3949 - 1

PP HONG KONG
GRS 366
SECRET
FM FCO 221930Z APRIL 83
TO PRIORITY HONG KONG
TELEGRAM NUMBER 390 OF 22 APRIL
INFO PRIORITY PEKING (PERSONAL FOR AMBASSADOR)
MCLAREN'S LETTER OF 25 MARCH, YOUR TELNO 509 AND PEKING TELNOS
326, 327 AND 328: FUTURE OF HONG KONG. STRATEGY FOR SUBSTANTIVE
TALKS

- 1. WE LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING THE REVISED DRAFT PAPER (YOUR TELNO 509). WE SHALL NOT SEND DETAILED COMMENTS BEFORE THEN. MEANWHILE OUR GENERAL THOUGHTS ARE AS FOLLOWS.
- 2. WE AGREE THAT IT IS IMPORTANT TO WORK OUT IN ADVANCE A POSSIBLE OVERALL STRATEGY FOR THE START OF SUBSTANTIVE TALKS. WE ARE WORKING ON THIS, TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE IDEAS IN PEKING TELNO 327 AND YOUR PAPER. THE HYPOTHESIS IN PARAGRAPH 1 OF TELNO 327 SEEMS THE BEST WORKING BASIS BUT WE HAVE TO ACCEPT THAT THINGS COULD TURN OUT VERY DIFFERENTLY. THE LINE WHICH WE TAKE WITH THE CHINESE WILL NEED TO BE GEARED TO THIS.
- 3. WE AGREE THAT IT IS USEFUL TO WORK OUT WITH EXCO A SET OF ARGUMENTS TO ILLUSTRATE THE VALUE OF BRITISH ADMINISTRATION. WE NOTE FROM YOUR TELNO 509 THAT YOU SEE THIS AS A QUARRY FROM WHICH A SPEAKING NOTE MIGHT BE DRAWN. WE STRONGLY AGREE WITH THIS BASIC APPROACH AND THINK IT PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT THAT EXCO SHOULD BE UNDER NO ILLUSIONS ABOUT THE STATUS OF THE PAPER.
- 4. THIS IS PARTLY BECAUSE WE AGREE WITH PEKING ON THE NEED,
  PARTICULARLY IN THE FIRST ROUND, TO AVOID TOO DISPUTATIOUS A
  NOTE AND TO CONCENTRATE ON INVOLVING THE CHINESE IN DISCUSSION OF
  DETAIL WHICH, MORE EFFECTIVELY THAN STRAIGHT ASSERTIONS, WOULD
  FURNISH CONCRETE EXAMPLES OF THE NEED FOR THE BRITISH LINK AS AN
  ESSENTIAL GUARANTEE OF NON-INTERFERENCE. IN PARTICULAR WE AGREE
  WITH THE AMBASSADOR THAT REFERENCES TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL LINK
  WITH THE UK NEED TO BE VERY CAREFULLY PRESENTED. OTHERWISE THE
  CHINESE MAY TAKE IT THAT WE ARE ARGUING FOR THE RETENTION OF
  SOVEREIGNTY AS PART OF THE ULTIMATE PACKAGE, WHICH THEY COULD SEE

1

AS GOING BACK ON THE LINE IN THE PRIME MINISTER'S MESSAGE.

5. IN PARA 5 OF HIS TELNO 699 OF 27 OCTOBER, THE AMBASSADOR
SUGGESTED THAT WE MIGHT PREPARE A CRITIQUE BASED ON WHAT WE KNOW
OF THE CHINESE PROPOSALS AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO OR PART OF THE
PRESENTATION. WE ARE WORKING ON THIS TOO AND SHOULD BE GRATEFUL
FOR YOUR AND PEKING'S CONTRIBUTIONS.

PYM

FUTURE OF HONG KONG

LIMITED

HD/HEGD
HD/FED
HD/FLANDING STAFF
HD/FUSD
PS
PS/MR HURD
PS/LORD BELSTEAD
PS/FUS
SIR J BULLARD
MR GIFFARD
MR DONALD

COPIES TO

SIR I SINCLAIR LEGAL ADVISER
MR BURROWS LEGAL ADVISERS
MR ROBERTS NEWS D
MR MARTIN ASSESSMENTS STAFF
CABINET OFFICE

MR FLOWER FUSD

MRCOLES NO 10 DOWNING ST

SECRET