

N.A.T.O 9

COMMENTS BY MR NEIL KINNOCK ON HIS VISIT TO NATO  
ON 6TH MARCH 1985

He described America as "a cherished ally - a permanent ally, I hope," (Daily Telegraph 7th March 1985). According to The Times, Mr Kinnock did not think there would ever be an alliance with the Soviet Union.

Mr Kinnock said that a Labour Government would meet any defence obligations that arose "for for our defence and for NATO obligations", but promised that cruise missiles would "go back". (Daily Telegraph 7th March 1985)

He warned against any retaliation by the United States for a future British Government did enforce such a withdrawal. It would be "fool-hardy to jeopardise the alliance" simply because one member took an action of which others disapproved. (Financial Times 7th March 1985)

*Purchase for the Soviets' - Alliance - honour all the obligations*

*Our nuclear deterrent is return for 37.745 Soviet*

### Labour opposition to SDI spelt out by Kinnock

BY QUENTIN PEEL IN BRUSSELS

FT  
7/3/85

MR NEIL KINNOCK, leader of the British Labour Party, yesterday spelt out his strong opposition to the U.S. Star Wars initiative, and repeated his determination to remove cruise missiles from Britain if his party wins the next election. He put over this firm line in talks with Lord Carrington, Nato's secretary-general, and other senior officials at the alliance headquarters in Brussels. Mr Kinnock described the U.S. move to start research into the so-called Strategic Defence Initiative as "itself an embarkation on the arms race." "The U.S. is starting on a road whose end is not given sufficient attention," he declared. "No one has given any indication as to when research turns to production

and production turns to deployment. There is a quantum leap from research to deployment." He criticised Mrs Margaret Thatcher, the British Prime Minister, for "being prepared simply to follow in the wake of the U.S." on the question of the research into nuclear weapons in space. The SDI had been the main topic of his discussions, he said. Labour Party policy to remove cruise missiles from Britain and close U.S. nuclear bases had not been criticised specifically. He warned against any retaliation by the U.S. if a future British government did enforce such a withdrawal. It would be "foolhardy to jeopardise the alliance" simply because one member took an action of which others disapproved.