PRIME MINISTER

THE SCRUTINY PROGRAMME 1981

This is to bring you up to date on how proposals now stand for this year's scrutiny programme. All Ministers have now made firm suggestions. A summary is attached, those 25 proposals already agreed by you are marked.

#### COVERAGE OF THE PROGRAMME

- 2. At the end of the first round of nominations, in January, the proposals covered about 8,000 posts. This was well below an acceptable response to Mr Whitmore's request of 1 December that some, if not all, scrutinies should focus on manpower intensive areas. You authorised me to go back to a number of Ministers to seek alternative topics.
- 3. The tally now stands at firm intentions for scrutinies covering staff in excess of 20,000 and good prospects that the final coverage could be about 30,000 posts. This is approaching the target coverage of 40,000 50,000 necessary if on reasonable assumptions about the average level of savings which will be achieved a potential result like last year's is to be achieved.

#### Recommendation 1

4. I think that this provides a sound enough base for the 1981 programme, subject to continuing to look for additional scrutinies later in the year in manpower intensive areas from the Secretaries of State for Defence and for Social Services. You have already agreed this course of action in respect of Mr Nott.

#### TOPICS ALREADY AGREED

- 5. You have so far accepted 26 topics for the 1981 programme:
  - The Forensic Science Service (HO) (No 1 in the Annex)
  - VAT registration and de-registration (No 6)
  - Customs procedures and attendance outside normal hours (No 7)
  - PAYE files and contents (No 10)
  - Inland Revenue Repayment Procedures (No 11)
  - Procedures for financing R & D (DOI) (No 13)
  - Declarations of entitlement for public service pensions (PGO) (No 14)
  - CSD delegation of authority (No 15)
  - HSE certification of products (No 19)
  - Defence Sales (No 20)
  - Financial control and accountability in MOD (No 21)
  - MOD Group travel (No 22)
  - Defence telecommunications (No 23)
  - Dissemination of information in MOD (No 24)
  - Fisheries research (Nos 25 and 29)
  - Administrative cost centres in DOE (No 26)
  - PSA custody service (No 27)
  - HM Inspectorate of Schools (Nos 28 and 37)
  - Employment services in Northern Ireland (No 30)
  - Final relevant year provision for State Pension (No 31)
  - Handling of casework in DHSS headquarters (No 32)
  - Handling of routine prosecutions in the provinces (No 34)
  - V & A and Science Museums (No 38)
  - Winter maintenance of trunk roads and motorways (No 39)

#### REVISED PROPOSALS

6. I have discussed further with departments, in some cases with Ministers, proposals which were of doubtful Recommendation 2 acceptability. I recommend that you agree to the following revised or additional subjects:

# Foreign Secretary/Minister for Overseas Development

Subject The generation and transmission of

information - (Annex No 2)

Cost At least £1.4 million

Remarks The remit has been broadened to consider

whether the present volume of paper generated and received in the FCO/ODA

is justified.

# Chancellor or the Exchequer

Subject Typing and secretarial services in the

Treasury (Annex No 4)

Cost £1 million, 167 staff

Remarks The Chancellor has confirmed that he

would welcome the help a scrutiny could give in an area which is important to achievement of the Treasury manpower target. He is also looking for a

second Treasury subject (see paragraph 7

below).

# Customs and Excise

Subject Customs procedures and attendance outside

normal working hours (No 6)

Cost To be identified but believed large -

up to 7500 staff

Remarks The scrutiny will now range over the work

of all the staff engaged in customs procedures and deal with the fundamental question of when and where resources

should be deployed throughout the

customs area.

## Department for National Savings

Subject Post Office errors affecting National

Savings (Annex No 8)

Cost £0.7 million

Remarks The Lord President and I are prepared

to accept this as a start provided we

can be confident that a more wide

ranging scrutiny on operating procedures in the Department is firmly in prospect for later in the year (See paragraph 7).

# Inland Revenue

Subject The administration of Schedule D tax

(Annex No 12)

Cost 9500 staff

Remarks Schedule D is an expensive tax to

administer compared to, say, PAYE. The Inland Revenue has been looking at ways of improving matters in an internal review since last summer. The Chancellor agrees that the freshness of the scrutiny approach

could contribute to making progress.

#### Secretary of State for Employment

Subject The administration of work permits

(Annex No 17)

Cost £1 million

Remarks The scrutiny will consider the essential

procedures involved in the administration of work permits and the scope for charging

employers to cover the costs incurred.

