Eun Al SUBJECT PS TO PM. NO. 1J DOWNING STREET. SERIAL NO. 7 230 9/82 CONFIDENTIAL. 40109 - 1 US Declass 00 WASHINGTON DESKBY 031500Z GRS 792 CONFIDENTIAL A. & C. 6/12. DESKBY 031500Z FM FCO 031300Z DEC 82 TO IMMEDIATE WASHINGTON TELEGRAM NUMBER 2114 OF 3 DECEMBER ECONOMIC SUMMITS 1. GRATEFUL IF YOU WOULD PASS THE FOLLOWING MESSAGE FROM THE PRIME MINISTER TO PRESIDENT REAGAN AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR LETTER OF 17 OCTOBER, MITH YOUR PRELIMINARY THOUGHTS ABOUT ECONOMIC SUMMITS. SINCE YOU WROTE, ALLEN WALLIS HAS BEEN IN LONDON, AND HAS TALKED WITH MY PERSONAL REPRESENTITIVE, ROBERT ARMSTRONG, ABOUT

THESE MATTERS. YOU WILL THEREFORE KNOW THE GENERAL DRIFT OF MY OWN THOUGHTS: BUT I WANT NONETHELESS TO RESPOND TO YOUR LETTER.

MY THOUGHTS ARE VERY MUCH IN LINE WITH YOUR OWN. THE GREAT VALUE OF OUR ECONOMIC SUMMIT MEETINGS IS AS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR CANDID TALKS AMONG THE EIGHT OF US ABOUT THE GENERAL WORLD ECONOMIC SITUATION. WE CAN DISCUSS HOW WE CAN HELR EACH OTHER TO OVERCOME THE PROBLEMS WE FACE IN OUR OWN COUNTRIES: AND WE CAN WORK TOGETHER TO CREATE A CLIMATE WHICH WILL HELP TO BRING ABOUT IMPROVEMENTS IN THE WORLD ECONOMY.

OUR PEOPLE WILL EXPECT FROM OUR MEETINGS AN OUTCOME WHICH OFFERS HOPE THAT WE SHALL COME OUT OF THE WORLD ECONOMIC SITUATION WHICH WE ARE MOW EXPERIENCING. BUT ECONOMIC SUMMITS ARE NOT IN MY VIEW OCCASIONS FOR NEGOTIATING DETAILED AGREEMENTS ON SPECIFIC SUBJECTS: RATHER THEY ARE. AS YOU SUGGEST, TO DISCUSS OUR GENERAL APPROACH AT THE HIGHEST POLITICAL LEVEL. ANY DECLARATIONS WE MAKE SHOULD

CONFIDENTIAL

EMPHASISE FAVOURABLE DEVELOPMENTS AND TRY TO CHECK UNFAVOURABLE ONES. THEY WOULD THUS GUIDE AND INFORM THE SPECIFIC WORK OF MINISTERS RESPONSIBLE FOR ECONOMIC POLICY AND FOREIGN AFFAIRS.

TO THE EXTENT THAT POLITICAL AS WELL AS ECONOMIC ISSUES FIGURE ON OUR AGENDA, THE SAME CONSIDERATIONS APPLY.

LIKE YOU, I SHOULD LIKE TO MAKE OUR MEETINGS AS INFORMAL AND AS LITTLE STRUCTURED AS POSSIBLE, SO THAT WE CAN CONCENTRATE ON DISCUSSING THE REAL ISSUES RATHER THAN NEGOTIATING IN DETAIL OR ARGUING ABOUT WORDS IN A COMMUNIQUE. IF WE ARE TO ACHIEVE THAT, WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO GET AWAY FROM THE PRESSURES OF THE MEDIA. SOME PREVIOUS ECONOMIC SUMMITS HAVE TENDED TO BECOME SPECTACULAR EVENTS, WHICH HAVE ATTRACTED A LOT OF PRESS AND PUBLIC ATTENTION AND HAVE GIVEN RISE TO EXPECTATIONS WHICH THE MEETINGS THEMSELVES HAVE NOT ALWAYS BEEN ABLE TO FULFIL. ANYTHING YOU CAN DO TO REDUCE THE NUMBER OF MEDIA REPRESENTATIVES AT WILLIAMSBURG AND THE DEMANDS WHICH THEIR PRESENCE MAKES UPON US WILL BE APPRECIATED.

