

SUBJECT

Argentina  
Review

CONFIDENTIAL



File A4

ccs Mr Coles  
Mr Rockett

10 DOWNING STREET

*From the Principal Private Secretary*

SIR ROBERT ARMSTRONG

THE FRANKS REPORT

At your discussion with the Prime Minister this morning, she discussed with you your minute of 12 January (A083/0102). You told her that the text of the report had now been agreed for publication, and that the further amendment of paragraph 233 mentioned in your minute was not being made.

The Prime Minister discussed with you the draft statement attached to your minute, and you agreed to provide a revised version incorporating the Prime Minister's amendments before the weekend.

The Prime Minister agreed with the outline of the speech attached to your minute, and it was agreed that you would provide the material listed there by the middle of next week, and a draft of the speech would then be developed in this office. It would be a great help to us if we could have that material by close of play on Tuesday 18 January.

The Prime Minister agreed the draft telegrams to Washington attached to your minute, and authorised their despatch today.

On other arrangements, it was agreed that the report would be made available in the Vote Office at the end of Proceedings on the Prime Minister's statement on Tuesday 18 January. To ensure that the report is made available to the House of Commons before it is generally published, the time of general publication should be 4.30 pm on Tuesday 18 January.

Copies of the report will be supplied by this office to the Leader of the Opposition, Sir Harold Wilson, Mr Callaghan, Mr Heath, Mr Atkins, Mr Ridley and Mr Luce at midday on Monday 17 January. I suggest that we should also supply copies of the final version of the report to Lord Carrington and Sir John Nott at the same time. Copies will be provided to the Leaders of the Liberal, Social Democrat, Ulster Unionist and Scottish Nationalist Parties at midday on Tuesday 18 January. Please could your office provide me on Monday morning with the requisite number of copies of the report for

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

- 2 -

that purpose, together with six copies for the use of this office.

You told the Prime Minister that you would be providing by this evening a note on the various comments in the Franks Report about the Government's handling of the matters covered by the report. The Prime Minister authorised you to make this note available to Lord Carrington and Sir John Nott on Monday.

F.R.B.

14 January 1983

CONFIDENTIAL

Ref: A083/0133



CONFIDENTIAL

~~MR. BUTLER~~

Franks Report

I attach a second draft of the Prime Minister's statement on the Franks Report, incorporating the amendments we discussed with the Prime Minister this morning.

2. I am sending copies of this minute and the revised version to the Secretaries of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and for Defence.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, consisting of the letters 'R' and 'A' in a stylized, cursive font.

Robert Armstrong

14th January 1983

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL



CABINET OFFICE

70 Whitehall, London SW1A 2AS Telephone 01-233 8319

From the Secretary of the Cabinet: Sir Robert Armstrong KCB, CVO

Ref: A083/0134

14th January 1983

The Prime Minister has approved the outline of her speech opening the debate on the Franks Report, as attached to my letter of 10th January to you and my minute of 12th January to Mr. Scholar, as a basis for the preparation of material.

I am sorry to set tight deadlines but I should be grateful if the material could be with my office by close of play on Tuesday, 18th January.

I attach a copy of a revised version of the draft statement to be made on the publication of the Report.

I am sending copies of this letter to Clive Whitmore, Tony Duff, 'C', Brian Tovey, Robin Butler and Tony Rawsthorne.

**ROBERT ARMSTRONG**

Sir Antony Acland, KCMG, KCVO

CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Coler A.S.C. 12/1  
Mr. Rickett

CONFIDENTIAL

Falkland Islands Review Committee

Statement by the Prime Minister

With permission, Mr. Speaker, I will make a statement about the report of the Falkland Islands Review Committee.

2. The House will remember that I announced the setting up of the review committee in July 1982, after consultation with the rt hon. Gentleman the Leader of the Opposition and leading Privy Counsellors in other parties. At that time I expressed the hope that the Committee would be able to complete its work within six months.

3. The Committee has justified that hope. I received its report on 31st December 1982, and I am presenting it to Parliament as a Command paper this afternoon. Copies <sup>are</sup> ~~will~~ be available in the Vote Office [at the end of proceedings on this statement].

