SIR ROBERT ARMSTRONG

1984 Economic Summit

The Prime Minister has seen and noted Sir Robert Armstrong's minute of 20 September, Ref. A083/2662.

WILLIAM RICKETT

23 September 1983

NP

Ref. A083/2662

PRIME MINISTER

Prime minister Wil

1984 Economic Summit

I saw Mr Allen Wallis, President Reagan's Personal Representative, on 19 September.

- On the date of the London Summit, I said that the French President had queried whether it was appropriate to hold the Summit so shortly before the European election, and had suggested having it on 25 and 26 June. Mr Wallis said that he would have to consult the White House about that, but he did not expect the President would find it easy to accept the later dates.
- On style and format, I spoke as indicated in paragraph 3 of my minute of 14 September. It was clear from Mr Wallis's reply that American thinking coincided with ours on these matters. When our meeting finished, Mr Wallis handed me a copy of his brief (copy attached).
- Two interesting points emerge from that brief:
 - the Americans want to give more emphasis and impetus to trade liberalisation;
 - seeing the London Summit as logical successor to the last three Summits, at all of which the President and you have stressed the need for major structural changes to achieve and maintain non-inflationary growth, they will be looking very much to you for leadership at the London Summit, given that the President will be inhibited by domestic electoral considerations.
- Mr Wallis suggested that it would be useful to bring forward the first meeting of Personal Representatives to early November (rather than December as I had in mind) because a number of Personal Representatives will in any case be coming to Paris for OECD meetings at that time. I shall be pursuing that as a matter of urgency, and will report further.

6. I am sending copies of this minute to the Foreign and Commonwealth Secretary and the Chancellor of the Exchequer; in view of the emphasis placed by the Americans upon trade liberalisation, I am also sending copies of this and of my earlier minute to the Secretary of State for Trade and Industry.

ROBERT ARMSTRONG

20 September 1983

CONFIDENTIAL

Talking Points

I. General

- -- US Government engaged in intensive work following up on agreements reached at Williamsburg. (Quadrilateral trade meetings in July and this month; G-5 meeting in Washington on convergence; Trade/Finance Ministers dinner September 28; future discussions in G-10 on monetary system, etc.)
- -- Expect that much of this work during coming year will find its way into the London Summit discussions next June.
- -- We are trying to mesh our work in international economic policy areas I've just noted with our preparations for London Summit.
- -- Before we get too far along, would like to exchange views with you on your preliminary thinking about London, and in particular to see where each of us is at this stage on both format and substance.
- -- On format, President said in his letter to Prime Minister last June that he hoped we could continue to make these meetings informal.
- -- We believe the Williamsburg format worked well and nope it can be carried over for London: i.e., time for meeting of Heads only; a more or less open agenda stressing linkage among the issues; no pre-negotiated communique.
- -- We also think that preparatory process which ultimately evolved last year of developing themes for discussions worked reasonably well, and would like your views on how you intend to proceed this time.
- -- More important, of course, is the <u>substance</u>. We think summits can be useful occasions for heads to review what governments have done over the past year and trace the broad outlines of the policies they wish to pursue during the coming year.
- -- The President believes that we should concentrate on following up the Williamsburg Declaration, both in actions which were agreed for early implementation, such as dismantling of trade barriers as recovery proceeds, and in the medium-term strategy as outlined in the Declaration, concerning mainly the linkage between debt management and further trade liberalization, particularly concerning trade with LDCs.

- -- If we look back to the three economic summits which the President and Prime Minister have attended together, there
- At Ottawa, our common themes were to lay out the basic elements of a strategy to halt inflation and promote sustainable growth through the removal of constraints on the resourcefulness
 - We (US and UK) agreed on the need to reduce the rate of growth in government spending, change the tax codes to promote saving and investment, and get stable and moderate
 - Ottawa also highlighted the problems of the developing countries created by the oil price explosion and financing imbalances during the 1970s; and outlined themes later developed more fully at Cancun.
 - Finally, Ottawa addressed, for the first time, the security implications of East-West economic relations, a theme that has recurred at each subsequent Summit.
- -- At Versailles (as unpleasant as the aftertaste of that meeting may have been), we created a mechanism to consult on achieving convergence of results in our separate approaches to the non-inflationary growth we had targetted at Ottawa. We also agreed, in the study on intervention commissioned at Versailles, on the linkage betwewen the achievement of such convergence and stability of exchange rates.
 - We also highlighted the role which new technologies will play in structural change and growth, employment and trade in the future.
 - The East-West discussion underlined the need for a more concerted effort to develop a greater consensus than had been achieved up to then.
- -- At Williamsburg, we were able to express our confidence that our policies, outlined at Ottawa, were working and recovery was underway, though much needed to be done.
 - We agreed on measures to enhance the convergence consultative process, begun at Versailles, and on the general conclusions about exchange market intervention.

CONFIDENTIAL -5-

-- Thatcher in a position to exert leadership on this to an extent beyond that which inevitably puts inhibitions on an American President in an election year. However, the President will give her every possible support in such an effort.