AS TO PRELIMINARIES, PAST EXPERIENCE SHOWS THE DANGERS OF OVER-METICULOUS PREPARATION. OUR OWN DISCUSSIONS MUST NOT BE FETTERED OR VIRTUALLY DECIDED BY WHAT HAS BEEN DONE IN ADVANCE. I THINK THAT WE CAN BEST ACHIEVE THIS IF THE PREPARATIONS ON THE ECONOMIC SIDE ARE PUT CLEARLY IN THE HANDS OF OUR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES.

IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THERE ARE THREE THINGS WHICH THE PREPARATORY PROCESS BY OUR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES NEEDS TO DO FOR US:

(I) IT SHOULD BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE MAIN ISSUES WHICH WE ARE LIKELY TO WANT TO COVER DURING THE COUPSE OF OUR DISCUSSIONS, SO THAT WE CAN OURSELVES THINK ABOUT THEM BEFORE WE COME. THIS SHOULD NOT TAKE LONG.

(II) IT SHOULD BE ABLE TO ESTABLISH POINTS ON WHICH THERE IS LIKELY TO BE GENERAL AGREEMENT AMONG US, AND WHICH WE DO NOT THEREFORE NEED TO DISCUSS IN DETAIL WHEN WE MEET, AND TO PINPOINT ISSUES ON WHICH THERE MAY BE DIFFERENCES OF VIEW WHICH DISCUSSION AT THE SUMMIT MAY HELP TO RECONCILE: THAT SHOULD HELP TO ENSURE THAT WE MAKE THE BEST USE OF THE LIMITED AMOUNT OF TIME WE HAVE TOGETHER AT THE SUMMIT MEETING ITSELF.

(III) WE CAN HARDLY MEET WITHOUT ISSUING SOME DECLARATION OR COMMUNIQUE THAT TELLS THE WORLD OF THE SUBJECTS WE HAVE DISCUSSED AND, AT LEAST IN GENERAL TERMS, WHAT CONCLUSIONS WE HAVE REACHED. I BELIEVE IT SHOULD BE SOORT. PREPARATION SHOULD INCLUDE A FRAMEWORK FOR THE FINAL DECLARATION SO THAT THE ACTUAL DRAFTING OF OUR CONCLUSIONS CAN BE DONE QUICKLY. I DO NOT BELIEVE WE SHOULD GO INTO TOO MUCH DETAIL. IF WE CAN ALL AGREE ON THESE POINTS, AND IF OUR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES UNDERSTAND OUR MINDS ON THESE MATTERS, THEY OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO COMPLETE THEIR WORK IN A COUPLE OF MEETINGS.

ON THE POLITICAL SIDE OF THE AGENDA, I WAS VERY SATISFIED WITH THE APPROACH ADOPTED BY PRESIDENT MITTERRAND AT VERSAILLES IN JUNE, WHICH IN EFFECT WAS TO HAVE NO PREPARED DRAFTS, BUT TO ARRANGE FOR POLITICAL DIRECTORS TO BE AVAILABLE IN CASE IT WAS DECIDED - AS HAPPENED IN JUNE OVER THE ISRAELI INVASION OF LEBANON - TO ISSUE A STATEMENT OR COMMUNIQUE ON ONE OR MORE PARTICULAR POINTS.

THE WORLD RECESSION IS LONGER AND DEEPER THAN WE EXPECTED, AND THE WORRIES ARE CORRESPONDINGLY GREATER. I AM SURE THAT UNDER YOUR CHAIRMANSHIP WE SHALL SHOW THAT WE UNDERSTAND THE DANGERS AND FEARS AND THAT THE COUNTRIES WE LEAD HAVE THE CAPACITY AND THE DETERMINATION TO MEET THE CHALLENGE.