# Manpower Services Commission

Subject Procedures for dealing with special

programmes sponsors (Annex No 18)

Cost At least £14 million

An interesting and important suggestion to replace the earlier proposal about the MSC's help to disabled people. The scrutiny would look at the arrangements to ensure the operation of the programmes gives value for money, to cut out fraud and abuse (eg through substitution for labour which would have been employed anyway), and to minimise the administrative burdens imposed on the employers who participate.

# Secretary of State for Scotland

Remarks

Subject Fisheries Research (Annex No 29)

Cost Not known

Remarks

This scrutiny will be mounted in parallel with that to be undertaken by MAFF to complete coverage of fisheries research in government.

# Secretary of State for Social Services

Subject The financial and administrative arrangements of the Family Practitioner

Committees (Annex No 33)

Cost Large

Remarks An important subject - the first on health.

The Committees handle expenditure approaching £2,000 million a year. The structure of the Family Practitioner Services (including terms of service and pay of the professions) would not be covered. For tactical reasons the

subject would not be announced until after

the general practitioners' annual

conference (June/July).

# Secretary of State for Energy

Subject International work of the Department (Annex No 36)

Cost

At least £875,000

Remarks

This subject links up with the possible FCO scrutiny about the use made of the talent in the Diplomatic Service (paragraph 7 below). The Department of Energy has seen a rapid growth in the costs of its international work in the past decade. The scrutiny will consider how far attendance at international energy conferences could be left to the Diplomatic Service.

## OUTSTANDING ISSUES

- 7. I am still in negotiation with a handful of Ministers about possible further additions to the programme:
- Home Secretary I expect to know by the end of the month whether there is scope for a second scrutiny topic given that the Forensic Science Service is unlikely to produce much in the way of savings.
- Foreign Secretary I am hopeful that a wide-ranging scrutiny can be mounted into the use made of the talent available in the diplomatic service serving overseas (No 3 in the Annex). I do not see this as a re-run of the CPRS exercise, but as a matter of making the best of the very able people now deployed overseas.

Chancellor of the Exchequer an aspect of Treasury financial control which I regard as very important given the need to improve financial management (Annex No 5). It would supplement (a) the 1981 scrutinies on MOD financial control, DOE local cost centres, CSD delegation of powers and DOI procedures for the finance of R & D projects; and (b) the work of the

Financial Management Co-ordinating Committee announced in the White Paper about the future of the CSD.

# Department for National Savings

The scrutiny technique has barely come to grips with DNS. I see scope for simplification of procedures. The Lord President and I hope that the Chancellor will agree to a wide-ranging study for the autumn. This prospect is shown as No 9 in the Annex.

# Lord President of the Council

Lord Soames has recently told me that he expects to come forward shortly with a scrutiny proposal about the work of the Government Actuary's Department (No 16 in the Annex).

# Secretary of State for Social Services

We still do not have a major scrutiny for 1981 in the social services area. I do not wish to harrass Mr Jenkin, who thinks he has enough on for this year, and I am aware that there is much work to be done in following up the earlier scrutinies. Even so, the Lord President and I think it right to press for a large exercise later in the year, given the difficulties over ESSP and the pressures tending to push up DHSS numbers.

# Secretary of State for Wales

I await revised proposals.

#### EXEMPTIONS

8. I have eased off on the Lord Chancellor's departments, having, at your request, applied no more than a gentle pressure which Lord Hailsham has resisted.

The Paymaster General also asked (his letter to you of 22 January) whether consideration could be given to the special problems of small departments with a view to their being required to participate occasionally rather than on an annual basis. I have consulted the Lord President about this.

Recommendation 3

9. We accept that a requirement to participate each year would be hard on departments of narrow function or restricted size. But it was agreed at the outset that it would not be right to exclude any department from the programme automatically. It would be reasonable to expect those departments employing, say, 1,000 staff or more to make serious efforts to conduct at least one scrutiny a year and in the case of large departments, as now, to conduct several. Departments employing less than 1,000 staff might reasonably be expected to conduct scrutinies every two or three years. If you are content, I propose to deal with this point when I circulate the agreed programme for this year to Ministers.

