SECRET SIA

GR 240
SECRET
FM PEKING 030200Z NOV 82
TO PRIORITY F C 0
TELNO 712 OF 3 NOVEMBER
RPTD INFO PRIORITY HONG KONG.

ha.

YOUR TELNOS 1890 AND 1891 TO WASHINGTON: FUTURE OF HONG KONG

1. WHEN ACTION HAS BEEN TAKEN IN WASHINGTON, I SHOULD BE GRATEFUL FOR AUTHORITY TO SPEAK ON A STRICTLY PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL BASIS TO MY AMERICAN COLLEAGUE HERE. I DO NOT NEED TO BRIEF HIM IN DETAIL, BUT SHOULD LIKE TO INDICATE THAT HM AMBASSADOR WASHINGTON HAS BRIEFED SCHULTZ, AND THAT WE HAVE ASKED FOR SOME ASSISTANCE. I SHALL NEED TO KEEP IN CLOSE TOUCH WITH HUMMEL HERE ABOUT POTENTIAL AMERICAN VISITORS. I SHOULD ALSO FIND IT USEFUL TO DISCUSS AGAIN WITH HIM HIS EXPERIENCE OF THE ARMS SALES TALKS, THIS TIME AT GREATER LENGTH AND WITH MORE SPECIFIC REFERENCE TO OUR OWN TALKS.

2. I MUST OWN THAT ON SEEING SECOND TUR I WAS STRUCK BY THE FRANKNESS OF WHAT WE ARE PROPOSING TO SAY TO THE AMERICANS. LITTLE OR NOTHING IS BEING HELD BACK. I CAN SEE THAT THE AMERICANS MAY BE A SPECIAL CASE AND THAT IF WE WANT THEM TO HELP WE MUST BE ENTIRELY OPEN: BUT WE MUST ALSO ACCEPT THE CONSEQUENCE THAT THE RISK OF LEAKS WILL HENCEFORTH BE DISTINCTLY HIGHER. I HOPE THAT WE MAY GET AWAY WITHOUT BRIEFING OTHER POTENTIAL INTERLOCUTORS, EG THE AUSTRALIANS, QUITE SO FULLY.

CRADOCK

THIS TELEGRAM WAS NOT ADVANCED

FUTURE OF HONG KONG

LIMITED

HD/HK & GD HD/FED HD/PLANNIN

HD/PLANNING STAFF

HD/PUSD

PS

PS/LORD BELSTEAD

PS/PUS

MR GIFFARD MR DONALD COPIES TO

SIR I SINCLAIR

LEGAL ADVISER

MR ROBERTS

NEWS D

MR COLES NO 10 DOWNING STREET

MR MARTIN ASSESSMENTS STAFF

CABINET OFFICE

Antoles Downing it SECRET DEDIP 32960 - 1 GRS 141 SECRET DEDIP FM FCO 011300Z NOV 82 TO PRIORITY WASHINGTON (PERSONAL FOR AMBASSADOR)

TELEGRAM NUMBER 1921 OF 1 NOVEMBER INFO PEKING (PERSONAL FOR AMBASSADOR) INFO HONG KONG.

MY TELNO 512 TO PEKING: FUTURE OF HONG KONG

- 1. WE SHOULD ALSO BE GRATEFUL FOR YOUR VIEWS ON THE POSSIBLE VALUE OF ENLISTING THE HELP OF SENIOR FIGURES IN INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC ORGANISATIONS IN ORDER TO PUT OUR POINT ACROSS TO THE CHINESE.
- WE HAVE IN MIND PARTICULARLY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE WORLD BANK AND THE MANAGING DIRECTOR OF THE IMF. DO YOU THINK THAT THEY WOULD BE EFFECTIVE AND RELIABLE ADVOCATES? ARE THEY LIKELY TO HAVE HIGH LEVEL CONTACTS WITH THE CHINESE IN THE NEAR FUTURE? HOW SECURE WOULD THEY BE?
- WE HAVE NOT MADE ANY MOVE ON THIS YET AND SHOULD BE GRATEFUL FOR YOUR PERSONAL VIEWS BEFORE CONTEMPLATING AN APPROACH. WE SHOULD ALSO NEED YOUR ADVICE ON HOW THAT SHOULD BE DONE. PYM

FUTURE OF HONG KONG

LIMITED HD/HKGD HD/FED HD/PLANNING STAFF HD/PUSD PS PS/LORD BELSTEAD PS/PUS MR GIFFARD MR DONALD

COPIES TO:

SIR I SINCLAIR LEGAL ADVISER MR ROBERTS NEWS DEPT MR COLES NO 10 DOWNING ST MR MARTIN ASSESSMENTS STAFF, CABINET OFFICE

SECRET DEDIP

SECRET

FM HONG KONG 320410Z OCTOBER 82

TO PRIORITY F C O

TELEGRAM NUMBER 1254 OF 30 OCTOBER
INFO ROUTINE PEKING (PERSONAL FOR AMBASSADOR) WASHINGTON (PERSONAL FOR AMBASSADOR)

