INTRODUCTION

MR. PRESIDENT, A LOT HAS HAPPENED SINCE WE LAST MET.

THERE WAS, FOR INSTANCE, OUR GENERAL ELECTION VICTORY
IN JUNE.

THEY TELL ME THAT MAKES IT THREE WINS IN A ROW.

LIKE LORD LIVERPOOL.

HE WAS PRIME MINISTER FOR FIFTEEN YEARS,

IT'S RATHER ENCOURAGING.

IT WAS AN HISTORIC VICTORY,

AND I WANT TO THANK ALL THOSE WHO PLAYED THEIR PART (IN THAT VICTORY.)

AND, ABOVE ALL, OUR CHAIRMAN, NORMAN TEBBIT.

NORMAN AND MARGARET HOLD, AND WILL ALWAYS HOLD,

A UNIQUE PLACE IN OUR ESTEEM AND AFFECTION.

ON THE 11TH JUNE, WE EVEN WON SOME ... SEATS WE FAILED TO GAIN IN 1983.

AND WE WON BACK THREE WE LOST AT BY-ELECTIONS.

Rod Nr.

AND WHY DID WE WIN?

FOR A LOT OF REASONS.

BUT MOST OF ALL BECAUSE WE KNEW WHAT WE STOOD FOR.

WE SAID WHAT WE STOOD FOR.

AND WE STUCK BY WHAT WE STOOD FOR.

SINCE THE ELECTION, IT SEEMS WE ARE THE ONLY PARTY THAT DOES.

BUT BEWARE! THE LEUPARD DOESN'T CHANGE ITS

FROM IMPOSSIBILITY TO VICTORY

MR. PRESIDENT, TWELVE YEARS AGO, I FIRST STOOD ON

THIS PLATFORM AS LEADER OF THE CONSERVATIVE

PARTY.

ONE OR TWO THINGS HAVE CHANGED SINCE 1975,

IN THAT YEAR WE WERE STILL LABOURING UNDER

LABOUR'S SO-CALLED "SOCIAL CONTRACT",

AND PEOPLE SAID WE COULDN'T GOVERN THE

COUNTRY AGAIN,

REMEMBER HOW WE HAD ALL BEEN LECTURED ABOUT POLITICAL IMPOSSIBILITY?

YOU COULDN'T BE A CONSERVATIVE, AND SOUND LIKE

A CONSERVATIVE, AND WIN AN ELECTION. — Usey Said

AND YOU CERTAINLY COULDN'T WIN AN ELECTION

AND THEN ACT LIKE A CONSERVATIVE AND WIN

ANOTHER ELECTION.

AND - THIS WAS ABSOLUTELY BEYOND ARGUMENT YOU COULDN'T WIN TWO ELECTIONS AND GO ON
BEHAVING LIKE A CONSERVATIVE, AND WIN YET A
THIRD ELECTION.

DON'T YOU JUST HARBOUR THE FAINTEST SUSPICION
THAT SOMEWHERE ALONG THE LINE SOMETHING WENT
WRONG WITH THAT THEORY.

RIGHT UP TO 11TH JUNE, THE LABOUR PARTY, THE LIBERALS, THE SUP AND THE DOUBTERS WERE BUSY SAYING THAT CONSERVATISM DOESN'T WORK.

ODDLY ENOUGH, SINCE 12TH JUNE, THEY'VE BEEN SAYING THAT IT DOES.

AND SO OUR POLITICAL OPPONENTS ARE NOW FEVERISHLY PACKAGING THEIR POLICIES TO LOOK LIKE OURS.

DARES TO SAY OPENLY THAT IT WILL TAKE AWAY FROM

THE PEOPLE WHAT WE HAVE GIVEN BACK TO THE PEOPLE.

MR. PRESIDENT, LABOUR'S LANGUAGE MAY ALTER, THEIR

PRESENTATION MAY BE SLICKER, BUT IT'S STILL

SOCIALISM THAT'S ON OFFER.

FAR BE IT FROM ME TO DERIDE THE SINNERS THAT REPENTETH.

THE TROUBLE WITH LABOUR IS IT WANTS THE BENEFIT

OF REPENTENCE WITHOUT RENOUNCING THE ORIGINAL SIN.

NO-ONE SHOULD BE TAKEN IN.

AND THE SO-CALLED "ALLIANCE"?