#### MY ASSOCIATION WITH THE PROGRAMME

Recommendation 4

10. The topics this year fall under four main headings. If you agree, I propose to take a particular interest on your behalf in the scrutinies listed below (numbers refer to the Annex):

# a. Aspects of control

- 5 Treasury control (when agreed).
- 13 Procedures for DOI financing of R & D.
- 15 The delegation of CSD authority to departments.
- 21 Financial control in MOD.
- 26 Control of administrative costs in DOE central.

# b. Large systems

- 6 VAT registration and de-registration.
- 7 Customs procedures and attendance outside normal hours.
- 9 DNS operating procedures (when agreed).

PAYE files and contents

10

C.

d.

11 Inland Revenue repayment procedures. 12 Administration of Schedule D. 18 MSC procedures for special programmes sponsors. 22 MOD Group travel. Employment services, Northern Ireland. 30 33 Administrative and financial procedures of Family Practitioner Committees. Subjects of unusual interest 3 Use of diplomatic service personnel overseas (when agreed). 20 Defence sales (as you have already requested). 28) HM Inspectorate of Schools (as you have already 37) requested). 32 DHSS Casework. Subjects related to service-wide scrutinies 1 The Forensic Science Service, HO. 25) Fisheries Research, MAFF and Scottish Office. 29) SUMMARY I should be grateful for your agreement to: Recommendation 1 (para. 4) - the broad size envisaged for the 1981 programme subject to continuing to look for additional scrutinies in manpower intensive areas in Defence and Social Security. Recommendation 2 (para. 6) - the revised or additional 10 acceptable scrutinies. -Recommendation 3 (para. 9) - my proposals for the participation of smaller Departments in the scrutiny programme.

Recommendation 4 (para. 10) - my particular association with the scrutinies listed.

12. I am copying this to the Lord President, Sir Ian Bancroft and Sir Robert Armstrong.

DEREK RAYNER

18 March 1981

Enc: Summary details of 1981 scrutiny programme.

			CONF	CONFIDENTIAL				
MINISTER	PROJ BGT	STATUS ENVISAGED (P = particular interest (G = general interest)	MINISTER IN CHARGE OF PROJECT	REASONS FOR SCHECTING SUBJECT	COST OF ACTIVITY UNDER SCRUTINY	STARTING AND FINISHING DATES	NAMES OF PROJECT OFFICERS	LIAISON OFFICER
Home Secretary	1. The Forensic * Science Service	Δı	Lord Belstead	Increasing work- load and man- power constraints have put heavy pressure on the service	£15m 600 staff	l April (or later) Up to six months	Alan Turney (AS)	Mr Beesley
Lord	<ul><li>a. No proposal owing to current management review.</li><li>b. And has now turned down the idea of a scruting</li></ul>	al owing to current management now turned down the idea of a s	in a	secondary department.				
Foretary	2. The generation and transmission of information 3. Use of Diplomatic	O A	Mr Douglas Hurd MP	Large volumes of paper are generated and moved using labour intensive	At least El.4m in wages and salaries	3 - 4 months start not specified	N/K	Mr Beesley
					п/к	N/K	N/K	Mr Allen
Chancellor of the Exchequer HM Treasury	4. Typing and Secretarial Services	b		Complements registry and clerical ser-	£lm 167 staff	April- August 1981	N/K	Mr Beesley
	5. Aspect of Treasury control still to be agreed	Pi		Present service not satisfac- tory		N/K	N/K	Mr Priestley
& Excise	6. Procedures for * dealing with and amending VAT registrations and de-registrations	Δ	Lord Cockfield	VAT is a major function poten- tial for big savings	£5m 500 staff	2 March - middle/end July 1981	Douglas Tweddle (P)	Mr Allen
•	7. Customs procedures * and attendance out- side normal working hours	ė.	Lord	Costly in manpower and shift payments	To be identified	2 March - mid/end July 1981	Peter St Quinton (P)	Mr Beesley
Department for National Savings	8. Investigation of Post Office errors in recording deposits and withdrawals	D.	Lord Cockfield	Cost of correction may be dispropor- tionate to size of errors	£0.7m	16 March - July 1981	Scott Speedie (P)	Mr Beesley
	9. Operating procedures7	D4				N/K	N/K	Mr Beesley
								T