YOUR TELNO 1890 TO WASHINGTON: FUTURE OF HONG KONG

- 1. I CAN SEE THE VALUE OF AN EXCHANGE OF VIEWS WITH AMERICAN OFFICIALS ABOUT NEGOTIATING TACTICS WITH CHINA BUT WE SHOULD BE CAREFUL NOT TO TAKE THE ANALOGY BETWEEN U S NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE CHINESE OVER ARMS SALES TO TAIWAN AND OUR DISCUSSIONS WITH THEM OVER HONG KONG TOO FAR.
- 2. TAIWAN IS NEARLY 200 MILES OFFSHORE AND HAS PRACTICALLY NO CONTACT WITH THE MAINLAND. IT IS MUCH LESS VULNERABLE THAN HONG KONG TO CHINESE PRESSURE. THE AMERICANS WERE NOT NEGOTIATING ABOUT ITS FUTURE WHICH DOES NOT HAVE THE 'OVERHANG' OF THE 1898 TREATY. THERE WAS THEREFORE NO REAL PROBLEM ABOUT MAINTAINING CONFIDENCE THERE. IN ANY CASE, THE REAGON ADMINISTRATION NEVER HAD ANY INTENTION OF GOING TOO FAR ON ARMS SALES. IN THE MAIN IT WAS THE CHINESE WHO WERE THE ''DEMANDEURS' AND IN THE LAST RESORT THE U S COULD SIT TIGHT.
- 3. GIVEN THE CHINESE ABILITY TO AFFECT CONFIDENCE IN HONG KON, WHENEVER THEY WISH WE SHALL HAVE A DIMENSION TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT WHICH THE AMERICANS DID NOT HAVE: AND A MUCH MORE COMPLEX HAND TO PLAY.
- 4. MOREOVER IT SHOULD BE BOURNE IN MIND THAT THE AUGUST 17
 COMMUNIQUE ON THE TAIWAN ARMS ISSUE WAS AN AGREEMENT TO DIFFER
 AND NEITHER THE CHINESE NOR THE AMERICANS ACHIEVED THEIR BASIC
 OBJECTIVES. (WHICH WERE ON THE AMERICAN SIDE TO PIN
 THE CHINESE DOWN ON PEACEFUL REUNIFICATION AND ON THE
 CHINESE SIDE TO STOP SUPPLY OF U S ARMS TO TAIWAN). A FUZZY
 OUTCOME OF THAT KIND WILL NOT BE ENOUGHT FOR HONG KONG.
- 5. THERE ARE MANY OTHER EXAMPLES OF CHINESE NEGOTIATING TACTICS (E.G. WITH THE JAPANESE OVER THE TREATY OF FRIENDSHIP), AND HUANG HUA, THE FOREIGN MINISTER HAS A RECORD GOING BACK TO THE KOREAN ARMISTICE. IT MIGHT BE WORTH RESEARCH DEPARTMENT TAKING A SOMEWHAT WIDER LOOK AT THE SUBJECT.

FUTURE OF HONG KONG

LIMITED
HD/HKGD
HD/FED
HD/PLANNING STAFF
HD/PUSD
PS
PS/LORD BELSTEAD
PS/PUS
MR GIFFARD

MR DONALD

COPIES TO:

