VFIDENTIAL London Canago Blas PRIME MINISTER Mm. 4.1) 6' COMMUNITY CHARGE: TRANSITIONAL ARRANGEMENTS At E(LF) on 27 July we agreed that the starting level of the community charge should Will. be £75 or £100; Inou When that there should be a 4 year phasing out of rates and the safety net everywhere except inner London, where the possibility of additional help in the 5th year should be considered. £75 or £100 I enclose, at Annex A, exemplifications for the 5 sample authorities (Camden, Barnet, Elmbridge, Barnsley, Craven) showing

- 2. I enclose, at Annex A, exemplifications for the 5 sample authorities (Camden, Barnet, Elmbridge, Barnsley, Craven) showing the effect, with a 4 year transition, of 1990 community charges of £75 or £100. (The Camden figures could be affected by the special London arrangements set out in more detail below.)
- 3. The differences between the two are, as colleagues will see, very small a maximum of £15 a year even for 3 adult households in Camden. In view of this, and of the attraction of £100 as a number people are likely to remember so making it easier for us to get our message across I recommend that we adopt £100 as the starting level in England. It will be recalled that the presentational importance of the figure lies in the fact that the rate element in the package will be fixed so as to make £100 the community charge payable if councils maintain their spending at the level of the previous year. A memorable figure is therefore a distinct advantage.



### Special arrangements for London

- 4. E(LF) discussed the possibility of special transitional arrangements for inner London. The propositions were that
  - (i) these should be additional to dual running and the 'safety net';
  - (ii) they should continue for a 5th year whereas the national transitional arrangements generally would end after 4 years;
  - (iii) they might be paid for by an increase in inner London's retained contribution from non-domestic rates.
- 5. It is important to recognise that the purpose of the safety net is to moderate losses or gains to areas because of the changes we are making in the distribution of grant and non-domestic rate. It follows that the safety net, on its own, provides a considerable amount of support to inner London in 1990/91 and subsequent years. The net payment to inner London from the safety net will be as follows:

1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94

£410m £307m £205m £102m

6. These amounts are, to a large extent, a continuation of the subsidy that inner London presently enjoys from non-domestic rating. They can legitimately be seen, therefore, as a way of phasing in the losses that inner London will suffer as the benefit it receives from non-domestic rates declines from the present level to the 'per adult' amount that all areas will be given after 1990.



- 7. In view of our decision to have a full safety net in 1990, it would be wrong to provide additional special London arrangements in that year. To do so would
  - (i) mean that average domestic tax bills in inner London were lower in 1990 than they had been in 1989; and
  - (ii) <u>increase</u> bills everywhere else in the country compared with 1990 including the north, and the Home Counties.
- 8. I can conceive of a system under which
  - (i) the special transitional help for London begins in 1991/92, and continues until 1994/95 (ie a year after the safety net is phased out);
  - (ii) in order to provide a smooth progression in community charge bills in inner London, the amount of the extra help London receives in each year is simply the difference between the cost of phasing out the safety net over 5 years in London, and phasing it out over 4 years elsewhere;
  - (iii) this special help can be portrayed as further slowing down of the loss of non-domestic rate income from inner London; it is felt as an increase in the community charge paid everywhere except inner London.
- 9. A more detailed note is at Annex B. At Annex C are exemplifications of the effects on all London Boroughs for 2 adult households living in properties with average Rateable Values; and for 4 Boroughs (Camden, Greenwich, Wandsworth and Westminster) for 1 and 3 adult households also.
- 10. Colleagues will note that there is still the likelihood of perverse results in Kensington and Chelsea and Westminster in the final years of the transition: some bills go up in 1994/95, then down again in 1995/96. This is because of the interaction of the



financing arrangements and the abolition of rates. These effects could only be avoided by keeping domestic rates for another year in London. I assume colleagues would not wish to do that.

11. I cannot recommend this scheme. It will bring additional complexity and obscurity, for a year when there is likely to be an election (the one after next) in the offing: and it requires subsidy for London from the rest of the country, which will be strongly resisted.

