CONFIDENTIAL Print Amistr

get he hope

Ref. A09741

MR. LANKESTER

i) we should not interent to prome the chosure,

but ii) E (EA) should consider

## Shotton

There is a difference of view between the Secretary of State for Industry

Flag A \_\_\_ (his minute of 1st June) on the one hand and the Secretary of State for Wales (his Flag Bac \_\_\_ minute of 5th June) and the Chief Secretary (his minute of 7th June) on the other about the closure of the "heavy end" of the Shotton Steel Works.

Sir Keith Joseph says that this closure is essential to his target of restoring the Steel Corporation to viability by the end of the year. He does not want to do anything to divert the Corporation from this objective. The Corporation themselves have long wanted to close down steel making at Shotton, although they intend to keep the "finishing end" of the plant going for some years. He has told Sir Charles Villiers that he must warn his colleagues about the intending closure, but that he will give him a final decision at their next meeting on 20th June. Thereafter, the Corporation intend to open consultations with the unions under the normal procedure. This means that the decision to close will automatically become public.

The Secretary of State for Wales is worried about the speed with which this decision is being taken, about the effect on local employment, and about the lack of any remedial measures. He asks that before any final decisions are taken, there should be a study at official level. It is worth noting that Shotton is only just in Wales (by about half a mile) and that a portion of its workforce comes from the Chester and Merseyside areas, and not from the Wrexham/Queensferry part of Wales at all.

There is no chance of completing such a study, and submitting it to

Ministers for proper consideration, before 20th June. The choices are therefore:

(a) To support Sir Keith Joseph: allow the BSC to go ahead as quickly as possible: and to risk a public and political row.

I han red this fort of press. I have the Jellowing comments.

I As the BSC Board include the T.U. menters tren to gree,
the I do not there he should where to ment-

Mags Vac

Norve

velid and number from due comide desir.

It is very tail to the preparation of the surf of the contraction o

- 3) Whet start the amount 2 reducedany regress?
- 4) I am somewhele ostemited at the his Minet constitue will SNJ for John on the decision
- si) The natte rie product must be properly deared so.
- 6) Hos much does un doswe save frost +

  ret elle «dundens).

  ret elle rended menues.

ans

Level J. J. J.

CONFIDENTIAL

- (b) To intervene to set back the announcement of prospective closure, while the studies proposed by the Secretary of State for Wales are concluded.

  In this connection, it is relevant that the Chief Secretary, in his minute of 7th June, supports Sir Keith Joseph's line and adds that anything to be spent this year on remedial measures will have to be found within the existing Welsh allocation. (Remedial measures, in this context, means some local road building, construction of advance factories and other inducements to create alternative job opportunities around Shotton.)
  - (c) To remit the question of remedial measures to a Ministerial Committee for urgent consideration. E(EA) is the obvious one except only that Sir Keith Joseph is in the chair. I do not think this is decisive: Sir Keith is perfectly capable of acting impartially. The Sub-Committee is in any case due to meet to take other business on Thursday 14th June.

Given this choice, the Prime Minister will probably want to rule that the Government should not intervene to defer this closure i.e. that course (b) is not acceptable. There would however seem to be advantage in a collective discussion (course (c)) given that time is (just) available.

ner

M.J. VILE

8th June, 1979