For Maler for CONFIDENTIAL



MO 4/4

PRIME MINISTER

Prime Monister

This crossed with my letter recording your view that you will probably not see the THE PAY REVIEW BODIES me endence. Shoul I say that

you will take account,

I have seen Geoffrey Howe's minute to you of 30th October, and also the minutes of E(PSP)(81)5th Meeting. Although I go along in general with what Geoffrey says, there is one suggestion which I think we ought to reconsider, namely that those Ministers "most directly concerned" should meet the TSRB, DDRB and AFPRB separately from any meeting you and Geoffrey might have with them. This is a departure from what was done last year, and I am not sure that it offers an improvement.

- Quite apart from the fact that several of us have a direct 2. interest in the work of the TSRB, all three Review Boards report to you, not to individual Ministers. Last year the business of briefing the Boards on our reading of the economic and financial background was handled by your seeing them with Geoffrey Howe and the appropriate Departmental Ministers. This seems to me properly to reflect the constitutional relationship between the Boards and the Government. It also has the practical advantages of maximizing the impact of our message and guaranteeing complete consistency of presentation, an important point when nuances matter and, in the case of Sir Harold Atcherley, there is overlapping membership of Boards. I think we were right to handle it as we did last year, and see no reason to change our practice. I feel strongly that we should do the same again this year.
- I am copying this to the members of E, the Lord Chancellor, the Secretaries of State for Scotland and Wales, and Sir Robert Armstrong.

A Now in mehr - but I dontTouch will carry of Mr Notts views when you finally devide whether

you do their consider devide whether

Ministry of Defence ent va 9th November 1981

) or not to see manyon The Chairmen?

Mes 13/11