I USED TO WONDER DURING THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN WHAT THE

ALLIANCE LEADERS MEANT BY CONSENSUS POLITICS.

I HAVE A FEEL DR. OWEN KNOWS NOW: WITH SIX INCHES

OF FRATERNAL STEEL BETWEEN THE SHOULDER BLADES.

MR. PRESIDENT, WE ARE A SUCCESSFUL PARTY LEADING A SUCCESSFUL NATION.

WHEN I AM ASKED WHAT IS THE SECRET, IT SEEMS TO BE

NEITHER VERY DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND NOR VERY

SOPHISTICATED TO EXPLAIN.

WHAT WE HAVE DONE IS TO RE-ESTABLISH AT THE HEART OF POLITICS A HANDFUL OF SIMPLE TRUTHS.

Frisk

NO ECONOMY CAN THRIVE IF THE GOVERNMENT DEBASES THE COINAGE.

NO SOCIETY CAN BE FAIR OR STABLE WHEN INFLATION EATS UP SAVINGS, AND DEVALUES THE POUND IN EVERYONE'S POCKET.

haralways

INFLATION THREATENS DEMOCRACY ITSELF, AND WE THE ITS

DEFEAT AT THE TOP OF OUR AGENDA.

THAT IS A BATTLE WHICH NEVER ENDS.

IT MEANS KEEPING YOUR BUDGET ON A SOUND

FINANCIAL FOOTING.

NOT JUST ONE YEAR, BUT EVERY YEAR.

THAT'S WHY WE NEED NIGEL LAWSON.

Second MEN AND WOMEN NEED THE INCENTIVE THAT COMES FROM

THEY
KEEPING MORE OF WHAT YOU EARN.

PEOPLE

NO-ONE CAN SAY THAT MEN AND WOMEN AREN'T INTERESTED

IN THEIR TAKE-HOME PAY.

WOULD BE OUT OF A JOB.

IF THAT WERE TRUE, A LOT OF TRADE UNION LEADERS

50 AS ECONOMIC GROWTH HAS TAKEN OFF, WE HAVE CUT INCOME

> TAX. The ani) THERE'S MORE TO DO.) as soon as we WE KNOW THAT ONE OF THE GREATEST DRIVING FORCES IN LIFE IS THE WILL TO WORK FOR YOUR FAMILY, AND THAT'S HONOUROPLE and 600)

AND AS PEOPLE EARN MORE, THEY WANT TO OWN MORE.

THEY LIKE THE SECURITY WHICH COMES FROM

OWNERSHIP, whether I haves or Momes. There are now more should dess in they comby then there are Tredo cemoindo HOME OWNERSHIP HAS SOARED. X - rullon

larcell now our the roof over ties head.

AND IN SPITE OF WHAT THEY'RE NOW SAYING, WE HAD

TO FIGHT THE BATTLE IN PARLIAMENT EVERY INCH OF THE

WAY.

AGAINST LABOUR OPPOSITION. AGAINST LIBERAL OPPOSITION. DOES THE LABOUR LEADER NOW APPLAUD WHAT HAS HAPPENED?

DOES THE LIBERAL LEADER WELCOME IT?

SURENY

NOW THAT IT'S PROVED SO POPULAR, \$8 IT, THE SORT

OF LIBERATING MEASURE OF WHICH THE LEADER OF

Can Land

THE LIBERAL PARTY APPROVES?

PRIVATISATION WILL BE TAKEN FURTHER AND WITH IT

INCREASING SHARE OWNERSHIP.

WHAT AN AMAZING EXAMPLE WE HAVE GIVEN THE WORLD.

POPULAR CAPITALISM IS SWEEPING AWAY THE

REMNANTS OF STATE SOCIALISM.

FOR YEARS WE CONSERVATIVES HAD TALKED ABOUT WANTING TO

CREATE A PROPERTY-OWNING DEMOCRACY.

LOOKING BACK, I WONDER WHETHER WE DID AS

MUCH IN THOSE DAYS AS WE SHOULD HAVE DONE TO

ACHIEVE THAT GOAL.

I DON'T BELIEVE ANYONE WILL BE ABLE, IN THE
YEARS AHEAD, TO MAKE A SIMILAR CHARGE AGAINST
THIS GOVERNMENT.

