UNCLASSIFIED FM WASHINGTON TO DESKBY 100830Z F C 0 TELNO 2819 OF 092130Z OCTOBER 85

DISTRIBUTION SELECTORS

No 10 DP

INFO IMMEDIATE UKDEL NATO, MOSCOW, BONN, PARIS, MODUK (FOR DACU) MIPT: INTERPRETATION OF THE ARM TREATY

FOLLOWING IS EXTRACT FROM MCFARLANE'S INTERVIEW ON MEET THE PRESS (NRC) ON 6 OCTOBER.

QUOTE .

MCFARLANE: I THINK THAT THE PRESIDENT IS GUIDED BY THE ABM TREATY, AND THE TERMS OF THAT TREATY ARE VERY EXPLICIT IN ARTICLES II, III, IV AND V, PLUS AGREED STATEMENT D. THEY MAKE CLEAR THAT ON RESEARCH INVOLVING NEW PHYSICAL CONCEPTS, THAT THAT ACTIVITY, AS WELL AS TESTING, AS WELL AS DEVELOPMENT INDEED, ARE APPROVED AND AUTHORISED BY THE TREATY. ONLY DEPLOYMENT IS FORECLOSED, EXCEPT IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLES XIII AND XIV. SO OUR PROGRAM IS COMPATIBLE WITH THE TREATY, AND WILL REMAIN SO. INTERVIEWER: DOESN'T THE TREATY ALSO TALK ABOUT DEVELOPMENT? MCFARLANE: THE TREATY DOES INDEED SANCTION RESEARCH, TESTING AND DEVELOPMENT OF THESE NEW SYSTEMS.

INTERVIEWER: ONLY BY MUTUAL AGREEMENT, THOUGH. IT SAYS THAT THERE SHOULD BE NEGOTIATED INTRODUCTION OF NEW EXOTIC TECHNOLOGIES.

MCFARLANE: THEIR DEPLOYMENT SHOULD BE NEGOTIATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLES XIII AND XIV.

INTERVIEWER: BUT THE TREATY, IT SEEMS TO ME, WOULD ALSO BE CLEARLY READ TO SAY ANY TESTING OF A SPACED BASED ANTI-MISSILE SYSTEM IS CLEARLY FORBIDDEN. . IT SAYS THAT VERY EXPLICITLY, DOESN'T IT? ARTICLE IV?

MCFARLANE: THE AGREED STATEMENT D, WHICH IS COMPATIBLE WITH THE OTHER TERMS OF THE TREATY, PROVIDES THAT RESEARCH ON NEW PHYSICAL PRINCIPLES OR OTHER PHYSICAL PRINCIPLES IS AUTHORIZED AS IS TESTING AND DEVELOPMENT.

INTERVIEWER: I THOUGHT IT SAID IT SHOULD BE THE SUBJECT OF MUTUAL CONSULTATION.

MCFARLANE: WELL, NOW WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO, IN 11, 111 AND IV, ARE COMPONENTS THAT ARE DEFINED IN THE TREATY AS MISSILES, LAUNCHERS OR RADARS. NOW, WE'RE NOT TALKING HERE ABOUT MISSILES, LAUNCHERS OR RADARS.

FOLLOWING IS EXTRACT FROM MCFARLANE'S UNATTRIBUTABLE PRESS UNQUOTE. BRIEFING ON 8 OCTOBER.

QUESTION: ON SUNDAY, ON THE TELEVISION PROGRAM IN CONNECTION WITH THE SOVIET OFFER AND THE STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE, YOU STATED THAT "IT WAS YOUR VIEW THAT THE TESTING AND DEVELOPMENT OF ABM SYSTEMS WHICH ARE IN THE CATEGORY OF QUOTE OTHER PHYSICAL PRINCIPLES UNQUOTE IS PERMITTED BY THE ABM TREATY. IS THAT THE POSITION OF THE ADMINISTRATION NOW? IS THAT A FIXED POSITION? QUESTION: MCFARLANE: YES.

QUESTION: AND IF IT IS, HOW DO YOU JUSTIFY OR EXPLAIN HOW THAT POSITION WAS REACHED, WHEN THE PERSON WHO NEGOTIATED THE TREATY, AMBASSADOR SMITH, SAID THAT WAS NOT THE UNDERSTANDING WHEN IT WAS DONE, AND WHEN THE ADMINISTRATION ITSELF, IN ITS ARMS CONTROL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR SEVERAL YEARS - THE LAST ONE LAST YEAR -SEEMS TO SAY THE OPPOSITE? MCFARLANE: I THINK, FIRST OF ALL, THE SOVIET UNION HAS NEVER ACCEPTED SUCH AN INTERPRETATION THAT FORECLOSED WHAT I HAVE SAID - RESEARCH, TESTING, DEVELOPMENT OF SYSTEMS BASED UPON OTHER PHYSICAL PRINCIPLES. THEY HAVE NEVER TOLERATED THAT. NOW IT IS TRUE THAT THERE HAVE BEEN UNILATERAL STATEMENTS PERIODICALLY THAT HAVE STATED UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES AT THE TIME THAT WE SHOULD SEEK TO LIMIT THOSE SYSTEMS, AND THAT THE ABM TREATY OUGHT TO ENCOMPASS THAT. NEVER HAVE THE SOVIETS POUGHT THAT, AND THE CONDITIONS TODAY ARE SUBSTANTIALLY DIFFERENT FROM CONDITIONS AT THE TIME THAT SPECULATION WAS OFFERED, DIFFERENT IN THE SENSE OF THESE MANY, MANY SOVIET PROGRAMS THAT HAVE, IN SOME CASES, MATURED RATHER DRAMATICALLY. UNQUOTE.

WRIGHT

LIMITED .

ACDA NAD NED DEF.D WED. PUSO PLANNING STAFF WED. EED ESSA. 50V. b. PS/LADY YOUNG PS/MREGGAR. PSIME RIFKING. PS/ PUS MR. DEREK THOMAS. MR GOODALL MR DAUNT.