

28th June, 1974.

The Rt. Hon. Sir Keith Joseph, Bt., M.P.  
23 Mulberry Walk,  
London, SW3 6DZ.

Up with Upminster!

You may imagine how delighted I was to read through the full transcript and I congratulate you on the forthright exposition including a characteristically candid acknowledgement of personal blame.

*Extract from letter by RH to KJ.*

All the more sad was I to see that in the revised prospectus for your Centre for Policy Studies you have left in paragraph 3. It is not only bad grammar ("practical" not "practicable" in the first sentence), but in different politics. If one party feels itself free to extend nationalisation, how can another party deny itself freedom to contemplate de-nationalisation? and who, anyway, decides what is politically feasible?

I hope none of my friends ask for my advice in supporting your financial appeal since I could not easily avoid drawing their attention to this unhappy passage - which I fear may indicate a blinkered vision when it comes to looking at other aspects of policy which have become accepted by all parties but stand urgently in need of re-consideration.

Ralph Harris.