CONFIDENTIAL

Bound Volume

THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF HER BRITANNIC MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT

84) 10

COPY NO 56

h 1984

CABINET

EDUCATION IN LONDON

Memorardum by the Secretary of State for Education and Science the Secretary of State for the Environment

PURPOSE

This paper invites colleagues to consider the principle of a directlyelected education authority for inner London.

Authority (ILEA) is the Greater London Council (GLC) acting through a special compare (the GLC) special committee which has bedirectly-elected members, (the GLC councillors for the inner London boroughs and the City) and 13 appointed members from the 12 inner London boroughs and the Common Council of the City of London. The White Paper proposed a joint body with all its members of the GLC. We not consider a directly-elected ILEA. The of the GLC. We now propose, instead a directly-elected ILEA. The introduction of direct elections would not affect the status or nature of the new style ILEA, only the system for appointing its members. It would and (probably) hold its elections in the rears between borough elections.

ADVANTAGES

The main reasons for preferring a directly-elected authority to a joint board are -

White Paper (246 to 3 out of specific comments received up to 10 February), including those from Conservatives. A clear majority of the 12 of the 12 inner London Conservative Members of Parliament and 10 of the 12 boroughs (including Westminster) are in Favour.

b. Accountability to the electorate would be beyond question.

appointed by the boroughs might well find themselves in real difficulty controlling an authority vastly bigger than any borough, and providing a service which the boroughs have never provided.

CONFIDENTIAL

The simplicity and wide acceptability of direct elections should ease the passage of the abolition Bill.

e. There is a considerable advantage in maintaining continuity in the Conservative group on ILEA whose alternative budget will help as to set a realistic spending level for the Authority when the rate Jig capped.

DISADYAGES

- There are two main arguments against direct elections. First, direct elections to the will lead to requests for direct elections to the other single service joint boards (police, fire and public transport in the indirectly councils (MCCs); and fire in London). But ILEA as an indirectly councils (MCCs); indirectly-elected Authority would be the only non-elected education authority Paper have not elici authority in England; consultations on the White Paper have not elicited anything in England; consultations on the White Paper have not elicited anything like the same pressure for directly-elected authorities for the other services.
- have to balance competing claims of services on what ratepayers might delegates from some of the beest spending local authorities in England would hardly be a force of the beest spending local authority would hardly be a force for ecosomy, either. And an elected Authority would at least be spending to the electorate for its spending would at least have to answer affect to the electorate for its spending. Above all, the elected body will be within the scope of rate limitation; and in the first elected body will be within the joint boards) it would be and in the first three years (along with the joint boards) it would be subject to Subject to the special financial and manpower controls proposed in the White Paper.

OTHER ISSUES

There are subordinate issues which will need to be settled, such as the size and composition of the Authority. There is also the question of the timing of legislation. We cannot set up the new corporate body until 1986. However, officials believe that it would be possible to provide in the special committee. provide in the paving Bill for direct elections to the special committee of the CTC. of the GLC in May 1985. This would, however, be at the expense of delaying introduction May 1985. This would, however, be at the expense of delaying introduction until immediately after Easter, and lengthening (possibly substantial) until immediately after Easter, and lengthening (possibly substantially) the paving Bill. The delay would make it even more essential essential to take the Committee Stage on the floor of the House.

PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT

by the Secretary of State for Education and Science, today. A draft is at detailed statement only with the principle of direct elections, a more detailed statement would be needed as soon as we have been able to consider a report from officials on implementation (including the timing

2

CONCLUSIONS

On balance, the advantages of direct elections outweigh any problems are likely to arise. We therefore invite colleagues to -

endorse the principle of direct elections to the education thority for inner London;

agree that an immediate announcement should be made on the lines attached draft; and

as south as possible.

K J P J

Department of Education and Science

7 March 1984

3

CONFIDENTIAL

Annex A

STATEMENT

White Paper "Streamlining the Cities", and the associated number of points in due course. However, the Government have education in inner London, and we thought it right to inform of this now.

The White Paper proposed that there should continue to be a unitary education service in inner London, administered by a single education authority. It also proposed that the by the inner London borough councils and the Common Council of the City.

Having considered the arguments put forward in consultation, we accept that a directly-elected authority would be more intend to legislate aggordingly.

A further statement on the proposed arrangements for the new elections, will be made as soon as possible.

7 March 1984

CONFIDENTIAL