Prime Minister a Satisfied ut to Feldish applacation and South free the strong white Paper, this he no proposes here that he strong time white Paper, this he no proposes is to second help direct veal or 28 Tanuary? It is DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND INDUSTRY

> 1-19 VICTORIA STREET LONDON SWIH OET

> > SWITCHBOARD 01-215 7877

01-215 5422

JU776

Secretary of State for Trade and Industry

January 1985

TELEPHONE DIRECT LINE

RESTRICTED

Lord Hailsham of St Marylebone PC CH FRS DL Lord Chancellor House of Lords SW1A OPW

My Dea Quinhin

WHITE PAPER ON FINANCIAL SERVICES

Thank you for your helpful letter of 9 January. I have indeed given much thought to the constitutional implications of my proposals. I acknowledged in my minute to the Prime Minister that the rule making powers which I will delegate to the Boards could attract Parliamentary criticism.

at X of

Like you, I have been much concerned to avoid such criticism and following the same line of thought as you I considered the suggestions you outline. But I have concluded that to have LC, must insisted on either of them at this stage would have had two results. First the City would not have delivered selfregulation. We have repeatedly pushed the City hard on a number of issues, to the point where the Governor has expressed concern over whether he can deliver a self-regulatory system. I do not think I could press him further and I believe that either of the approaches suggested would have proved unacceptable. We would thus be left in regulatory terms either with a statutory commission or full-scale Departmental regulation. Second, we would have failed in our more general objective of distancing the Government from detailed regulation of industry and commerce. Apart from the political problems arising from such regulation we would risk slowing the speed of response of the regulatory system and making abuse and scandal the more likely. Whilst I agree that there will be those who will say we are being "soft" in the City and hard on the unions I think one could cheerfully offer a similar system for the regulation of trades unions to that which I propose for the City and have a good deal of fun in doing so! I believe the regulatory bodies will be accountable to Parliament since their powers are granted to them only whilst they command the confidence of the Secretary of State who is himself open to Parliamentary sanction.



I do not delude myself by thinking that we shall escape criticism. But we have thought our way to our conclusions balancing the risks and benefits of various approaches and I am ready to argue that the approach I propose to Parliament in the White Paper achieves a balance between the benefits of self-regulation and the proper level of accountability to Parliament to which you refer. I look to our supporters in Parliament to give their backing to these proposals.

I am copying this letter to the Prime Minister, other Cabinet colleagues, the Attorney General, the Chief Whip, the Paymaster General and Sir Robert Armstrong.

NORMAN TEBBIT



GBELNAL HA