ENDS

LIMITED

ERD

PLANNING ST

MAD

WED

FED

ECONOMIC SUMHIT

PS/PUS SIR J BULLARD

MR EVANS

CONFIDENTIAL



## 10 DOWNING STREET

THE PRIME MINISTER

Thank you very much for your letter of 17 October, with your preliminary thoughts about Economic Summits. Since you wrote, Allen Wallis has been in London, and has talked with my Personal Representative, Robert Armstrong, about these matters. You will therefore know the general drift of my own thoughts; but I want nonetheless to respond to your letter.

My thoughts are very much in line with your own. The great value of our Economic Summit meetings is as an opportunity for candid talks among the eight of us about the general world economic situation. We can discuss how we can help each other to overcome the problems we face in our own countries; and we can work together to create a climate which will help to bring about improvements in the world economy.

Our people will expect from our meetings an outcome which offers hope that we shall come out of the world economic situation which we are now experiencing. But Economic Summits are not in my view occasions for negotiating detailed agreements on specific subjects; rather they are, as you suggest, to discuss our general approach at the highest political level. Any declarations we make should emphasise favourable developments and try to check unfavourable ones. They would thus guide and inform the specific work of Ministers responsible for economic policy and foreign affairs.

differences of view which discussion at the Summit may help to reconcile; that should help to ensure that we make the best use of the limited amount of time we have together at the Summit meeting itself.

(iii) We can hardly meet without issuing some declaration or communique that tells the world of the subjects we have discussed and, at least in general terms, what conclusions we have reached. I believe it should be short. Preparation should include a framework for the final declaration so that the actual drafting of our conclusions can be done quickly. I do not believe we should go into too much detail.

If we can all agree on these points, and if our Personal Representatives understand our minds on these matters, they ought to be able to complete their work in a couple of meetings.

On the political side of the agenda, I was very satisfied with the approach adopted by President Mitterrand at Versailles in June, which in effect was to have no prepared drafts, but to arrange for Political Directors to be available in case it was decided - as happened in June over the Israeli invasion of Lebanon - to issue a statement or communique on one or more particular points.

The world recession is longer and deeper than we expected, and the worries are correspondingly greater. I am sure that under your chairmanship we shall show that we understand the dangers and fears and that the countries we lead have the capacity and the determination to meet the challenge.

The President of the United States of America

CONFIDENTIAL ECONOMIC POLICY &



## 10 DOWNING STREET

From the Private Secretary

Sir Robert Armstrong

## Economic Summits

Thank you for your minute of 26 November proposing a reply by the Prime Minister to the message of 18 October from the President of the United States.

The Prime Minister has approved the amended text annexed to this minute. I should be grateful if you could arrange for its despatch.

Mrs. Thatcher agrees that you may give copies of this message to your fellow Personal Representatives at your next meeting on 11/12 December.

I am copying this minute to Mr. Fall and Mr. Kerr.

A.J. COLES

2 December, 1982.

CONFIDENTIAL

Agree to send this message to Bendent Reagon? Apre that hobot Armstron man give copie to his below personal representatives on 11/12 december?

A. J. C. 29. Ref. A082/0311 MR COLES The President of the United States sent the Prime Minister a message on 18 October, outlining his own thoughts about Economic Summits and inviting her reactions to those preliminary thoughts. I attach a draft of a message for the Prime Minister to send to the President. I hope that the message is self-explanatory. It has been agreed with the Treasury and the Foreign and Commonwealth Office. 3. I wondered whether to suggest that the Prime Minister's message should be copied to other Heads of State or Government of Summit countries. On the whole I think not; they do not seem to have copied their replies to her. But I should like to be able to make copies of her reply available to my fellow Personal Representatives at our next meeting on 11 and 12 December.

RA

ROBERT ARMSTRONG

26 November 1982

## DRAFT LETTER FROM THE PRIME MINISTER TO PRESIDENT REAGAN

Thank you very much for your letter of 17 October, with your preliminary thoughts about Economic Summits. Since you wrote, Allen Wallis has been in London, and has talked with my Personal Representative, Robert Armstrong, about these matters. You will therefore know the general drift of my own thoughts; but I want nonetheless to respond to your letter.