4. I should like to express the Government's admiration and gratitude to the rt. hon and noble Lord, Lord Franks, and to his rt. hon colleagues for the amount of time and effort which they have devoted to producing such a thorough and comprehensive report in so short a time.

5. The report makes it clear that the Committee was provided with all the papers relevant to its terms of reference, including a comprehensive collection of reports from the intelligence agencies. The Committee's report contains a considerable number of references to intelligence matters which would not in other circumstances be divulged. These references are essential for a full understanding of the matters into which the Committee was asked to inquire, and the Government has agreed that the public interest requires that on this unique occasion the normal rule against public references to the intelligence organisation or to material derived from intelligence reports should be waived. The Government has, however,

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

agreed with the rt. hon and noble Lord, Lord Franks, amendments to certain of the references to intelligence reports with a view to minimising potential damage to British intelligence interests. Lord Franks has authorised me to tell the House that he agrees that:

(1) all the references to intelligence reports included in the Committee's report as submitted have been retained in the report as presented to Parliament, most of them without amendment;

(2) none of the amendments that have been made alters the sense, substance or emphasis of the reference to the intelligence report concerned, or removes anything of significance to the Committee's account of the matters referred to it or to its findings and conclusions;

(3) apart from those agreed amendments, no other deletions or amendments have been made to the Committee's report as submitted.

6. The report is unanimous and is signed by all the members of the Committee without qualification. It falls into four chapters. The first gives an account of the dispute from 1965, when the issue was first brought formally to international attention by a Resolution of the General Assembly of the United Nations, to May 1979. The second covers the period from May 1979 to 19th March 1982. The third deals with the fortnight from 19th March to 2nd April 1982 which included the South Georgia incident and led up to the Argentine invasion of the Falkland Islands. The fourth and final chapter deals with the way in which the Government discharged its responsibilities in the period leading up to the invasion. There are six annexes, the first of which comments on a number of specific assertions made by people who have spoken or written on the matters in question.

CONFIDENTIAL

7. In the fourth chapter of the report the Committee notes a number of points where in its judgment different decisions might have been taken, fuller consideration of alternative courses of action might have been advantageous and the machinery of Government could have been better used. This chapter defines and addresses itself to two crucial questions:

- (1) Could the Government have foreseen the invasion of 2nd April 1982?
- (2) Could the Government have prevented the invasion?

8. The Committee emphasises that its report should be read as a whole. At this stage, therefore, I will do no more than quote the words in which the Committee sums up its conclusions on these two crucial questions.

9. On the first question, whether the Government could have foreseen the invasion of 2nd April, the Committee's conclusion is as follows:

"266. In the light of this evidence, we are satisfied that the Government did not have warning of the decision to invade. The evidence of the timing of the decision taken by the Junta shows that the Government not only did not, but could not, have had earlier warning. The invasion of the Falkland Islands on 2nd April could not have been foreseen."

I have quoted the whole of paragraph 266.

10. On the second question, whether the Government could have prevented the invasion, the Committee's conclusion is as follows:

"339. Against this background we have pointed out in this Chapter where different decisions might have been taken, where fuller consideration of alternative courses of action might, in our opinion, have been advantageous, and where the machinery of Government could have been better used. But, if the British Government had acted differently in the ways we have

CONFIDENTIAL

indicated, it is impossible to judge what the impact on the Argentine Government or the implications for the course of events might have been. There is no reasonable basis for any suggestion - which would be purely hypothetical - that the invasion would have been prevented if the Government had acted in the ways indicated in our report. Taking account of these considerations, and of all the evidence we have received, we conclude that we would not be justified in attaching any criticism or blame to the present Government for the Argentine Junta's decision to commit its act of unprovoked aggression in the invasion of the Falkland Islands on 2nd April 1982."

I have quoted in toto the final paragraph of the report.

11. Mr. Speaker, rt. hon and hon Members of the House will wish to read the report in full before it is debated. Time will of course be found for an early debate, and that matter will be discussed through the usual channels. That debate will provide us with an opportunity to deal more fully than is possible in this statement with the issues covered by the Committee's report.



4 JAN 1964

12345  
6789