Fourt

IT IS OUR PASSIONATE BELIEF THAT FREE ENTERPRISE AND

COMPETITION ARE THE ENGINESOF PROSPERITY AND

THE GUARDIANS OF LIBERTY.

THESE IDEA SHAPED FREE POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS AND BROUGHT

UNIMAGINED WEALTH TO COUNTRIES AND CONTINENTS.

WE EVEN HEAR FAINT AND DISTANT ECHOES OF OUR ARGUMENTS IN

WHAT PRICE SOCIALISM IN BRITAIN, WHEN MR. GORBACHEV

DOESN'T SEEM TOO KEEN ON ITS RESULTS IN THE

SOVIET UNION!

AND LOOK AT THE RESULTS WE HAVE ACHIEVED - LOW INFLATION;

TAX CUTS; WIDER OWNERSHIP; A REVIVAL OF ENTERPRISE.

OVER THE LAST YEAR, UNEMPLOYMENT HAS FALLEN AT RECORD SPEED
- BY OVER 400,000.

OUR ECONOMIC SUCCESS HAS ENABLED BRITAIN TO PLAY A MORE

PROMINENT ROLE IN THE WORLD AT LARGE.

WE ARE NOW THE SECOND BIGGEST INVESTOR IN THE WORLD, THE MODEL OF A STABLE ECONOMY.

THIS IS WHY NIGEL LAWSON HAS BEEN ABLE TO PLAY A LEADING ROLE IN HELPING TO TACKLE THE WORLD DEBT CRISIS.

INTERNATIONAL BANKERS, THE FINANCE MINISTERS

OF OTHER NATIONS, ALL LISTEN TO YOU A LOT HARDER

WHEN THEY OWE YOU MONEY RATHER THAN THE OTHER WAY

AROUND.

ONCE MORE A STRONG BRITAIN COUNTS IN THE WORLD.

THE OLD BRITAIN OF THE 1970S, WITH ITS STRIKES, POOR

PRODUCTIVITY, LOW INVESTMENT, WINTERS OF

DISCONTENT, ABOVE ALL ITS GLOOM, ITS PESSIMISM,

ITS SHEER DEFEATISM - THAT BRITAIN IS GONE.

WE NOW HAVE A NEW BRITAIN, BOOMING, CONFIDENT, OPTIMISTIC,

SCREE OF

REJOICING IN ITS ECONOMIC STRENGTH - A BRITAIN

TO WHICH FOREIGNERS COME:

TO ADMIRE, TO IMITATE, TO INVEST.

AND WHERE IT HAS LED.

BUT IS THIS WHERE WE PITCH OUR TENTS?

IS THIS WHERE WE DIG IN?

OR IS

NO - OUR THIRD ELECTION VICTORY WAS BUT A STAGING

POST ON A MUCH LONGER JOURNEY.

Conshillation.

WE MOVE ON: APPLYING THE SAME PRINCIPLES TO EVEN MORE CHALLENGING GROUND.

So much activity of the solution of the document of the solution of the soluti

ENTERPRISE

FOR ENTERPRISE REACHES FAR WIDER THAN ECONOMICS. .

IT IS AN INDIVIDUAL QUALITY.

BUT SOCIALISTS TREAT THEIR CITIZENS AS PEOPLE WHO NEED TO BE
DIRECTED FROM THE CRADLE TO THE GRAVE.

MR PRESIDENT, WE DID NOT BUILD THE GREATEST EMPIRE THE

WORLD HAS EVER SEEN; OR PIONEER THE AGRICULTURAL

AND INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTIONS; OR REPEATEDLY

DETER TYRANTS WHO AIMED TO DESTROY US; WE

DID NOT ACHIEVE ALL THESE THINGS BECAUSE

SOMEONE TOLD US TO DO SO.

-

IT WAS GREAT INDIVIDUALS - BRAVE EXPLORERS, INSPIRED

INVENTORS, SHREWD BUSINESSMEN, SKILLED

CRAFTSMEN AND CONSCIENTIOUS WORKERS
WHO MADE THIS COUNTRY GREAT.

TODAY WE ENJOY A STANDARD OF PROSPERITY UNDREAMED

OF BY OUR GRANDPARENTS.

BUT LIFE IS ABOUT MORE THAN MATERIAL

PROSPERITY.

Indusal - FreundsLas Litymus - Propers.

