CCBG SCOTTISH OFFICE WHITEHALL, LONDON SWIA 2AU CONFIDENTIAL Wight. The Rt Hon John MacGregor Esq MP Chief Secretary HM Treasury Parliament Street LONDON SW1 23 February 1987 Dear Chief Secretary, ADRES BILL: TRANSITIONAL PERIOD Thank you for your letter of 17 February. I have also seen Kenneth Baker's letter of 20 February. The points you make about student support and community charge rebates raise big issues. I am not at all clear why you suppose that either of these payments will result in additional Exchequer support for local authorities. Under our proposals, the income raised community charges at the start of the new arrangements will be the same as what would have been raised through the payment of domestic rates, unless in the year of introduction local authorities increase the volume of their spending. There are considerable benefits in terms of accountability etc, in widening the net of local taxpayers to include (inter alia) students; and it is these benefits which have led us to introduce the legislation. But the widening of the net does not increase the income to authorities. The student support and rebate arrangements are simply income support for groups of the population whom we recognise will require that support, if they are to meet the new burdens being placed upon them. If the cost of that support is to be deducted from AEG, that will be seen by local authorities - and quite properly so - as a straightforward reduction in Government's support for local services. Since we are also taking control of the fixing of non-domestic rates, the slack will have to be taken up through community charges. In other word, the cost of student support and rebates, under your proposal, would fall on other community charge payers. That would scarcely get the new arrangements off to a good start and would be rightly rejected as intelerable. intolerable. I therefore certainly cannot agree to what you propose. I suggest that officials get together to discuss the matter further. However, as you say, this is not strictly a point about transition and is not particularly relevant to my proposal to dispense with the transitional period, and I note that you have no other objections to that HMP05401

proposal. I hope therefore that I have your agreement to that proposal and that you can agree to our officials now pursuing the points you have raised about student support and community charge rebates.

I am copying this letter to the Prime Minister, Willie Whitelaw, Nicholas Ridley, other members of E(LF) and to Sir Robert Armstrong.

Yours sincerely LGUCLINST

MALCOLM RIFKIND

Approved by the Secretary of State and signed in his absence 23. H. (9) - 1/3 PM 8 7

LOCAL GOUT: Rating Pt 6.