cc J. Vareho

Secretary of State for Industry

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRY ASHDOWN HOUSE 123 VICTORIA STREET LONDON SWIE 6RB

TELEPHONE DIRECT LINE 01-212 3301
SWITCHBOARD 01-212 7676

23 December 1980

The Rt Hon Sir Geoffrey Howe QC MP Chancellor of the Exchequer HM Treasury Parliament Street London SW1

Dear Graphy.

200

- 1 When we discussed TSRB issues at E last week I undertook to put to you my argument that our evidence should rebut any assumption that those concerned should be entitled in due course to "catch up" whatever a Review Body had recommended which was not in the event paid.
- 2 There are two arguments to support this rebuttal: (a) setting pay by comparisons with analogues involves a lot of subjective judgement and is dubious in principle. For example analogues will usually have much less job security; (b) public servants are always at liberty to move into the private sector if they prefer the pay and conditions there. Indeed the Government is seeking to encourage/the country's best talent to enter productive employment; this will inevitably mean reducing the relative attractiveness of employment in the public services.
- 3 These arguments do not apply to nationalised industry Chairmen and Board Members who will in future not be subject to the TSRB regime. The considerations which apply to them must of course be those of the market place and the ability to recruit and retail people of the right calibre.
- 4 I am copying this letter to the members of E Committee and to Sir Robert Armstrong.

r Robert Armstrong.

Kan

1 mon of