SIR I SINCLAIR LEGAL ADVISER

MR ROBERTS NEWS DEPT

MR COLES NO 10 DOWNING ST

MR MARTEN ASSESSMENT STAFF CABINET OFFICE

Ma Colomany Sheeli SECRET GR 300 SECRET DEDIP FM WASHINGTON 291940Z OCT 82 TO IMMEDIATE FCO TELEGRAM NUMBER 3495 OF 29 OCTOBER INFO IMMEDIATE PEKING (PERSONAL FOR AMBASSADOR) HONG KONG (PERSONAL FOR GOVERNOR) YOUR TELNOS 1890 AND 1891: FUTURE OF HONG KONG 1. THANK YOU FOR THESE INSTRUCTIONS. IN TAKING ACTION WITH SHULTZ AND BUSH, I SHALL EMPHASISE THE NEED TO RESTRICT KNOWLEDGE OF THE TALKS ON HONG KONG TO THE UTMOST. I STRONGLY AGREE, HOWEVER, THAT THERE ARE POSITIVE ADVANTAGES FOR US IN MAINTAINING A DIALOGUE WITH THE AMERICANS IN AS MUCH AS THIS IS POSSIBLE. 2. I ALSO WELCOME THE SUGGESTION THAT IF PRACTICABLE DONALD SHOULD COME HERE FOR THESE BRIEFINGS, AND FOR TALKS WITH HOLDRIDGE. WHO WAS THE LEADING ACTOR ON THE AMERICAN SIDE IN THE TALKS ON TAIWAN, ABOUT NEGOTIATING TACTICS. HOLDRIDGE WILL BE LEAVING WASHINGTON FOR A TRIP TO JAPAN AND KOREA ON 7 NOVEMBER. AND AFTER HIS RETURN ON 17 NOVEMBER WILL BE CONCENTRATING ON PREPARATION FOR HIS CONFIRMATION HEARINGS. IT WOULD THEREFORE BE BEST IF DONALD COULD PLAN TO COME NEXT WEEK. WE WILL TRY TO SET UP A MEETING TO FIT IN WITH THE CALL ON SHULTZ AND WILL REPORT AS SOON AS IT IS POSSIBLE TO SUGGEST A DATE. 4. TODAY'S PRESS CARRIES REPORTS (WHICH WE UNDERSTAND ARE RELIABLE) THAT PAUL WOLFOWITZ, CURRENT HEAD OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT PLANNING STAFF, WHOM WALDEN MET HERE ON 15 OCTOBER, IS TO SUCCEED HOLDRIDGE AS ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR EAST ASIA. WRIGHT LIMITED COPIES TO SIR I SINCLAIR LEGAL ADVISER HD/HK & GD HD/FED MR ROBERTS NEWS DEPT HD/PLANNING STAFF HD/PUSD MR COLES NO 10 DOWNING STREET PS PS/LORD BELSTEAD MR MARTIN ASSESSMENTS STAFF PS/PUS CABINET OFFICE MR GIFFARD MR DONALD SECRET

Mo 10 Downing Theat SECRET OO WASHINGTON GRS 474 SECRET DEDIP FM FCO 271847Z OCT 82 TO IMMEDIATE WASHINGTON TELEGRAM NUMBER 1891 OF 27 OCTOBER INFO PEYING PERSONAL FOR AMBASSADOR HONG KONG PERSONAL FOR GOVERNOR MIPT: FUTURE OF HONG KONG 1. THE CHINESE LEADERS TOOK A HARD LINE IN THE TALKS WITH THE PRIME MINISTER. THEY INSISTED ON RECOVERY OF SOVEREIGHTY OVER THE WHOLE TERRITORY IN OR BEFORE 1997 SOVEREIGNTY WAS 'NON NEGOTIABLE' AMD, IF IT CAME TO THE CRUMCH, TOOK PRECEDENCE OVER THE MAINTENANCE OF PROSPERITY. SUBJECT TO THIS OVERRIDING POINT, THE CHINESE WERE NEVERTHELESS PREPARED TO PURSUE SPECIAL POLICIES IN HONG KONG AND TO ALLOW THE CAPITALIST WAY OF LIFE TO CONTINUE. BRITISH INTERESTS IN INDUSTRY, COMMERCE, FINANCE, SHIPPING AND AVIATION WOULD BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT AND BRITISH COVERNMENT FUNCTIONARIES COULD REMAIN IN CERTAIN POSTS. ZHAO EXPLICITLY SAID THAT CHINA WOULD NOT LET OTHERS ADMINISTER HONG KONG ON ITS BEHALF OR PLACE HONG KONG UNDER THE TRUSTEESHIP OF OTHERS. BOTH DENG AND THAO SAID THEY DID NOT BELIFVE THAT WITHOUT PRITISH ADMINISTRATION AND THE LINK WITH THE UNITED KINGDOM THE HONG KONG ECONOMY WOULD DECLINE. THEY SOUGHT PRITISH COPPERATION IN EMSURING A SMOOTH TRANSITION TO CHINESE SOVEREIGNTY AND THE EXERCISE OF OVERALL CONTROL IN 1997. 2. THE PRIME MINISTER TOLD THE CHINESE LEADERS THAT SHE KNEW HOW IMPORTANT SOVEREIGHTY WAS TO CHIMA BUT IT WAS ALSO A DIFFICULT ISSUE FO HER. SHE COULD NOT CONSIDER THIS QUESTION UNLESS THE THO GOVERNMENTS COULD AGREE DEFINITE ARRANGEMENTS ABOUT THE FUTURE ADMINISTRATION AND CONTROL OF HOMG KONG WHICH WOULD WORK IN PRACTICE, COMMAND CONFIDENCE AMONG THE PEOPLE OF HONG KONG AND WHICH COULD BE JUSTIFIED TO THE BRITISH PARLIAMENT. SHE MADE CLEAR HER MORAL ORLIGATION TO THE PEOPLE OF HOMG IKONG SECRET

KONG AND THE IMPORTANT CONNECTION BETWEEN CONFIDENCE AND THE CONTINUITY OF PRITISH ADMINISTRATION.

