CONTRIBUTION FROM CHRIS PATTEN FOR PRIME MINISTER'S PARTY CONFERENCE

ROM IMPOSSIBILITY TO VICTORY

It is twelve years since I first stood on this platform as Leader of the Conservative Party.

We were all a lot younger then. Some of us still feel quite young today. And I am told that the second twelve years is much easier.

were still recovering from out forth Election defeat out of the five we suffered in the '60s and '70s. People said that we couldn't govern the country again. Or, at least, that we

Remember - we had all been 2 -

Conservative, and sound like a Conservative, and win an Election.

And you certainly couldn't win an Election and then act like
a Conservative and win another Election. And, what was absolutely
beyond argument, was that you couldn't win two Elections and
go on behaving like a Conservative, and win yet a third Election.

Don't you just harbour the faintest suspicion that somewhere
along the line something went wrong with that theory.

I've got a straightforward idea of my own. If you stop talking and acting like a Conservative, that's the moment you'll get beaten. And that's the time you will deserve to get beaten.

(3)

PM, SS, AH, Copied to:

JO'S

PRIVATE AND, CONFIDENTIAL

24663

The Labour Party, the Liberals, the SDP and the doubters Confindin have been saying since 1979 that Thateherism wouldn't work.

Now we learn that all our political opponents are feverishly to belo bles because they adjusting their policies because Thatcherism works so well. to look liter our

Once we were told that every new piece of nationalisation could never be reversed. The centre of political gravity was shifting inexocably leftwards towards more state Socialism.

is music in our ears to discover that no Party now dares to say that it will take away from the people what we have given back to the people.

Some things of course are impervious to change. The Labour Party - despite the best efforts of advertising agents and remains...the Labour wishful thinkers, of Brahms and Goald -Mr. President Unredeemed and unelected. The language may alter. Party. We hear no more of nationalisation. Nowadays it's called social 'ownership. There's talk of a great policy re-think. But it's still Socialism that's on offer. I read somewhere that Labour want to try to appeal to the yuppies. No one should be taken in.

After all...

After all what's on offer are the klaspies - Kinnock's Labour Party is extremely Socialist.

Maybe we've had more effect on what used to be called the Alliance. Today, that so-called alliance is split down the middle between those who understand that times have changed and those who want to bring back the old times. To bring back the '60s. Bring back inflation. Bring back incomes policies; Bring back mational plans;

I used to wonder sometimes during the Election Campaign what the alliance leaders meant by consensus politics. I suppose that's what we've seen in action since the 11th June. If that's consensus, they can keep it. Poor Dr Owen is just the latest in the line to finish up with six inches of fraternal Steel between the shoulder blades.

I hope that those former Social Democrats who are seriously interested in politics, seriously interested in shaping the next decade seriously committed to making our market economy competitive and our social policies successful, will join us in our work. They face a choice between a thoughtful commitment to improving Britain's Government and our quality of life or a frivilous involvement in Mission Impossible - trying to make the modern Liberal Party credible. Once, it was Gladstone, Asquith and Lloyd George. Today it's Steel, Smith and Alton - not quite the most suitable heirs to a great political tradition.

So, with open arms, we will welcome to the Conservative Party the real Liberals who believe in liberty and the serious Social Democrats who believe in an enterprise economy and a strongly

/defended Britain;

and

defended Britain. My message to them is this - Work with us. Share our dreams. Help us build Britain's future.

When I am asked what we have achieved so far, it seems to me

neither very difficult to understand nor very sophisticated to explain. What we have tried to do is to re-establish at the centre of public debate and public policy a handful of · powhes simple truths.

Sound friend is wed.

inst we said that no economy can thrive where the currency is debased. We said that no society can be fair or stable where inflation eats up savings, and redistributes the pounds in everyone's pocket.

A few years ago, it was a common place of political discussion that we would have to accommodate a high rate of inflation as part of the price of living a modern industrial democracy. But we knew that inflation threatens democracy And we put its defeat at the top of our agenda.

That is a battle which never ends. And that is why, year after to to such the spend no more than year, we have to fight what we spend in line with what we earn. decade of the present century, but on the first/decade of the next.

* SEGNI)

Our second insight was that men and women need the incentive that goes with keeping more of what you earn and the security that goes with ownership.

hues do

so as economic growth has taken off, we have put tax cuts ahead of spending increases. And until we have provided incentives at every level of earnings, that will remain our order of priorities.

