6A

SECRET . Y

CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER

cc: Chief Secretary Financial Secretary Sir D Wass PEX GECS

## PUBLIC EXPENDITURE: CABINET TOMORROW

You asked for a table which you might hand round, showing the profile of public expenditure over the period since 1974-75.

- 2. I attach a number of copies of a table for that purpose.
- 3. The figures for the years up to 1980-81, excluding the forecast outturn for that year, are those in the tables sent to the Prime Minister on 24 October (with a little rearrangement of the lines).
  The forecast outturn for 1980-81 is that in the recent NIF.
- 4. The second column for 1981-82 shows the rough outcome of the reductions still at issue were agreed; the final column assumes that those reductions are all agreed in full.

That

MISS M E PEIRSON 29 October 1980

O Mi to with a pullant to the figure											
Maple : Popular - Save paramen Osespecij No.											
Park-		1									
16 102 100 PUBLIC EXPENDITURE 1974-75 to 1981-82 104.5											
p+ 73	1974-75	75-76	76-77								200
	17/4-17	17-10	70=77	17-70	10-19	Prov-	1980-81-	Forecast	White	1981-82 Including	Including
(nowhere.		-				isional	Paper revaluel,		Paper	likely	remaining
N.T. Prier							for transfers		revalued	and	proposed cuts
							from reserve to			agreed	
				7-3-1			programmes				
1. Central			11.30								
Government (1)	53,735	54,479	54,011	52,546	55,144	55,468	57,305	- 12	57,080	57,900	57,150
2. Local authorities	24,358	24,262	22,910	21,533	21,415	21,714	20,360	- 53	19,426	19,250	19,150
3. Nationalised industries'											
borrowing	3,040	2,624	2,266	908	1,335	1,527	1,124		-146	625	625
4. Reserve	-	-	-	-	-	-	575		1,400	2,000	2,000
5. Special sales of assets				-697		-998	-500		-50	-150	-150
6. Shortfall	_	1 -	_	-097	-	-990	-1,120		-840	-640	-640
7. EC refunds	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	-545	-	-670	-670
8. Planning total	}5b					300 - more let wat Lar. Invested map 1-76 AP.					
after shortfall	81,133	81,365	79,187		77,894	77,711	77,744	78,100	76,870	78,300	77,450
9. % change on prev- ious year	+8.5	+0.3	-2.7	-6.2	+4.9	-0.2		+0.5	-1.1(2	+0.3(3)	-0.8(3
2000 / 501											

<sup>(1)</sup> Including public corporations other than nationalised industries, and grants to nationalised industries, but excluding Government lending to nationalised industries (included in line 3).

a and aline alice + to line

<sup>(2)</sup> Change on White Paper revalued for 1980-81.

<sup>(3)</sup> Change on forecast outturn for 1980-81.

O give to Pon

cc: Chief Secretary Sir Douglas Wass

Sir Anthony Rawlinson Mr. Bridgeman

Mr. Evans Mr. Folger Mr. Ridley

CHANCELLOR

PSBR AND PUBLIC EXPENDITURE ARITHMETIC

I enclose, as per the instructions relayed to me by Mr. Lankester, two tables - the shorter one for handing round to your colleagues, the longer one for use by you and the Frime Minister.

- 2. The <u>shorter</u> one shows sequentially the effects on the starting point (forecast) PSBR of the reductions proposed by the Chief Secretary that have so far been agreed, and the further effects of your additional (not yet agreed) proposals, including the possible social security savings. The <u>longer</u> table also includes the effects of the two main tax starters (North Sea and employees' NIC), together with a 'ready reckoner' on indexation of the income tax structure and the specific duties. I have <u>not</u> included VAT on imports, which you have not so far mentioned to colleagues. But the proposals you have seen would reduce the PSBR by around a further 10.6 bn in 1981-82.
- 3. The expenditure figures are shown in 1980 Survey prices and should therefore be recognisable to your colleagues (except, of course, that the social security item has not previously been revealed to them). But in the right hand column they are translated into the cash effect on the PSBR in 1981-82.
- 4. I have rounded the PSBR figures in the right hand column so as to avoid the presentational problem about the adjustment in the forecast that we discussed with you earlier this evening. The figures should now be consistent with your earlier paper, and with the Chief Secretary's papers, but you should stress that the PSBT estimates are necessarily very broad orders of magnitude.

5. The message that emerges clearly is that to have any prospect of producing a credible PSBR, all the expenditure reductions must be accepted as a minimum. And even after taking account of the effect of the recession (your earlier paper made it clear that you are not seeking to get right back to the MTFS figure) there is a serious risk that you will have to raise the real burden of personal taxation in addition to the discrete tax measures identified in the longer table.

JW.

(J.B. UNWIN)
29 October 198

PSBR POSITION 1981-82

fhn

Changes in Public Expenditure plans 1980 Survey Prices PSBR, cash (rounded)

1. PSBR in Forecast

1

- 2. Public Expenditure proposals in C(80)58 & 64
  - (a) Increases
  - (b) Agreed reductions

2.8 2.0 b. N.î.

131

- PSBR taking account of agreed reductions
- 4. Public Expenditure
  Reductions in
  C(80)58 &64 not
  yet agreed

Defence -0.6 Other -0.4

-1

5. PSBR if all Chief
Secretary's proposals
accepted

6. Effect of holding all new public service pay increases to 6%

- ½

7. PSBR after all above adjustments

8. Effect of social security savings, including public service pensions

-0.2\*

-1 \* WA

9. PSBR after all spending adjustments

[compare with 7]

\* Effects much larger in later years

150/1.

... each 1% over indexation of specific duties and VED