3. HM AMEASSADOR PEKING SAW VICE FOREIGN MINISTER ZHANG WENJIN ON 5 OCTOBER. ZHANG INSISTED THAT THE TALKS BE BASED ON THE PREMISE THAT CHINA WOULD RECOVER SOVEREIGNTY NOT LATER THAN 1997. WE ARE STILL DISCUSSING WITH THE CHINESE THE FRAMEWORK WITHIN WHICH SUBSTANTIVE TALKS CAN TAKE PLACE WITH THE COMMON AIM OF MAINTAINING HONG KONG'S STABILITY AND PROSPERITY 4. THE CHINESE STAND WAS PREDICTABLE. ON THE BRIGHTER SIDE, THEY AGREED TO A PRESS STATEMENT THAT DID NOT REFER TO SOVEREIGNTY. THEY WERE READY TO AGREE TO TALKS WITH THE COMMON AIM OF MAINTAINING THE PROSPERITY AND STAPILITY OF HOMG KONG. ZHANG HAS SEEMED TO SUGGEST THAT SUBSTANTIVE TALKS COULD REGIN EVEN IF IT WERE NOT POSSIBLE TO SOLVE THE OURSTION OF SOVEREIGNTY TOTALLY. WE SEE A POSSIBLE ANALOGY WITH THE SINO-US TALKS ON ARMS SALES TO TAIWAN WHERE THE CHINESE REPEATEDLY TOOK A VERY HARD LINE REFORE EVENTUAL AGREEMENT. BUT IT IS DISTURBING THAT THE CHINESE HAVE ALREADY MADE PUBLIC A NUMBER OF ELEMENTS OF THEIR POSITION ON HONG KONG WHICH WILL MAKE EVENTUAL COMPROMISE MORE DIFFICULT.

PYM

FUTURE OF HONG KONG

LIMITED
HD/HKGD
HD/FED
HD/PLANNING STAFF
HD/PUSD
PS
PS/LORD BELSTEAD
PS/PUS
MR GIFFARD
MR DONALD

COPIES TO:

SIR I SINCLAIR LEGAL ADVISER
MR ROBERTS NEWS DEPT
MR COLES NO 10 DOWNING ST

TO DOWNING STREET From the Private Secretary 27 October 1982 FUTURE OF HONG KONG Thank you for your letter of 22 October. As I told you on the telephone today, the Prime Minister has approved the despatch of the two telegrams you enclosed. But on page 2 of the first telegram, after the words "in strict confidence on a personal basis" the following words should be added: "and that we therefore hope it will be kept entirely to themselves". Further, in the second telegram, the sentence at the foot of the first page should read: "Both Deng and Zhao said they did not believe". John Holmes, Esq., Foreign and Commonwealth Office.

In Colo 38B SECRET 32202 - 1 OO WASHINGTON GRS 816 SECRET DEDIP FM FCO 221900Z OCTOBER 82 TO IMMEDIATE WASHINGTON TELEGRAM NUMBER 1890 INFO PEKING PERSONAL FOR AMBASSADOR HONG KONG PERSONAL FOR GOVERNOR PERSONAL FOR AMBASSADOR UKMIS NEW YORK TELNO 1485: FUTURE OF HONG KONG 1. I SHOULD BE GRATEFUL IF YOU WOULD FOLLOW UP MY TALK WITH SHULTZ REPORTED IN TUR. THE PRIME MINISTER AGREES THAT WE SHOULD ALSO BRING VICE PRESIDENT BUSH INTO THE PICTURE. 2. AS YOU ARE AWARE, WE HAVE BEEN IN TOUCH SEPARATELY WITH DR KISSINGER WHO WILL BE DIMING WITH THE PRIME MINISTER IN LONDON ON 12 NOVEMBER. WE MUST ASSUME THAT THE FACT THAT KISSINGER SAW DENG RECENTLY IN CHINA AND WAS GIVEN AN ACCOUNT OF

CHINESE THINKING ABOUT HONG KONG WILL BECOME KNOWN TO THE AMERICAN ADMINISTRATION. THE ADMINISTRATION MIGHT THEREFORE TAKE IT BADLY IF THEY FELT THAT WE WERE DELIBERATELY KEEPING THEM IN THE DARK. MOREOVER, WE THINK THAT THE AMERICANS COULD BE HELPF TO US IN OUR HONG KONG POLICY. AT THE SAME TIME, THE PRIME MINISTER DOES NOT (NOT) WISH THE CIRCLE OF KNOWLEDGE ABOUT WHAT PASSED BETWEEN HER AND THE CHINESE LEADERS TO BE WIDENED MORE THAN IS ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY. IN SPEAKING TO SHULTZ AND TO BUSH PLEASE ENSURE THAT THEY UNDERSTAND THAT THE INFORMATION IS BEING PASSED IN STRICT CONFIDENCE ON A PERSONAL BASIS. AND THAT WE THEREFORE HOPE IT WILL BE KEPT ENTIRELY TO THEMSELVES. GREAT DAMAGE COULD BE CAUSED IN HONG KONG IF PURTHER RUMOURS OF CHINESE ORDURACY APPEAR. WE ARE PARTICUARLY CONCERNED TO AVOID PUBLIC STATEMENTS IN THE WESTERN PRESS WHICH MIGHT PROVOKE THE CHINESE TO PUPLICISE FURTHER THEIR NEGOTIATING POSITION BEFORE OUR SUBSTANTIVE TALKS HA GOT UNDER WAY.