People earn more than they did under Labour, as an honest teacher or nurse would admit. And they pay less tax. But we haven't reached the end of the road of tax cuts and tax reform. Not

by a long way.

Ju pay Home ownership has someth and show ownership with

We had to fight every inch of the way the legislative battle to give council house tenants that right. Against Labour opposition. Against Liberal opposition. Does Mr Kinnock now applaud what has happened? Is he pleased that so many families that the battle have the same right of ownership as he. Does Mr Steel welcome

- 7 -

it? Now that it has happened, now that it has proved so popular is it the sort of liberal liberating measure of which the leader of the Liberal Party approves?

Political slogans don't always coincide with political actions.

For years we've talked about wanting to create a property-owning democracy. Looking back, I wonder whether we did as much in those days as we should have done to achieve that goal. I don't believe anyone will be able, in the years ahead, to make a similar charge against us.

Il Pruttiché. continues apare. And

what an amazing example we have given the world. Privatisation features in countries as far apart as Togo and the reople's Republic of China. In the nited States and in France, In ranzania and in Senegal, governments are following our example. It is the spring-tide of the people's capitalism which is sweeping away the remnants of marxists socialism.

(7)

The third main element in our down to earth philosophy has been the passionate belief that the market and competition are the Jouth

best engine of prosperity and the best guardian of liberty.

There's nothing very original in that. It's an idea eloquently developed by economists and philosophers over the years; an idea which has, when put into practice, shaped the political institutions of free societies and brought unimagined wealth to countries and continents.

/But it's a...

But it's a profound truth which, perhaps because of its very clarity, we forget from time to time, forget at our peril.

enthusiasm and commitment, we have rediscovered this old truth, in Britain and abroad too. We can even hear faint and distant Private Land Control of the Co

Soviet Union!

Townsole at what say have achieved

Price stability. Tax cuts. Ownership. Enterprise. Look at

the results we've achieved already.

There is a new spirit of individual intialine

by industrial plans. There are more jobs because our industry

is more successful. Winning markets is the way to creating

and creative role in the world at large, arguing for the same approach to the world's economic problems that has helped us tackle our own. International bankers, the Finance Ministers of other nations, all listen to you a lot harder when they owe you money rather than the other way around. We are now the second biggest investor in the world, the model of a stable

letter bent bes tree todastite have to

STETANA

Jorni,

(menphan)

economy. That/is one reason why we have been able to play a leading role in helping to tackle the global debt crisis. In the years ahead, we shall be in the vanguard of the fight to role back protectionism and to secure free trade. We shall be fighting for the steady reduction of world food subsidies and for the reform of the food and budgetary policies of the European Community. A strong Britain counts again in the world.

sometimes wish our broadcasters, who have to keep in the forefront of technology, also kept up to date with their ideas, and especially their ideas about Britain. They should recognise, as the world outside is doing, that Britain is a changed nation these days.

The old Britain of the 1970s, with its endless strikes, poor productivty, low investment, winters of discontent, above all its gloom, its pessimism, its sheer defeatism—that Britain is gone for ever.

(4

We now have a new Britain, booming, confident, optimisatic, rejoicing in its economic strength—a Britain to which foreigners come to admire, to imitate, to invest—a Britain whose people own their own homes, are acquiring a stake in their economy, are exercising the expanded freedom of choice now open to them. We now have a Britain to be proud of again.

I have reminded you where the political adventure began. And

I have pointed out where the path has led. But is this where

we pitch our tents? Is this where we dig in? was our last

Election victory, a staging post on a longer journey?

What I know with every fibre of my being is that it would be fatal for us to stand where we are. What is to be our slogan for the 1990s? Are we really going to excite Britain's imagination and win Britain's backing with the promise that we have what we hold. Is 'consolidate' to be the word that we stitch on our banners? Whose blood runs faster in their veins at the prospect of five years of consolidation?

We hold on to that. And we move on - applying the same principles - on to more challenging terrain.

EMO

Let me explain exactly, what I mean.

In the 1980s I believe that we have won the argument over economic policy. But we still find ourselves locked in debate about social issues. About education. About welfare. About health. About the balance that a free society has to strike between encouraging the successful and protecting those who are in need.