Sir J Graham Private Secretary

UN SECURITY COUNCIL: ISRAEL/IRAQ

PROBLEM

1. The Iraqis have put forward a draft resolution which Sir A Parsons has reported is likely to represent the considered Arab position at the Security Council. It includes Chapter VII language and asks for mandatory sanctions against Israel. The US would certainly veto and it would cause difficulties for the UK.

RECOMMENDATION

2. We should aim at a condemnation of Israel which the US could vote for, failing that at one on which the US would abstain but which we would vote for. We should continue to try our utmost in New York to avoid both the use of our veto and a sharp split with the Americans. But we should also define our minimum position for avoiding a veto.

ARGUMENT

C

- The Iraq draft resolution (UKMIS New YORK TelNo. 525) includes operative paragraphs (2 and 3) calling for sanctions under Chapter VII of the UN Charter and the Americans would certainly veto. Israel's action against the Iraqi nuclear installation was a serious act of unprovoked agression, but for the UK to vote for the draft as it stands would have significant disadvantages. We should stand distinctly at odds with the United States and be accused of hiding behind her veto; our credibility as postential participants in a Middle East peace process would be damaged; in voting for sanctions against Israel we should be supporting the intention to upset the balance in the Middle East against Israel; and the imposition of even limited sanctions would set back hopes of progress towards a negotiated settlement. At best we should abstain, in the company of the French if possible. A UK veto, as Sir A Parsons argues (UKMIS telno. 531), is likely to have serious implications for our interests in the Middle East.
 - 4. The French have declared themselves in favour of a resolution which condemns Israel, appeals for Israeli restraint and seeks compensation for the damage caused in the attack (UKMIS telno. 529). Sir A Parsons associated himself with the French approach in his statement yesterday; and it is possible that the Americans would support a resolution with these

/main

main elements. We should exert ourselves in New York to seek a text of this kind, urging the significance and value for the Arab side of a consensus against Israel. It is unlikely, however, that the Arabs will accept such a moderate line, in which case our aim should be to water down the Iraqi text to a position for which we and the French could vote and on which the US might abstain. This position would contain the following elements:

- (A) Condemnation.
- (B) Censure for a serious violation of the UN Charter in an act which cannot be justified as self-defence.
- (C) Demand the payment of compensation [if the US can abstain on this].
- (D) # (Continue with 4-8 of the Iraqi draft].
- best interests lie in allowing a resolution which will attract the maximum support from the West. Some will be as concerned to create difficulties for the US as for Israel. Having made clear our total rejection of Israel's ground for acting as she did, we would wish to vote in favour of a resolution if at all possible. Our general position might therefore be to abstain on a resolution that contains Chapter VII language and to vote for a resolution that avoids it. If the US can avoid a veto in the latter case, so much the better. If she cannot, we shall be compelled to judge the relative weight of our strength and feeling on Israeli action and our relations with the US (including our future position as a possible honest broker in the Middle East dispute).

Oliver Mills

16 June 1981

R O Miles Near East & North Africa Department

cc:

PS/Mr Hurd PS/PUS PS/LPS Mr Moberly o.r. Sir Ian Sinclair

I think that this is right. The breadi read is about as clear a crithation of intermediant of law as you could find. But once embouland on the thatter VII round we should find ourselves under in creasing pressure to accept full under in creasing pressure to accept full economic sentius - even if we could like consider sentius - even if we could like with the limited sometimes profused by the Ivariation of the Irain could entered the Americans could entered the there is an another the the heavy doubt on this first, if we were to substain we note for it, we should signal a clear breach with the US, which we wish to avoid.

2. Clary we could so along with the those French
points as stated in UKNIS teles 529; but in his
reliant to being furtified by self-defence. This would be
reid at being furtified by self-defence. This would be
a signal to larged for the future. it would also raise
a himt of significance for the US since under US law
aircraft outflied to lorded are for self-defence only.
The US might reverteless be able to abstain an
aircraft of this to receive that they did not want to well
and wording, aroung that they did not want to well
and wording aroung that they did not want to well.

3. Sin A. Parous would use there are unestricted sext contents with the Arabs I there . It is probably lest to leave it him retter them to interverse in capitals. I have all him retter the although if his Kile patrick wents very absorbed to the although if his Kile patrick wents very absorbed to the paint about self. defense, we wight need to steele to the Assert and I have a in Washington.

Americans here as in Washington.