3. IN SPEAKING TO SHULTZ AND TO BUSH YOU SHOULD IN THE FIRST PLACE DRAW ON GUIDANCE TELMO 192 WHICH GIVES THE SECUENCE OF

/ EVENTS

1

EVENTS LEADING TO THE ISSUE OF THE JOINT PUBLIC STATEMENT. MIFT CONTAINS AN ACCOUNT OF THE MEETINGS WITH ZHAO AND DENG ON WHICH YOU SHOULD ALSO DRAW, BUT YOU SHOULD NOT (NOT) LEAVE ANYTHING IN WRITING.

- 4. THE CHINESE LEADERS SHOWED IGNORANCE ABOUT THE BASIS OF THE CONFIDENCE ON WHICH THE PROSPERITY OF HONG KONG DEPENDS. WE ARE THEREFORE SEEKING WAYS OF TRYING TO INFLUENCE THE SMALL NUMBER OF CHINESE LEADERS WHO ACTUALLY MAKE POLICY TOWARDS HONG KONG, BEYOND OUD OWN DISCUSSIONS WITH THEM. THE PRIME MINISTEP WONDERS IF THERE IS ANY PROSPECT OF SECURING INVITATIONS FOR THEM TO VISIT, FOR EXAMPLE, THE UNITED STATES WHERE THEY COULD BE EXPOS TO SOME COMMONSENSE THINKING ABOUT THE FUTURE OF HONG KONG. EQUALLY. SENIOR AMERICAN POLITICAL FIGURES MAY BE ABLE TO PUT THE POINTS ACROSS DURING VISITS TO CHINA. THIS IS SOMETHING WHICH YOU SHOULD DISCUSS WITH BUSH AND SHULTZ.
- 5. THE ESSENCE OF THE MESSAGE WE WISH TO GET ACROSS TO THE CHINES IS AS FOLLOWS. AT PRESENT, PEOPLE IN HONG KONG AND INVESTORS REGARD BRITISH ADMINISTRATION, WHICH PRACTISES THE MINIMUM INTERVENTION IN THE RUNNING OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS, AS A MEANS OF INSURING AGAINST PEKING INFLUENCE OF THE IMPOSITION OF CHINESE COMMUNIST POLICIES. WE KNOW IT IS NOT WISE TO SUGGEST TO THE CHINESE LEADERSHIP THAT CHINESE PEOPLE ARE LESS COMPETENT MANAGERS THAN THE BRITISH. BUT IF THE EXTERNAL LINK WITH THE UNITED KINGDOM IS SEVERED, THE PEOPLE OF FONG KONG WILL FIND IT VERY DIFFICULT TO HAVE CONFIDENCE IN CHINESE ASSURANCES THAT THEY WILL LEAVE HONG KONG TO RUN ITS OWN AFFAIRS.

THE UNEVEN RECORD OF CHINESE POLICIES SINCE 1052, PARTICULARLY THE DAMAGE DONE TO CAPITALISM AND FREE ENTERPRISE IN SHAMGHAI, HAS NOT INSPIRED SUCH CONFIDENCE.

5. WE DO NOT KNOW WHETHER THE CHINESE WOULD LISTEN TO THIS SORT OF MESSAGE FROM THE AMERICANS IF IT WERE OFFERED AS THEIR OWN OBJECTIVE VIEW (RATHER THAN SPEAKING ON OUR BEHALF).