Mare in the little his

Onthing

OUR VICTORIAN CAPITALISTS HAVE HAD A BAD PRESS

FROM HISTORIANS WHO NEVER KNEW THE HARSH

REALITIES OF THE WORLD AS A MARKET PLACE.

YET HOW MANY OF THE AMENITIES OF OUR

TOWNS AND CITIES - THE PARKS AND PUBLIC

GARDENS, THE LIBRARIES AND ART GALLERIES,

THE CHURCHES AND SCHOOLS - HAD THEIR

ORIGIN IN THE PRIVATE PHILANTHROPY OF

MEN WHO, HAVING MADE GOOD THEMSELVES,

WANTED TO DO GOOD FOR OTHERS?

IT IS THAT SPIRIT WE ARE RECAPTURING - THE CIVILISED

NOTION OF INDIVIDUAL OBLIGATION TO THE

WIDER COMMUNITY,

Undeed I believe Our nort inportante laste in the Marketine Could Ned work

WE DO NOT BETTEVE THE

SHOULD BE, THE ONLY PROVIDER.

IF WE WANT TO IMPROVE OUR EDUCATION,

OUR HOUSING, OUR CITIES, WE HAVE TO USE

GOVERNMENT TO MOBILISE PRIVATE INITIATIVE.

WE NEED MORE FLEXIBILITY.

NOT LESS,

LESS BUREAUCRACY, NOT MORE.

SOME OF THE ENERGY WHICH HAS REVITALISED .

OUR ECONOMY HAS TO BE CHANNELLED INTO

REGENERATING OUR SOCIAL POLICIES.

IN PARTICULAR, I WANT EDUCATION TO BE PART OF THE

ANSWER TO BRITAIN'S PROBLEMS, NOT ONE OF

THE CAUSES.

TO COMPETE MORE SUCCESSFULLY IN TOMORROW'S WORLD WITH JAPAN AND GERMANY, WITH THE UNITED STATES

AND THE NEWLY EMERGING COUNTRIES OF THE PACIFIC WE SHALL NEED A WELL-EDUCATED, WELL-TRAINED,
CREATIVE, FAST-THINKING WORKFORCE,
FEW THINGS ARE MORE ALARMING FOR THE
FUTURE OF THIS COUNTRY THAN THE INTERNATIONAL
COMPARISONS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE OF MATHEMATICAL
ATTAINMENT AMONG YOUNG PEOPLE IN BRITAIN
AND ABROAD.

IF OUR EDUCATION IS BACKWARD TODAY, OUR NATIONAL PERFORMANCE WILL BE BACKWARD TOMORROW.

YET IT IS THE PLIGHT OF INDIVIDUAL BOYS AND GIRLS WHICH MOST WORRIES ME.

Too often, our children do not get the

EDUCATION THEY DESERVE AND NEED. WE

education by red.

IN THE LAST FEW YEARS, WE HAVE SEEN ANOTHER DISADVANTAGE

HEAPED ON THE SHOULDERS OF THOSE YOUNGSTERS

IN OUR INNER CITIES WHO SHOULD BE HELPED

IN OUR INNER CITIES WHO SHOULD BE HELFED

BY THEIR EDUCATION TO ESCAPE INTO A BETTER

FUTURE.

EXTREMIST EDUCATION AUTHORITIES AND EXTREMIST

TEACHERS HAVE COMPOUNDED THOSE

DISADVANTAGES.

THEY HAVE FAILED TO GIVE THESE YOUNG

PEOPLE THE START IN LIFE THEY NEED.

AND OPERATE A COMPUTER KEYBOARD HAVE

LEARNED MENT-RACIST MATHEMATICS, WHATEVER

THAT MAY BE.

CHILDREN WHO NEEDED TO BE ABLE TO EXPRESS THEMSELVES

ORALLY, AND IN WRITING, IN CLEAR ENGLISH

HAVE BEEN TAUGHT POLITICAL SLOGANS.

CHILDREN WHO NEEDED TO BE TAUGHT TO RESPECT THE Walles OF LIFE HAVE BEEN TAUGHT THEIR

INALIENABLE RIGHT TO BE GAY.

Chido Who peed ane?

Yest chand for

THOSE CHILDREN HAVE BEEN CHEATED OF THEIR JUST / CLAIM TO A SOUND START IN LIFE.

FOR TOO MANY OF OUR YOUNG, WE HAVE TOLERATED THE SECOND

OR THIRD BEST.