\*Proposals already accepted by Prime Minister

CONFIDENTIAL

				CONFIDENTIAL				
MINISTER	PROJ ECT	STATUS ENVISAGED (P = particular interest G = general interest)	MINISTER IN CHARGE OF PROJECT	REASONS FOR SELECTING SUBJECT	COST OF ACTIVITY UNDER SCRUTINY	STARTING AND FINISHING DATES	NAMES OF PROJECT OFFICERS	LIAISON
Inland Revenue	10. PAYE files * and contents	Ωı	Lord Cockfield	May be nothing of permanent value on many files. Big savings if eliminated	200 - 300 man years	Sept Nov. 1981	John Yard	Mrs Thoms
•	11. Repayment * procedures in claims and PATE sections of tax divisions	¢4	Lord Cockfield	Scope for re- laxing scrutiny procedures and making methods less formal	1300 staff units	April - June 1981	Gerald Scull	Mrs Thoms
	12. Administration of Schedule D tax	А			9500 staff	Summer 1980- June/July 1981	John Yard	Mr Allen
Secretary of State for Industry	13. Finance for R&D in industry	Δ; *- H	Mr Norman Tebbitt MP	Large sums and numbers of staff involved	Programme costs £200m a year, the adminis- tration £10m (850 staff)	April - July 1981	Callum McCarthy (AS)	Mr Beesley
Paymaster- Goral	14. Declarations of* entitlement for public sector pensions	Đ	Mr Francis Pym MP	Not fully evaluated after the law ceased to require them	£70,000	Sept Nov. 1981	John Breeden	Mr Beesley
Minister for the Civil Service	15. The delegation * of authority to other depts.	d.	Mr Barney Hayhoe MP	The need to consider costs as well as effectiveness. Possible wider lessons	Not known but thought substantial	3 months starting not later than April 1981	Rob Hull	Mr Priestley
	16. The work of the Government Actuary's Department	b			•,	N/K	N/K	Mr Beesley
*Proposals	*Proposals already accepted by Prime Minister	inister		CONFIDENTIAL				

LIAISON	Mr Beesley	Mrs Thoms	Mr Beesley	Mr Beesley	Mr Priestley	Mrs Thoms	Mr Beesley	Mr Beesley	Mr Beesley	Mr Allen	
NAMES OF PROJECT OFFICERS	N/K	N/K	Adrian Cohen (SPSO)	N/K	Bill Reeves (AS)	David Pollock (P)	Miss Alexa Walker (P)	N/K	SPSO + PRIN.	Chris Joubert (Econ. Adviser)	
START AND FINISHING DATES	March- July 1981	April- July 1981	Jan. 1981 for 3-4 months	N/K	a. Feb- 31 March b. April -	May-Aug.	Sept-Dec.	N/K	Sept. 1981 Jan. 1982	Jan April 1981	
COST OF ACTIVITY UNDER SCRUTINY	£lm	£14m+	£2m			nt £33m+	isation £56.5m &y (300 staff)	isation £0.5m+	Staff £5.4m (650 man- years) Cther £6.1m	£44m plus £38m from other depart- ments	
REASONS FOR SELECTING SUBJECT	Expensive Possible "read across"	To cut costs and ease the burden on sponsors	New schemes coming from EEC, need to see how work should be organised			Standing arrangement with British Rail to	Scope for rationalisation using new technology	Scope for rationalisation using new technology	Effort high in relation to the contribution of fishing to GDP	Survey of running costs revealed need for tighter grip on central staff costs	
MINISTER IN CHARGE OF PROJECT	Mr David Waddington MP	Sir Richard O'Brien (Chairman MSC)	Mr Simpson (Chairman HSC)			Mr Philip Goodhart MP	Mr Philip Goodhart MP	Mr Philip Goodhart MP	Mr Peter Walker MP	Mr Wichael Heseltine MP	
STATUS ENVISAGED (P = particular G = ERUSES)	D	155 P	5	А			ð	9	Ωч	a.	\$ 0.00
PROJ ECT	7. Work Permits Division	• Procedures for dealing with special programmes sponsors	Approval testing * and certification of products under the 1974 Health and Safety at Work Act	al	a.	Gro	E P	24. Dissemination of * information	25. Fisheries Research * end the work of the Porry Research Station	trative costs in 10E central and scope for local cost centres	20 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
MINISTER	Secretary of 17- State for Employment	Manpower Services Commission	Health and Safety Executive	Secretary of 20.	State for Defence 21.	22.	23	č.	Minister of 29 Agriculture Fireries & F	Secretary of State for the Environment	

ı	-
	<
1	-
ŧ	-
1	2
I	$\mathbf{x}$
î	
į	4
1	4
1	Z
í	3
4	~

.