My thoughts are very much in line with your own. The great value of our Economic Summit meetings is as an opportunity for frank and direct conversation among the eight of us about the general world economic situation. We can share with each other the problems we face in our own countries against the background of the world situation, and we can exchange ideas about how we may be able to help each other to overcome those problems, and how we can work together to create a climate which will help to bring about improvements in the world economy which will benefit not only the industrialised countries

an outcome that has point in the world an avenuary.

Out of the state of the state of the world and the world are stated on the world of the worl

but also the rest of the free world.

we can discus low we can lely each other to oversome the problems we face in our own countries; and we can work together

Economic Summits are not in my view occasions for negotiating detailed agreements on specific subjects; rather they are, as you suggest, for wide-ranging discussions at the highest political level. I believe they ought to be occasions when the heads of the seven principal industrial countries show their joint concern for the well-being of the economic system of the free world, and the outcome we should aim to achieve, and encourage the world to accept, should be broad direction-setting political declarations. Any delention or make to the declarations should give impetus to favourable developments and try to check unfavourable ones. They would thus guide and inform the specific work of Ministers responsible for economic policy and foreign affairs.

To the extent that political as well as economic issues figure on our agenda, I would say that the same considerations apply: the themes should be those that our publics are concerned about, the discussion should be frank, and the outcome should take the form of broad understandings to be followed up by our Foreign Ministers.

Like you, I should like to make our meetings as informal and as little structured as possible, so that we can concentrate on

discussing the real issues rather than negotiating in detail or arguing about words in a communique. If we are to achieve that, we need to be able to get away from the pressures of the representatives of the media. Some previous Economic Summits have tended to become spectacular events, which have attracted a lot of Press and public attention and have given rise to expectations which the meetings themselves have not always been able to fulfil. We have, as you say, to try to discourage the media from generating. excessive expectations about the outcome of our meetings. Anything you can do to reduce the number of media representatives at denunds Williamsburg and the pressures which their grove with negu subun presence can thrust upon us all will be useful in this regard.

As to preliminaries, past experience shows the dangers of over-meticulous bureaucratic preparation. We must insist on as little preparation as is practical so that our own discussions are as little fettered as possible by what is done in advance. I think that we can best achieve this if the preparations on the economic side are put clearly in the hands of our Personal Representatives and not farmed out too widely in the bureaucracies.

It seems to me that there are three things which the preparatory process by our Personal Representatives needs to do for us:

(i) It should be able to identify the

main issues which we are likely to

want to cover during the course of

our discussions, so that we can

ourselves think about them before

we come.

(ii) It should be able to establish points on which there is likely to be general agreement among us, and which we do not therefore need to discuss in detail when we meet, and to pinpoint issues on which there may be differences of view which discussion at the Summit may help to reconcile; that should help to ensure that we make the best use of the limited amount of time we have together at the Summit meeting itself.

some declaration or communique that tells the world of the subjects we have discussed and, at least in general terms, what conclusions we have reached. There is not time

be short.

within the confines of a two-day Summit to produce such a document from scratch. The preparatory process should therefore construct a framework for the final declaration or communique, to serve as basis for final drafting in the light of discussion at the Summit so was without taking the preparation to the point where the

words and the thoughts

concrete and in effect deminate

and limit our own discussions.

I do not bebese in

can be done

model jours to hund detail. If we can all agree on these points, and if our Personal Representatives understand our minds on these matters, they ought to be able complete. to limit their preparatory work accordingly court 1meeting s to what will contribute to the effectiveness of our own proceedings at the Summit without

limiting our freedom of discussion.

On the political side of the agenda, I was very satisfied with the approach adopted by President Mitterrand at Versailles in June, which in effect was to have no prepared drafts, but to arrange for Political Directors to be available in case it was decided - as happened in June over the Israeli invasion of Lebanon - to issue a statement or communiqué on one or more particular points.

I know you share my concern about the dangers that confront us all. Those dangers, and the challenges which we shall face at Williamsburg, are as great as (if not greater than) at any of the previous Summits have attended. I am sure that under your chairmanship we shall show that we understand the dangers and that the countries we lead have the capacity and the determination to rise to the challenges.

Summits
PTI