CONFIDENTIAL



Ani harth

Agen that

Continue Reme

should not be

Econ 157 14/3

PRIME MINISTER

PUBLIC EXPENDITURE WHITE PAPER: CONTINGENCY RESERVE

Thank you for your comments on the draft I sent you on Friday. They have been taken aboard in the draft which I am now circulating to our colleagues under cover of a separate minute to you.

- 2. The reference in the draft to converting the contingency reserve into a cash control has been removed. As I said in my earlier minute, the Chancellor and I will shortly be putting to you a proposal to move to cash rather than volume in our planning procedures for future years. I think that it would be better to put this proposed change in the operation of the reserve in 1981-82 to our colleagues at the same time as the proposals which are being developed in the Treasury for changing the general basis of the Survey to cash, so that they can be discussed together. The agreed changes can be mentioned in the Budget speech.
- 3. Your minute of 30 January to the Chancellor suggested that we should look again at the size of the contingency reserve in order to reduce the planning totals. The Chancellor and I have reexamined this. We had actually already reduced the figures somewhat before the Chancellor put them to you, but regretfully, after looking at it again, we have concluded that there is no scope for further reduction. I attach (for your eyes only) a list of some likely bids already foreseen - one or two have been virtually agreed already. There is very little left for unforeseen claims, yet some are bound to arise during the year.

I entirely agree with you that we will have to take the most stringent line with any further bids. The Cabinet will have to reject bids which will undoubtedly be presented as being both desirable and urgent, in order to hold to the figures now suggested.

- 4. The large provision for the nationalised industries is based on an assessment of the position of individual industries, and is the realistic minimum we should allow.
- 5. I am sending you and our colleagues separately a note explaining that I cannot recommend adoption within the next two or three years of the more general end-year flexibility scheme, for departments other than nationalised industries, proposed last July by John Biffen. I should have liked to do this, but there is no room within our planning totals for the larger reserve that would be required.

L.B.

LEON BRITTAN 11 February 1981

CONFIDENTIAL

BASIS OF PROPOSED RESERVE (Volume only)

	£m, 1981-82	1980 survey 1982-83	prices 1983-84
Nationalised industries (including end-year flexibility)	800	1,000	1,000
Rolls Royce	-	100	100
BL		100	200
Industrial and regional support (including 50+ on EFLs for energy prices)	200	100	100
Special employment measures		100	100
Defence (partial offset to reduction in cash limit following 1980-81 overspend)	100		_
Ports	75	40	25
MAFF - hill subsidies and EC fisheries policy	35	35	35
MCB grants for pit closures	20	35	50
Home Office - police and prisons	20	30	40
Overseas aid (carry-over)	Court - No	10	-
Invalidity benefit - restoration of 5%		10	30
Other foreseen	20	30	45
Total foreseen	1,270	1,590	1,725
Unforeseen claims	200	400	250
TOTAL (rounded)	1,500	2,000	2,000
Memorandum Cmnd 7841 (revalued)	1,400	1,750	2,300
(In majored Continuing			

(1-c. proposed contisting nous is unit Paper)