### Wales

- 12. E(LF) asked Peter Walker to look again at the phasing out of the safety net in Wales. If the Welsh arrangements are closer to those we now envisage for England, we shall give ourselves fewer presentational problems.
- 13. I am still concerned, however, at the possibility that rates might be abolished in Wales in 1990. This will make drafting the Rate Reform Bill more complicated. More significantly it will make the job of justifying the two different systems very hard indeed. It is true that average rate bills, overall, are lower in Wales than they are in England. But there are parts of England including some along the Welsh border where average rate bills are as small as they are in Wales for example, Forest of Dean £162/adult; Newport £166/adult. It is not easy to see why we need to have 4 years of dual running in Gloucestershire, if there is no dual running in Gwent.
- 14. Peter Walker's general arguments against dual running the additional administrative costs and complexity; the disincentive to authorities to set the new system up efficiently; the confusion for existing ratepayers and new taxpayers all apply equally well in England. We will not therefore be able to use them publicly as the justification for not having dual running in Wales.





15. I would, however, be prepared to see a lower level of community charge in Wales in 1990 - say £50 - if this would reduce the problem of having unacceptably small rate bills in much of the Principality in subsequent years.

### Recommendations

### 16. I recommend

- (i) a starting level for the community charge in 1990 of £100 in England;
- (ii) no special London arrangements for 1994/95;
- (iii) that I should announce our decisions after E(LF) on Thursday. I will explain at the meeting the terms in which I envisage the announcement being made.
- 17. Copies of this minute go to the members of E(LF) and Sir Robert Armstrong.

N.

NR

CAMBEN, 4 YEAR TRANSITION LOCAL AUTHORITY -

Average rate bill per household £843 7 assuming 1987/88 Community charge - no safety net £782 5 spending

Community Charge	e - no sarety	y net [15]	J spendir							
Initial community charge 775										
	87/8	38 90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96			
1 adult househol	<u>ld</u>									
CC	0	75	252	428	605	782				
Rate bill	590	493	369	248	125	0				
H/hold total	590	568	621	676	730	782				
2 adult househol 100% average RV										
œ	0	150	503	857	1210	1564				
Rate bill	843	704	528	352	176	0				
H/hold total	843	854	1032	1209	1387	1561	+			
3 adult househol 130% average RV	<u>d</u>									
cc	0	225	755	1285	1816	2346				
Rate bill	1096	915	686	457	229	0				
H/hold total	1096	1140	1441	1743	2041	+ 234	6			
	Init	ial commun	ity char	ge £100						
	87/88	3 90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96			
l adult household 70% average RV	₫									
cc	0	100	271	441	612	782				
Rate bill	590	461	345	230	115	0				
H/hold total	590	561	616	671	727	782				
2 adult household 100% average RV	1									
cc	0	200	541	882	1223	1564				
Rate bill	843	658	494	329	165	0				
H/hold total	843	858	1035	1211	1388	1564				
3 adult household 130% average RV										
CC	0	300	812	1323	1835	2346				
	096	855 6	142	428	214	0				
H/hold total \	096	1155 1	453	1751	2048	2346				
		0.								

CONFIDENTIAL

LOCAL AUTHORITY - BARNET THAN SITION

verage rate bill per household 655 assuming 1987/88 community charge - no safety net 222 spending

	Initia	1 commun	ity char	rge	£75		
	87/88	90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96
1 adult household 70% average RV							
cc	0	75	112	148	185	222	
Rate bill	459	350	263	175	88	0	
H/hold total	459	425	374	323	273	222	
2 adult household 100% average RV							
CC Rate bill	0	150	223	297	370	444	
	655	500	375	250	125		
H/hold total	655		599	547	499	5 444	
3 adult household 130% average RV							
CC	0	225	335	445	556	5 666	
Rate bill	851	651	488	325	162	0	
H/hold total	851	876	823	771	718	666	
	Initial	communi	ty charc	ge £100			
	87/88	90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96
l adult household 70% average RV							
CC	0	100	131	161	192	222	
Rate bill				157		0	
H/hold total	459	44	366	318	270	222	
2 adult household 100% average RV							
cc	0	200	261	322	383	444	
Rate bill	655	449	337	225	112	0	
H/hold total	655	649	598	547	495	444	
3 adult household 130% average RV							
CC	0	300	392	483	575	666	
Rate bill	851	584	438	292	146	0	
H/hold total	851	884	829	775	720	666	
				LIAL			
		54. r.	the state of	2 7 19.50			

CAMBEN. 4 YEAR TRANSITION LOCAL AUTHORITY -

Average rate bill per household £843 7 assuming 1987/88 Community charge - no safety net £782 5 spending

