Prime Minister BUDGET IMBALANCES I have just returned from lunch with M Attali. He asked what we thought about the proposals circulated by M Dumas. I said, with some firmness, that we did not think that they were a basis on which this problem could be resolved. We should start from the system put forward by the Presidency at Brussels. There should not be more than one ad hoc year: at this point I reminded him that 1983 had already been an ad hoc year, and his reply was to the effect that the President thought that the system should start with the enlargement of the Community in 1986. I said that I thought that you would wish to propose a threshold with a percentage beyond that. He asked what we would think of a straight percentage; I said that I could not exclude the possibility of a straight percentage, provided the figure was right. M Attali said that the percentage figure implied by the Dumas proposal was 55%. He understood that we were at 70%. I said that Sir Geoffrey Howe had made it clear to M Dumas that we were looking for a figure of something over 70% on the more limited gap. M Attali said that the President's upper limit was two-thirds (662/3%). 4. I asked how the President proposed to take this matter. M Attali said that he thought that the President would open the discussion by saying that there appeared to be five proposals on the table: i) Chancellor Kohl's proposal; ii) M Thorn's proposal; iii) A British proposal for the Presidency system starting from a 1983 figure of 1125 mecu; /iv)