CONFIDENTIAL

Copy m Pring Palie Pay File May 1777

Copy No.

CABINET: POLICE AND ARMED FORCES PAY

(Mr. Whitelaw's minute of 8 May;
Mr. Stowe's letter of 8 May; and
Mr. Pym's minute of 9 May)

BACKGROUND

Ref: A09520

PRIME MINISTER

Cabinet discussed both these points briefly at its informal meeting on 8 May. You then agreed that the decision on police pay should be announced on Wednesday; this has now happened.

The facts are set out in the Home Secretary's minute of 8 May. (attached)

At the same meeting it was suggested that armed forces should be dealt with at the same time. You were not prepared to agree to this on the spot, and asked for proposals. (Mr. Stowe's letter of 8 May). The Defence Secretary sets out his suggestions in a minute of 9 May. It has since been agreed that an announcement on the Armed Forces should be postponed until after this Cabinet discussion.

HANDLING

- 2. I suggest you take the two groups in order.
 - a. Police pay. The decision has been taken and announced. You wanted Cabinet formally to ratify, for the record, the informal decision taken on Tuesday. This covers both the acceleration of the pay increase, and the question of Rate Support Grant: both are dealt with in the Home Secretary's minute, and in Mr. Stowe's letter of 8 May recording your decision. In practice your decision on the Rate Support Grant has been interpreted to mean that the cash limit will be increased to cover the Government's contribution to the additional RSG element, i.e. the Local Authorities carry 39% of the cost (a little
- 3) less in Scotland) and, so far as I am aware no colleague is likely to object to this (the extra cost of covering 100% of the award by RSG would be £15m (England and Wales)



and the precedent could be awkward later). There may be some small consequential changes to be made in the pay of non-Home Office police forces (e.g. the MOD constabulary). These are currently under review by a separate Committee under Mr. E.D. Wright set up following the Edmund-Davies report. It is due to report shortly. If these are raised, you should ask the Ministers concerned to take up the question separately with the Lord President.

- b. <u>Armed Forces</u>. The Defence Secretary's proposals fall into three groups:
 - i. Bringing forward the full level of the military salary to 1 April 1979 for all ranks covered by the AFPRB Report, including service doctors and dentists. (Strictly speaking, this last bit means anticipating the Government decision on the Doctors' and Dentists' Review Body Report, and the Chancellor may resist this. You may want to support him in the interests of getting orderly decisions).
 - ii. Postponing a decision on the higher ranks
 (Major General and above) until Cabinet considers
 the TSRB report. (The effect will be that Brigadiers
 will recieve more pay than Major Generals until
 decisions are taken and implemented on the TSRB).
 However, no Minister is likely to object to this
 provided the inverse differential does not last too
 long.
 - iii. A package of improvements in travel warrants and house purchase schemes, and in TAVR bounties.

 Mr. Pym is not seeking an early decision on these, and does not intend to make an immediate announcement.

 The question of TAVR bounties will in any case have to be referred to the AFPRB.

- Mr. Pym also asks for decisions on cash limits to cover these three items. Since in practice he is prepared to postpone decisions on ii. and iii. above, he is only asking at this stage for an increase in the cash limits of £114 million for pay. I understand that the Chancellor of the Exchequer will be prepared to accept the increase of £111 million (i.e. excluding £3 million for service doctors) and to ask that the remainder should be postponed. The procedure would then be for Mr. Pym to take up directly with the Lord President (as Minister for the Civil Service) the issues at ii. and iii. above, to raise the question of service doctors when Ministers take the DDRB Report, and then to take up the consequential cash limits increases separately with the Chancellor or with the Chief Secretary. The same would apply to the proposals for improved local overseas allowances. Decisions here need not come back to Cabinet unless the Chancellor and Mr. Pym are unable to agree, or unless other colleagues argue that these extra bids should be looked at with other spending proposals in the public expenditure review.
- 4. Related matters. These two questions will raise, in the minds of Cabinet Ministers, the general question of pay policy. You might like to tell the Cabinet, therefore, that you intend to circulate an "annotated agenda" for next week's Cabinet, which will allow Ministers to have a general discussion. This will be accompanied by a detailed paper from the Chief Secretary of pay and cash limits for 1979-80, and possibly by a further paper by the Chancellor on the future of comparability. It may however be necessary to take separate and early decisions on teachers pay, and you are arranging for this to be discussed in the Ministerial Committee on Economic Strategy on Monday. (I am sending you a separate note about this). Otherwise, you should ask that no further decisions on public sector pay should be taken until the Cabinet has had a chance to review the policy generally.

CONCLUSIONS

5. You might aim to record the following conclusions from this discussion:

- a. To confirm that the recommendations of the Edmund-Davies Committee on Police pay should be implemented in full from 1 May 1979, and that the cash limits for police services should be increased accordingly with the Government providing its standard RSG contribution;
- b. To invite the Ministers concerned to settle in due course any necessary consequential increases to non-Home Office police forces if possible in correspondence with the Lord President and the Chancellor of the Exchequer;
- c. To approve implementation of the full level of military salary recommended by the Armed Forces Pay Review Body in its eighth report as appropriate at 1 April 1979 for all ranks up to Brigadier but excluding service doctors and dentists if colleagues so decide pending decisions on the Report of the Doctors'and Dentists' Review Body.
- d. To approve an increase in the cash limit for the defence budget of £114 million to cover these increases.
- e. To note that Defence Secretary will be bringing forward separate proposals for improvements in service allowances and the TAVR bounty, \angle and that he and the further Chancellor have authority to settle these without/reference to Cabinet. $\frac{7}{7}$
- f. To note that the pay of senior officers above the rank of Brigadier will be settled later in the context of the TSRB Report.
- g. To note that you will be arranging for a full discussion in Cabinet of public sector pay policy, and to invite Ministers to postpone any further decisions (except possibly for teachers until that discussion has taken place).

M JOHN HUNT.