ANY US INTERVENTION OVER HONG KONG IS BOUND TO BE DELICATE,

PARTICULARLY BECAUSE OF CHINESE SUSPICIONS OF US POLICIES

TOWARDS TAIWAN. THE CHINESE VIEW OF US SUPPORT FOR US DURING
THE FALKLANDS CRISIS IS ANOTHER COMPLICATING FACTOR. THE

/ CHINESE

CHINESE WOULD ALSO REACT STRONGLY TO ANY IMPLICATION THAT WE HAD PUT THE US UP TO USING THEIR ECONOMIC INVESTMENTS IN HONG KONG AS A WAY OF PUTTING PRESSURE ON THEM (EG ANY APPARENT THREAT TO WITHDRAW INVESTMENTS UNLESS THE CHINESE AGREE TO CONTINUING BRITISH ADMINISTRATION AFTER 1997). BUT THE CHINESE MIGHT NEVERTHELESS RESPECT THE US VIEW ON THE BASIS OF HONG KONG'S PROSPERITY AND ON WHAT WOULD DAMAGE IT. US INVESTMENTS IN HONG KONG MIGHT THEREFORE PROVIDE A LEAD-IN TO THIS 7. YOU SHOULD ALSO ASK IF ONE OR TWO OF THE AMERICAN OFFICIALS WHO HAVE PEEN CLOSELY INVOLVED IN THE RECENT MEGOTIATIONS WITH THE CHINESE OVER ARMS SALES TO TAIWAN COULD VISIT LONDON FOR A DISCUSSION OF CHINESE NEGOTIATING TECHNIQUES. WE ALREADY HAVE GOOD MATERIAL ON THIS FROM REPORTS FROM YOUR EMBASSY AS WELL AS PEKING. PUT AN EXCHANGE OF VIEWS WITH THOSE WHO ACTUALLY MEGOTIATED THE COMMUNIQUE OF 17 AUGUST WOULD BE VALUABLE. 8. IF IT WOULD BE HELPFUL, I COULD SEND DONALD OVER TO ASSIST YOU IN THE BRIEFING. HE WAS PRESENT IN PEXING AT THE TALKS. COULD ALSO BE GIVEN A RUN-DOWN ON THE ARMS MEGOTIATIONS.

PYM

FUTURE OF HONG KONG
LIMITED
HD/HKGD ·
HD/FED
HD/PLANNING STAFF
HD/PUSD
PS
PS/LORD BELSTEAD
PS/PUS
MR GIFFARD
MR DONALD

COPIES TO:

SIR I SINCLAIR LEGAL ADVISER
MR ROBERTS NEWS DEPT
MR COLES NO 10 DOWNING ST



Foreign and Commonwealth Office

London SW1A 2AH

Mo

22 October 1982

Prime Minister

Contest for there instruction

to issue?

Dear John.

A. J. C. 23.

Future of Hong Kong

Thank you for your letter of 21 October.

We have despatched instructions to Sir Edward Youde about the line he should take with Sir S Y Chung. The Governor was extremely grateful. Sir S Y Chung was much relieved and grateful that his points had been so fully taken into account.

11

I now attach two draft telegrams to Washington about briefing the Americans, which we will despatch if the Prime Minister agrees.

(my en

(J E Holmes) Private Secretary

A J Coles Esq 10 Downing Street

		Classificatio	n and Caveats		Precedence/Deskby			
(3-		SECR	ET		PRIORITY			
ZCZC GRS CLASS CAVEATS DESKBY FM FCO PRE/ADD TEL NO	2 GRS 3 SECF 4 5 6 FM F 7 TO F 8 TELF	SECRET PRIORITY ZCZC GRS SECRET FM FCO 221900Z OCTOBER 82 TO PRIORITY WASHINGTON TELEGRAM NUMBER						
	10 AMB/ 11 PERS 12 UKM: 13 1. with 15 show 16 2. Dr 18 Long 17 Dr 18 Long 19 Kiss 20 Chir 21 American 22 take 23 in 1	AND REPEATED FOR INFORMATION TO PEKING DEDIP PERSONAL FOR AMBASSADOR AND TO HONG KONG PERSONAL FOR GOVERNOR PERSONAL FOR AMBASSADOR UKMIS NEW YORK TELNO 1485: FUTURE OF HONG KONG 1. I should be grateful if you would follow up my talk with Shultz reported in TUR. The Prime Minister agrees that we should also bring Vice President Bush into the picture. 2. As you are aware, we have been in touch separately with Dr Kissinger who will be dining with the Prime Minister in London on 12 November. We must assume that the fact that Kissinger saw Deng recently in China and was given an account of Chinese thinking about Hong Kong will become known to the American Administration. The Administration might therefore take it badly if they felt that we were deliberately keeping them in the dark. Moreover, we think that the Americans could be helpfu to us in our Hong Kong policy. At the same time, the Prime Minister does not (not) wish the circle of knowledge about what						
		NNNN ends telegram		Catchword passed;				
	File r	number	Dept PRIVATE O	Distribution FFICE	on			
	JE	Drafted by (Block capitals) J E HOLMES Telephone number			FUTURE OF HONG KONG			
	Autho	Authorised for despatch						
	Comce	Comcen reference Time of despatch						

