

PRIME MINISTER

mt

Yesterday John Gummer showed the attached papers to the Chief Whip. They are from a private and confidential survey undertaken by the Party on a nationwide sample and show the effect of the Community Charge in certain parts of Finchley and Chipping Barnet. The Chief Whip and I thought you would be interested to see them because they illustrate some of the features of the Community Charge which will also be felt in other constituencies all over the country.

On the paper which deals with Elmshurst Crescent you will see the effect after the application of the safety net on several 2-adult households for that area. These are, of course, properties which are at present low-rated.

These papers of course illustrate shifts between individuals which, by definition, are not covered by the safety net - that relates only to shifts between areas.

M.B

MARK LENNOX-BOYD
11 July 1989

FINCHLEY

Effect of Community Charge on Elmshurst Crescent, N2

Elmshurst Crescent, N2	No of adults	1989-90 rates (£)	1990-91 community charge (£)	Gain/ Loss (£)	Safety Net as Proposed	Final
1	1	427	322	+105	63	+168
1A	0	495	644	-149		-
2	2	427	644	-217	126	-91
3	2	427	644	-217	126	-91
4	3	443	966	-523	189	-334
5	3	495	966	-471	189	-282
6	0	558	644	-86		
7	2	488	644	-156	126	-30
8	3	620	966	-346	189	-157
9	1	468	322	+146	63	209
10	2	620	644	-24	126	+102
11	2	468	644	-176	126	-50
12	3	620	966	-346	189	-157
13	2	468	644	-176	126	-50
14	1	620	322	+298	63	+361
15	2	468	644	-176	126	-50
16	2	620	644	-24	126	+102
17	0	468	644	-176		
18	2	558	644	-86	126	+40
19	1	468	322	+146	63	+209
20	1	620	322	+298	63	+361
21	2	468	644	-176	126	-50
22	1	620	322	+298	63	+361
23	1	468	322	+146	63	+209
24	2	620	644	-24	126	+102
25	2	468	644	-176	126	-50

Total gain/loss to 26 households

-2288

ALL TWO ADULT HOUSEHOLDS LOSE
AVERAGE LOSS PER COUPLE: £148

Numbers 1 to 25 selected at random. The rest of Elmshurst Crescent (26 to 149) are similar.

FINCHLEY

Effect of Community Charge on Ballards Lane, N3 and N12

Ballards Lane, N3	No of voters	1989-90 rates (£)	1990-91 community charge (£)	Gain/ Loss (£)
102, 1st Floor	1	452	322	+130
102, 2nd Floor	2	452	644	-192
106A	2	460	644	-184
106B	0	452	644	-192
108, 2nd Floor	2	452	644	-192
110, 1st Floor	3	452	966	-514
110, 2nd Floor	1	460	322	+138
112	1	460	322	+138
114A	1	460	322	+138
114B	2	435	644	-209
115A	2	566	644	-78
116A	2	460	644	-184
116B	2	460	644	-184
117A	2	581	644	-63
118A	0	452	644	-192
118B	1	468	322	+146
123 1st Floor	2	386	644	-258
123 2nd Floor	1	386	322	+64
124A	3	460	966	-506
124B	1	460	322	+138
125A	1	370	322	+48
125B	4	370	1288	-918
Total gain/loss to 22 households				-2926

**ALL TWO ADULT HOUSEHOLDS LOSE
AVERAGE LOSS PER COUPLE: £172**

Numbers 102 to 125B selected at random. The rest of Ballards Lane (1 to 101 and 126 to 382) are similar.

CHIPPING BARNET

Effect of Community Charge on Totteridge Common, N20

Totteridge Common, N20	No of adults	1989-90 rates (£)	1990-91 community charge (£)	Gain/ Loss (£)
Redings	2	1488	644	+844
Manor House	1	2383	322	+2061
Laureny Cottage	1	1408	322	+1086
Laxwood Cottage	2	495	644	-149
Laxwood	2	7582	644	+6938
Oakhurst	4	3374	1288	+2086
Park House	2	1757	644	+1113
Croxton	1	1180	322	+858
Kyrle Cottage	2	1008	644	+364
Longbourn	5	4567	1610	+2957
Salenco	2	1464	644	+820
Highcroft Cottage	1	542	322	+220
Hillsdown Court	5	4161	1610	+2551
Highcroft	1	2927	322	+2605
The White House	3	3227	966	+2261
Montebello	3	8233	966	+7267
Glenacre	2	3171	644	+2527
Roselawn	4	3299	1288	+2011
The Paddocks	4	3283	1288	+1995
Bruhams	4	3650	1288	+2362
Total gain/loss to 20 households				+42777

} note the comparison

CHIPPING BARNET

Effect of Community Charge on The Close, N20

The Close, N20	No of voters	1989-90 rates (£)	1990-91 community charge (£)	Gain/ Loss (£)
Brecon House	3	2675	966	+1709
El Remo	2	2268	644	+1624
Lindeth	2	2471	644	+1827
Sunridge	5	1975	1610	+365
Waverley	4	3299	1288	+2011
Whitefriars	2	2342	644	+1698
Windyridge	2	4518	644	+3874
Total gain/loss to 7 households				<hr/> +13108