OF COURSE, THERE ARE ACROSS THE WHOLE OF THE
COUNTRY PLENTY OF EXCELLENT TEACHERS AND SUCCESSFUL
SCHOOLS.

INDEED, EVERY GOOD SCHOOL, AND EVERY GOOD

TEACHER, IS A REMINDER OF WHAT TOO MANY YOUNG

PEOPLE ARE DENIED.

80 I BELIEVE THAT OUR MOST IMPORTANT TASK IN THIS PARLIAMENT is to Raise the Quality of Education in our on hide

Theten sky

EIRST, BY ESTABLISHING A NATIONAL CORE CURRICULUM.

THIS WILL SET STANDARDS WHICH PUPILS WILL

BE EXPECTED TO ACHIEVE IN ENGLISH, MATHS

AND SCIENCE.

PROGRESS WILL BE TESTED AT EVERY STAGE

SO THAT PARENTS, AND THE CHILDREN THEMSELVES,

CAN SEE HOW WELL THEY ARE DOING AND WHERE

ADDITIONAL HELP MAY BE NEEDED.

IT IS VITAL THAT ALL CHILDREN MASTER BASIC SKILLS:

READING, WRITING, SPELLING, GRAMMAR,

ARITHMETIC AND GEOMETRY; VITAL THAT THEY

UNDERSTAND TECHNOLOGY AND BASIC SCIENCE;

AND VITAL THAT THEY HAVE THE CHALLENGE

OF WELL-DESIGNED HOMEWORK.

WE ARE NOW ABOUT TO TAKE A DRAMATIC STEP FORWARD

IN EXTENDING CHOICE IN EDUCATION.

THE HARD LEFT - AND MY GOODNESS THEY ARE HARD
HATE THE IDEA THAT PEOPLE SHOULD BE ABLE

TO CHOOSE.

IN PARTICULAR, THEY HATE THE IDEA THAT

PARENTS SHOULD BE ABLE TO CHOOSE THEIR

CHILDREN'S EDUCATION.

BUT THERE IS NO REASON ON EARTH WHY LOCAL AUTHORITIES

SHOULD HAVE A MONOPOLY OF FREE EDUCATION.

WHAT POINT OF PRINCIPLE SUGGESTS THIS

IS RIGHT?

WHAT POINT OF RECENT EXPERIENCE OR PRACTICE

SUGGESTS IT IS SENSIBLE?

UNTIL NOW THERE HAS BEEN TOO LITTLE CHOICE IN EDUCATION.

IT HAS BEEN LIMITED TO A SMALL NUMBER

OF ASSISTED PLACES IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR

OR, FOR THE OVERWHELMING MAJORITY, SCHOOLS SUBSTANTIALLY RUN BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY.

WE ARE NOW ABOUT TO CREATE A THIRD SECTOR.

THIS IS THE INDEPENDENT STATE SCHOOL BY ALLOWING PARENTS AND GOVERNORS TO

VOTE DEMOCRATICALLY TO TAKE THEIR CHILDREN'S
SCHOOL OUT OF THE HANDS OF THE LOCAL
AUTHORITY INTO THE HANDS OF THEIR OWN
GOVERNING BODY.

THEY WILL BRING TO MANY CHILDREN A BETTER
EDUCATION, BECAUSE THE SCHOOL WILL BE
IN THE HANDS OF THOSE WHO CARE MOST FOR
IT AND ITS FUTURE.

WE ARE FURTHERING OUR CONSERVATIVE TRADITION OF EXTENDING

OPPORTUNITY WIDER AND STILL WIDER.

THE POLICY WILL APPLY TO ALL SCHOOLS

IN ENGLAND AND WALES.

NOWHERE IS THIS POLICY MORE NEEDED THAN

IN THE INNER CITIES.

[IT IS THE INNER CITIES WHERE THE USE OF PUBLIC FUNDS AND
PUBLIC INTERVENTION IS NEEDED TO UNLOCK PRIVATE
RESOURCES AND RELEASE PRIVATE INITIATIVES.

WE NEED TO CREATE A COMPETITIVE MARKET
ECONOMY, THE UNDERLYING CONCEPTS AND
DYNAMISM OF WHICH ARE INTEGRATED WITH
OUR SOCIAL POLICIES IN THE COMMUNITY.]