Classification and Caveats Page SECRET PRIORITY 2. <<<< 1 1111 passed between her and the Chinese leaders to be widened more than and that is absolutely necessary. In speaking to Shultz and to Bush please we Berefre ensure that they understand that the information is being passed love it in strict confidence on a personal basis F Great damage could will be be caused in Hong Kong if further rumours of Chinese obduracy appear. We are particuarly concerned to avoid public statements in the Western press which might provoke the Chinese to publicise further their negotiating position before our substantive talks have got under way. 11 3. In speaking to Shultz and to Bush you should in the first 12 place draw on Guidance telno 192 which gives the sequence of 13 events leading to the issue of the joint public statement. MIFT 14 contains an account of the meetings which Zhao and Deng on which 15 you should also draw, but you should not (not) leave anything 16 in writing. 17 4. The Chinese leaders showed ignorance about the basis of the 18 confidence on which the prosperity of Hong Kong depends. We 19 are therefore seeking ways of trying to influence the small 20 num#ber of Chinese leaders who actually make policy towards Hong 21 Kong, beyond our own discussions with them. The Prime Minister 22 wonders if there is any prospect of securing invitations for them 23 to visit, for example, the United States where they could be exposed 24 to some commonsense thinking about the future of Hong Kong. 25 Equally, senior American political figures may be able to put the 26 points across during visits to China. This is something which 27 you should discuss with Bush and Shultz. 28 5. The essence of the message we wish to get across to the Chinese 29 is as follows. At present, people in Hong Kong and 30 investors regard British administration, which practises the 111 31 minimum intervention in the running of economic affairs, as a 11 32 means of insuring against Peking influence or the imposition 33 of Chinese communist policies. We know it is not wise to suggest 34 to the Chinese leadership that Chinese people are less competent NNNN ends Catchword

BLANK

telegram

managers

Classification and Caveats
SECRET PRIORITY 3.

<<<<

<<<<

managers than the British. But if the external link with the United Kingdom is severed, the people of Hong Kong will find it very difficult to have confidence in assurances that they will leave Hong Kong to run its own affairs. Will be honoured. The uneven record of Chinese policies since 1952, particularly the damage done to capitalism and free enterprise in Shanghai, has not inspired such confidence.

- 6. We do not know whether the Chinese would listen to this sort of message from the Americans if it were offered as their own objective view (rather than speaking on our behalf).

 Any US intervention over Hong Kong is bound to be delicate, particularly because of Chinese suspicions of US policies towards Taiwan. The Chinese view of US support for us during the Falklands crisis is another complicating factor. The Chinese would also react strongly to any implication that we had put the US up to using their economic investments in Hong Kong as a way of putting pressure on them (eg any apparent threat to withdraw investments unless the Chinese agree to continuing British administration after 1997). But the Chinese might nevertheless respect the US view on the basis of Hong Kong's propserity and on what would damage it. US investments in Hong Kong might therefore provide a lead-in to this.
- 7. You should also ask if one or two of the American officials who have been closely involved in the recent negotiations with the Chinese over arms sales to Taiwan could visit London for a discussion of Chinese negotiating techniques. We already have good material on this from reports from your Embassy as well as Peking. But an exchange of views with those who actually negotiated the communique of 17 August would be valuable.
- 8. If it would be helpful, I could send Donald over to assist you in the briefing. He was present in Peking at the talks. He could also be given a run-down on the arms negotiations.

NNNN ends telegram BLANK Catchword PYM

OUT TELEGRAM (CONT)

		Class	ification and Caveats		Page
(SECR	ET		4.
	-	V			
<<<<	1	<<<<			
	2				
	3	PYM			
	4	NNNN			
	5				
	6				
	7				
	8				
	9				
	10				
	11				
	12				Part .
	13				
	14				
	15				
	16				
	17				
	18				
	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				
	27				
	28				
	29				
	30				
	31				
	32				
	33				
	34	ATE IS BE			
		NNNN ends	BLANK	rd	
	L	telegram			

			fication and	d Caveats	F	Precedence/Deskby RIORITY	
ZCZC GRS CLASS CAVEATS	1 2 3 4	ZCZC GRS SECRET					
DESKBY FM FCO PRE/ADD TEL NO	5 6 7 8 9		NUMBER TED FOR I	NFORMATION		G DEDIP PERSONAL FOR	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 /// 2 // 2	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	AMBASSADOR AND HONG KONG PERSONAL FOR GOVERNOR MIPT: FUTURE OF HONG KONG 1. The Chinese leaders took a hard line in the talks with the Prime Minister. They insisted on recovery of sovereignty over the whole territory in or before 1997. Sovenignty was 'non negotiable' and, if it came to the crunch, took precedence over the maintenance of prosperity. Subject to this overriding point, the Chinese were nevertheless prepared to pursue special policies in Hong Kong and to allow the capitalist way of life to continue. British interests in industry, commerce, finance, shipping and aviation would be taken into account and British Government functionaries could remain in certain posts. Zhao explicitly said that China would not let others administer Hong Kong on its behalf or place Hong Kong under the trusteeship of others. Both Deng and Zhao did not believe that without British administration and the link with the United Kingdom					
		NNNN ends telegram	E	BLANK	Catchword	the	
		File number Dept Drafted by (Block capitals)			FUTURE OF HONG		
		Telephone number Authorised for despatch					
		Comcen reference Time of despatch					