4. The Secretary State may wish Novo to be awaited. Assert

CONFIDENTIAL

GR 450 CONFIDENTIAL FROM U K MISSION NEW YORK 130031Z JUNE 81 TO PRIORITY FCO TELEGRAM NUMBER 525 OF 12 JUNE INFO PRIORITY WASHINGTON, BAGHDAD, TEL AVIV AND PARIS. MIPT: ISRAELI ATTACK ON IRAQ. 1. FOLLOWING IS TEXT OF IRAQI DRAFT RESOLUTION:

THE SECURITY COUNCIL

HAVING CONSIDERED THE AGENDA CONTAINED IN DOCUMENT HAVING NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE TELEGRAM DATED 8 JUNE, 1981 FROM THE FOREIGN MINISTER OF IRAQ.

HAVING HEARD THE STATEMENTS MADE TO THE COUNCIL ON THE SUBJECT AT MEETINGS NO

TAKING NOTE OF THE STATEMENT MADE BY THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF IAEA TO THE AGENCY'S BOARD OF GOVERNORS ON THE SUBJECT ON 9 JUNE 1981, (AND THE STATEMENT MADE TO THE COUNCIL BY THE AGENCY'S REPRESENTATIVE ON

FULLY AWARE OF THE FACT THAT IRAQ HAD BEEN A PARTY TO THE NON-PROLIFERATION TREATY SINCE IT CAME INTO FORCE IN 1978, THAT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THAT TREATY IRAQ HAD ACCEPTED IAEA SAFEGUARDS ON ALL ITS NUCLEAR ACTIVITIES, AND THAT THE AGENCY HAS TESTIFIED THAT THESE SAFEGUARDS HAVE BEEN SATISFACTORILY APPLIED TO DATE. NOTING FURTHERMORE THAT ISRAEL HAS REFUSED TO ADHERE TO THE NPT AND RECALLING IN THIS CONNECTION GENERAL ASSEMBLY RESOLUTION 34/89 AND 35/157 ENTITLED QUOTE ISRAEL NUCLEAR ARMAMENT UNQUOTE. AWARE OF THE GRAVE THREAT TO INTERNATIONAL PEACE AND SECURITY CREATED BY THE PREMEDITATED ISRAEL! AIR ATTACK ON IRAQ NUCLEAR INSTALLATIONS ON 7 JUNE 1981.

RECALLING ARTICLES 39 AND 41 OF THE CHARTER OF THE UNITED NATIONS. 1. STRONGLY CONDEMNS AND CENSURES ISRAEL FOR THIS MILITARY ACTION IN CLEAR VIOLATION OF UNITED NATIONS CHARTER AND THE NORMS OF INTERNATIONAL LAW AND CONDUCT.

- 2. CONSIDERS THAT THE RECENT ACTS OF AGGRESSION OF ISRAEL CULMINATING IN THE RAID OF 7 JUNE 1981 CONSTITUTE A SERIOUS THREAT TO INTERNATIONAL PEACE AND SECURITY AND COULD AT ANY TIME EXPLODE THE SITUATION IN THE AREA WITH GRAVE CONSEQUENCES FOR THE VITAL INTEREST OF ALL STATES.
- 3. DECIDES THAT ALL STATES MEMBERS OF THE UNITED NATIONS SHALL, IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 41 OF THE CHARTER, REFRAIN FROM FURNISHING ISRAEL WITH ANY MILITARY ECONOMIC OR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE WHICH MIGHT ENCOURAGE IT TO PURSUE ITS POLICY OF EXPANSION AND /4. DEMANDS AGGRESSION.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

- 4. DEMANDS THAT ISRAEL DESIST FORTHWITH AND REFRAIN IN THE FUTURE FROM ANY SUCH ACTS.
- 5. FURTHER CONSIDERS THAT THE SAID ATTACK CONSTITUTES A SERIOUS THREAT TO THE ENTIRE IAEA SAFEGUARDS REGIME WHICH IS THE FOUNDATION OF THE NPT.
- 6. FULLY RECOGNIZES AND SUPPORTS THE RIGHT OF IRAQ AND ALL OTHER PARTIES TO THE NPT TO DEVELOP THEIR PROGRAMMES OF NUCLEAR ENERGY FOR PEACEFUL PURPOSES AS A VITAL PART OF THEIR SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL PROGRESS.
- 7. FURTHER DEMANDS THAT ALL ISRAEL! NUCLEAR FACILITIES BE PLACED UNDER IAEA SAFEGUARDS.
- 8. REQUESTS THE SECRETARY-GENERAL TO KEEP THE SECURITY COUNCIL REGULARLY INFORMED OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS RESOLUTION.

PARSONS

LIMITED

NENAD

MED

UND

PS

PS/LPS

PS/MR HURD

PS/PUS

SIR J GRAHAM

MR J C MOBERLY