

R10/9

CDP)

For information prior to your forthcoming visit to

Norway.

MARTIN A RUSHTON Deputy Chairman

With Compliments

RMR Limited Unit C7, Baird Court, Wellingborough, Northants NN8 3QJ. Tel: (0933) 679130



Your Ref:

Our Ref: MAR/TO

R.M.R. LIMITED

REGISTERED OFFICE: BAIRD COURT, WELLINGBOROUGH, NN8 3QJ Telephone (0933) 679130

INCORPORATED AT CARDIFF IN 1982 No. 1637093

9 September 1986

Rt Hon W Waldegrave MP 2 Marsham Street LONDON SW1P 3EB

Dear Sir

Environmental Pollution Control

The recent decision of the Government to take active steps to implement legislation to reduce emission levels of sulphur and nitrogen oxides from power station and other flues has come as a great source of encouragement to this company. RMR Limited, set up some three years ago under the BES system, specialises in fuel treatments to generate gains in both energy efficiency and environmental pollution reduction, and has had considerable success recently in both areas.

From testwork carried out to date on both oil and coal fired installations, including a power station plant owned by the Courtaulds Group, we believe that the introduction of a fuel treatment supplied by ourselves could produce a flue gas emission situation allowing significantly reduced capital outlay for scrubbing and associated equipment, and a reduced ongoing revenue cost for both chemical treatment and disposal.

Significant reductions in sulphur trioxide, sulphur dioxide and NOx levels have been measured whilst using our range of fuel treatments, despite the fact that they were originally formulated to overcome maintenance problems and generate actual fuel savings with both coal and fuel oils. We feel that considerable further improvement in this area may be obtained through chemical rebalancing of the treatments during a test programme specifically designed for this purpose. The time would now seem ripe for a trial to convince both government and CEGB experts of the efficacy, cost efficiency and environmental potential of our product range. This could cover both oil and coal fired installations, and be carried out for relatively low initial cost.

The scepticism of how this form of treatment can work in theory must surely be outweighed by the practical results achieved, and recent preliminary work carried out by the Coal Research Establishment is most encouraging.

In almost all cases, the cost of our fuel treatment is more than covered by the savings generated in improved combustion and energy conversion and in any case would be lower than that of treating the flue gas emissions, whilst also reducing significantly the cost of capital equipment required. It is also worth emphasising that for smaller installations, including the back up power station network, chemical treatment provides an extremely cost effective way of meeting

CONTINUED