Page Classification and Caveats << 1 <<<< the Hong Kong economy would decline. They sought British copperation in ensuring a smooth transition to Chinese sovereignty and the exercise of overall contorl in 1997. 2. The Prime Minister told the Chinese leaders that she knew 5 how important sovereignty was to China but it was also a 6 difficult issue fo her. She could not consider this question 7 unless the two governments coudl agree definite arrangements about the future administration and control of Hong Kong which would work in practice, command confidence among the people of Hong 10 Kong and which could be jestified to the British Parliament. 11 She made clear her moral obligation to the people of Hong 12 Kong and the important connection between confidence and the 13 continuity of British administration. 14 3. HM Ambassador Peking saw Vice Foreign Minister Zhang 15 Wenjin on 5 October. Zhang insisted that the talks be based 16 on the premise that China would recover sovereignty not later 17 than 1997. We are still discussing with the Chinese the 18 framework within which substantive talks can take place with 19 the common aim of maintaining Hong Kong's stability and prosperity. 20 4. The Chinese stand was predictable. On the brighter side, 21 they agreed to a press statement that did not refer to 22 sovereignty. They were ready to agree to talks with the common 23 aim of maintaining the prosperity and stability of Hong Kong. 24 Zhang has seemed to suggest that substantive talks could begin 25 even if it were not possible to solve the question of 26 sovereignty totally. We see a possible analogy with the Sino-27 US talks on arms sales to Taiwan where the Chinese repeatedly took 28 a very hard line befoe eventual agreement. But it is disturbing 29 that the Chinese have already made public a number of elelemts 30 of their position on Hong Kong which will mae eventual compromise 111 31 11 more difficult. 33 34 PYM Catchword NNNN ends BLANK telegram

XY 48 A

GR 120

SECRET

SECRET
FM HONG KONG 140825Z OCT 82
TO PRIORITY FCO
TELEGRAM NUMBER 1179 OF 14 OCTOBER
INFO PRIORITY WASHINGTON (PERSONAL FOR AMBASSADOR), PEKING (PERSONAL FOR AMBASSADOR)

100 207

PERSONAL FOR DONALD FUTURE OF HONG KONG.

AS YOU KNOW, HENRY KISSINGER HAS RECENTLY BEEN IN PEKING WHERE HE SPENT 2 AND A HALF HOURS WITH DENG. ACCORDING TO SIR Y.K. PAO, WHO SAW KISSINGER SUBSEQUENTLY IN TOKYO, DENG GAVE KISSINGER A FAIRLY FULL ACCOUNT OF CHINESE THINKING ABOUT THE FUTURE OF HONG KONG. AMONG OTHER THINGS, HE APPARENTLY SAID THAT SOVEREIGNTY WOULD BE TAKEN BACK IN 1997 AND THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE A CHINESE GOVERNOR. BUT THERE COULD BE A BRITISH VICE-GOVERNOR.

2. IT WOULD BE VERY USEFUL IF SOMEONE SUITABLY SENIOR IN THE EMBASSY COULD HAVE A WORD WITH KISSINGER AND, WITHOUT REVEALING OUR SOURCE (SIR Y.K. PAO IS (NEXT WORD UNDERLINED) VERY SENSITIVE ON THIS POINT), TRY TO FIND OUT DISCREETLY WHAT DENG ACTUALLY SAID ABOUT HONG KONG. IT MIGHT ALSO BE HELPFUL TO HAVE KISSINGER'S OWN ASSESSMENT OF THE CHINESE POSITION IN THE LIGHT OF WHAT HE WAS TOLD.

YOUDE

FUTURE OF HONG KONG

LIMITED
HD/HKGD
HD/FED
HD/PLANNING STAFF
HD/PUSD
PS/LORD BELSTEAD
PS/PUS
MR GIFFARD
MR DONALD

COPIES TO:

SIR I SINCLAIR LEGAL ADVISER
MR ROBERTS NEWS DEPT
MR COLES NO 10 DOWNING ST

10 DOWNING STREET 8 October 1982 From the Private Secretary Talks with China: Future of Hong Kong The Prime Minister would find it useful to have a talk with Dr. Kissinger some time, given his experience of negotiating with the Chinese. I understand that George Walden is expecting to meet a member of Dr. Kissinger's staff during a visit to Washington on 15 October. It would be helpful, provided you see no objection, if he could establish whether Kissinger is likely to be visiting London in the near future. If there is any prospect of this, the message could be conveyed that the Prime Minister would welcome the chance for a private talk about China and Hong Kong. She would of course not wish the fact that she intended to discuss these matters with Kissinger to become public and I should be grateful if George Walden could emphasise the need for total confidentiality. John Holmes Esq Foreign and Commonwealth Office.