Ref. A06055

PRIME MINISTER

Fince receiving these papers (at 7.30 pm) I ve been trying to assemble some statistical material for you - eg the

DEFENCE

L'defence equipment price index : given I the thin-ness if the material offered Alas! I have been unsuccessful. I hope that the attached note goes some way towards explaining Chuldwe the apparent conflict of view between the Treasury and the Setup a fuller

Ministry of Defence about what has been happening to defence meeting on Montay afternoon? prices this year.

MUS 20/11

If you want to try and reach a settlement before this comes before the Cabinet, there might be a way forward on the following */atcv lines: I have gor

The attached

- define RPE So far as 1981-82 is concerned we know that the hole Defence Secretary would be prepared to accept the Treasury's offer of £275 million in the context of an overall settlement.
- So far as the years 1982-83 and 1984-85 are concerned, the Defence Secretary has indicated that he might be prepared to drop his bid for a future relative price effect of 2 per cent which, as the note on defence prices suggest, is less well founded than the bid relating to higher prices in 1981-82 (sums involved: £169 million in 1982-83, £381 million in 1983-84, and £643 million in 1984-85).
- The important year for the Defence Secretary is 1982-83 because a large part of his expenditure for that year is already

committed; it is also common ground that the figures for 1983-84 and 1984-85 are provisional.

3. We then have the following position:

	1981-82	1982-83	1983-84	1984-85
Treasury offer	275	250	250	225
MOD possible revised bid	275 450	455	466 847 (381)	477
Company	275	350	2,0	310

It might just be possible to settle the matter by raising the Treasury offer to, say, £350 million in 1982-83, leaving the later years at £250 million or a little higher.

RIA

ROBERT ARMSTRONG

20 November 1981