CABINET OFFICE 70 Whitehall London SWIA 2AS Telephone 01-233 7089 W0950 T Burgner Esq 15 April 1986 H M TREASURY NBPn. Dear Tom, OFFICIAL COMMITTEE ON RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT con regrest of lequired Thank you for your letter of 8 April. Both you and Brian Gilmore made the point strongly at the first meeting of E(RD)(0) that individual proposals could not a Huckey be considered simply in isolation. My reading of the minutes (page 1, sub-paragraph a.) is that implicit note is taken of this. I am sure that all present at the meeting understood what you were saying and accepted it to a large extent. I have to say, however, that E(RD), and hence E(RD)(0), have been given a clear set of initial tasks by E(A). I don't think, however, that there is a real difference in our understanding of the situation. E(RD)(0) clearly must keep an overall view of the various initiatives on which it is advising Ministers; nevertheless, it must not be delayed by an inability to see the whole picture at any particular time. I am copying this letter to members of E(RD)(0). SIR ROBIN NICHOLSON