LIAISON	Mr Bessley	Mr. Priestley	Mr Beesley			Mr Allen	Mr Beesley	Mr Priestley	Mrs Thoms
HAMES OF PROJECT OFFICERS	Eric Turtle (P)	Peter Rendle (retired US)	N/K			Derek Alexander (P)	Brian Bridges (AS)	N/K	N/K
START AND FINISHING DATES	16 Feb. for 3 months	Jan May 1981	N/K			Jan May 1981	N/K	likely May start	Aug/Sep Dec.
COST OF ACTIVITY UNDER SCRUTINY	£6.13m 830 posts	£3,25m (150 staff)				£5.65m 630 staff	150 men years and cost to employers	375 staff and £7m Approx.	? . nister
HEASON FOR SELECTING SUBJECT	Demand-led. PSA do not control numbers					To ensure NIES is providing value for money	Meed to make enquiries and awards is frustrating to DHSS and employer	Despite recent initiatives the heavy load might be handled better. Possible wider implications	Large monies involved *Proposals already accepted by Prime Minister
MINISTER IN CHARGE OF PROJECT	Mr Geoffrey Finsberg MP	Mr Alex Fletcher MP				Mr Michael Alison MP	Mrs Lynda Chalker MP	Sir George Young MP	*Proposals already
STATUS ENVISAGED (P= particular G= interest	interest)	Δ,	Ĉ4		1	ď.	ъ	Д	
PROJECT	27. Custody * service provided to depts. having no capability of their own	28. Role organ- * isation, staffing and effective- ness of HM Inspectorate of 50hools in Scotland	29. Prsperies	Zin correspondence	New proposal awaited	30. Organisation * systems and deployment of resources in the MI Employment Service	31. Administra-* tive implica- tions of final relevant Vear' provision for pensions	32. Handling of Case-* work in DHSS Head- quarters	33. Administrative and financial procedures of Family Practitioner Committees 7
MINISTER	PSA	Scottish		Forestry Commission	Secretary of State for Wales	Secretary of State for Northern Ireland	Se ary of Stare for . Social Services		

L	2
2	ä
Ē	٦
Ė	i
Þ	4
Э	9
۴	7
F	7
E	2
8	
ř	

			CONFI	CONFIDENTIAL				
MINISTER	Project	STATUS ENVISAGED (P = particular interest G = general interest)	MINISTER IN CHARGE OF PROJECT	REASONS FOR SELECTING SUBJECT	COST OF ACTIVITY UNDER SCHUTINY	START AND FINISHING DATES	NAMES OF PROJECT OFFICERS	LIAISON OFFICER
Secretary of State for Trade	34. Handling of * routine prosect-tions by the Solictor's Dept.	Ů	Mr Reginald Eyre MP	May be more efficient to use private sector solici- tors in the provinces, Possible wider relevance.	£376,000 pa	April- July 1981	Michael O'Shea	Mr Beesley
	35. Association with internal scrutiny of common services in Establishments and Finance7	А			2100 staff	April- July 1981	Stuart Hampson (AS)	Mr Priestley
Secretary of te for rgy	36. International work of the Department	D.	Mr Norman Lamont MP	Effort grown rapidly. Timely to take stock	Unknown - £875,000 pa at a minimum	April- July 1981	N/K	Mr Beesley
Secretary of State for Education and Science	37. Role, organ- * isation, staff- ing and effectiveness of IM Inspectorate of Schools in England and Wales	А	Baroness Young	The Inspectorate at accounts for a large proportion of DES's manpower and expenditure	£13.5m (400 staff)	Jan- end June 1981 (May take rather more than 90 days)	Nick Stuart (US) r	Mr Priestley
	38. Review of * activities of V & A and Science Museums	ъ	Mr Paul Channon MP	Staffed by civil servants. Activities curtailed by manpower cuts. Need to review staffing, methods and effectiveness	£15m + expenditure on buildings	Start Cearly 1981 6 months	Zlifford Damfield (retired US)∑	Mr Beesley
State for Transport	39. Winter * maintenance of frunk roads and motorways	Đ	Mr Norman Fowler MP	Increased spending does not appear to be matched by better results	£4-9m a year depending upon weather	3 months from March 1981	David Rowe (P) Vladis Servas (Prin. Engineer)	Mr Beesley
5								

\*Proposals already accepted by Prime Minister

CONFIDENTIAL