Eroby Gal Grso

PRIME MINISTER

Please also see the two minutes from Mr NoH and an

sir D Rayner (attached). I see little
altermative but to postpone a Casinet

Discussion as & at X, given that you will
not wish to confuse the sept 9 long-term

END-YEAR FLEXIBILITY FOR PUBLIC EXPENDITURE PROGRAMMES public expenditure

disussion with this

The Secretary of State for Defence sent me a copy of his minute to you of 20 July. - attacks to the 20

- 2. I fear he has misunderstood the point of my memorandum C(82)29 about end-year flexibility. I was not recommending a scheme for flexibility. I was reporting to Cabinet, as required by an earlier remit, the work which has been done by officials in drawing up such a scheme.
- 3. The report is worded neutrally. It outlines the kind of scheme which might be practicable if it could be afforded. But as I said in my covering note, allowing the carry-forward of underspending would add to total public expenditure.
- 4. The Treasury view (which I realise Ministry of Defence officials do not fully share) is that the cost of the limited scheme described in the paper would be of the order of £300 £450 million a year. The Cabinet decisions on public expenditure on 15 July precludes an addition of this size to the existing planning totals.
- 5. I hope therefore that the Secretary of State will not press his argument. But if he must, I suggest that a decision should be reserved until October, when I report back to Cabinet on the results of my bilateral discussions with spending Ministers, and we can see more definitely the overall position for 1983-84 and

later years. I must advise against a separate discussion now of end-year flexibility for only one Department, because it would involve taking decisions out of their proper context. 6. I am sending a copy of this minute to the Secretary of State for Defence and to Sir Robert Armstrong. LEON BRITTAN 22 July 1982

2 2 JUL 1982

