any such legislation would be a serious departure f_{rom} long standing practice on the contracts of employment for white-collar workers. It would enable staff to be deprived of their legal right to pay in circumstan when no breach of contract had occurred and when their conduct might be blameless in every other respect.

In discussion the following points were made -

a. It was not inevitable that there would be expensive industrial disputes in the civil and public services this winter, although much would depend on the reactions of local authority and other public ${\tt sector}$ unions in negotiations early in the coming pay round. It would therefore be premature to take action now to amend contracts of employment There was, however, a strong case for preparing legislation on a continue basis ready for introduction if there were to be further instances of selective strike action by non-industrial civil servants. The public, and moderate opinion in the Civil Service, would be more ready to accept such legislet. such legislation in those circumstances.

b. If legislation were to be introduced it should apply to the private sector also at the private sector also: otherwise it would be attacked as discriminatory against civil servent. civil servants. Any legislation should however give individual employed discretion over whath discretion over whether to use the powers conferred. In practice them would choose them would choose not to do so, and might well recognise this in their collective agreement collective agreements with the unions.

CONFIDENTIAL

It was possible that there would shortly be industrial action in the prison service raising difficulties similar to those experienced the Piles in the year at the Royal Ordnance Factory at Bishopton. earlier and staff would be laid off because of selective strike action by Industrials and the question would arise of whether they should receive pay after the 28 days for which their contracts of employment

FRIME MINISTER, summing up the discussion, said that the Committee agreed that no action should be taken at this stage to amend the contracts of emloyment of white-collar workers whether in the public services or private emloyment. A Bill should, however, be drafted and held in reserve for urgent nse if events and the climate of public opinion were to justify its introduction. The Committee agreed that in principle such a Bill should apply to the private as well as the public sector, on the understanding that the decision to exercise the powers conferred would be left to the discretion of employers. An alternative draft should however be prepared in case it should prove necessary to confine the provisions to the civil or other public services. No publicity should be given to this contingency planning.

The Committee -

Invited the Lord President of the Council to arrange for the Sub-Committee on Industrial Relations in the Civil Service, in consultation with the Attorney General and the Lord Advocate, to arrange for the drafting on a contingency basis of a Bill on the lines indicated by the Prime Minister in her summing up of their discussion.

Cabinet Office

3 October 1980

3

CONFIDENTIAL

45

200

CONFIDENTIAL