Community Charge	e - no sarety	y net [15]	J spemar		p					
Initial community charge : 175										
	87/8	38 90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96			
1 adult househol 70% average RV	<u>d</u>									
CC	0	75	252	428	605	782				
Rate bill	590	493	369	248	125	0				
H/hold total	590	568	621	676	730	782				
2 adult household 100% average RV	<u>d</u>									
œ	0	150	503	857	1210	1564				
Rate bill	843	704	528	352	176	0				
H/hold total	843	854	1032	1209	1387	156	4			
3 adult household 130% average RV	1									
CC	0	225	755	1285	1816	346				
Rate bill	1096	915	686	457	229	0				
H/hold total	1096	1140	1441	1743	2041	+ 234	6			
	Init	ial commun	ity char	ge £100						
	87/88	3 90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96			
l adult household 70% average RV										
CC	0	100	271	441	612	782				
Rate bill	590	461	345	230	115	0				
H/hold total	590	561	616	671	727	782				
2 adult household 100% average RV										
cc	6	200	541	882	1223	1564				
Rate bill	843	658	494	329	165	0				
H/hold total	843	858	1035	1211	1388	1564				
3 adult household 130% average RV										
CC	0	300	812	1323	1835	2346				
	096	855 6	42	428	214	0				
H/hold total \ O	96	1155 1	453	1751	2048	2346				
		0'								

CONFIDENTIAL

LOCAL AUTHORITY - BARNET THAN SITION

verage rate bill per household 655 assuming 1987/88 community charge - no safety net 222 spending

	Initia	1 commun	ity char	rge	£75		
	87/88	90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96
1 adult household 70% average RV							
CC Rate bill	0 459	75 350	112	148	185	222	
H/hold total	459	425	374	. 323	273	222	
2 adult household 100% average RV							
CC Rate bill	0 655	150	223	297			
H/hold total	655		375	250			
3 adult household 130% average RV			3 19	547	495	htt	
CC Rate bill	0 851	225	335	445 325	556	666	
H/hold total	851	876	488	771	718	666	
	Initial	communi					
	87/88	90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96
1 adult household 70% average RV							33/30
CC Rate bill H/hold total				161 157 318		222	
2 adult household 100% average RV							
CC Rate bill H/hold total		449	337	322 225 547	112	0	
3 adult household 130% average RV	033	049	570	244	445	444	
CC Rate bill	0 851			483 4		666	
H/hold total				775		666	
		COM	ridel	LIAIL			

LOCAL AUTHORITY - ELMBRIDGE 4 FLAR FRANSITION

verage rate bill per household (711 ) assuming 1987/88 community charge - no safety net (239 ) spending

	Initia	l communi			75		
	87/88	12.0				21/22	
	07/68	90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96
1 adult household 70% average RV						779	
cc	0	75	116	157	198	239	
Rate bill	498	396	296	198	98	0	
H/hold total	498	471	413	354	297	239	
2 adult household 100% average RV							
œ	0	150	232	314	396	478	
Rate bill	711	565	424	283	141	0	
H/hold total	711	715	656	597	537	478	
3 adult household 130% average RV							
CC	0	225	348	471	594	717	
Rate bill	924	735	551	367	1 184	- 0	
H/hold total	924	960	899	830	1 778	717	
	Initial	communit	y charge				
	87/88	90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96
1 adult household 70% average RV							
CC	0	100	135	170	204	239	
Rate bill	498	362	271	181	90	0	
H/hold total	498	462	406	350	295	239	
2 adult household 100% average RV							
cc	0	200	270	339	409	478	
Rate bill	711	517	388	259	129	0	
H/hold total	711	7.7	657	598	538	478	
3 adult household 130% average RV							
cc	.0	300	404	509	613	717	
Rate bill	924	672	504	- 336	168	0	
H/hold total	924	972		845			
			.00	340	101	71+	

TAITIVE DESIGNATION

LOCAL AUTHORITY - BARNSLEY 4 YEAR TRANSITION

'verage rate bill per household (328 ) assuming 1987/88 community charge - no safety net (264 ) spending

Initial community charge +75										
	87/88	90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96			
1 adult household 70% average RV										
cc	0	75	122	169	217	264				
Rate bill	230	126	95	63	31	0				
H/hold total	230	201	217	233	248	264				
2 adult household 100% average RV										
cc	0	150	244	339	4-33	528				
Rate bill	328	181	136	90	45	0				
H/hold total	328	331	380	429	470	1 528				
3 adult household 130% average RV										
cc	0	225	367	508	651	0 792				
Rate bill	426	235	176			9 0				
H/hold total	426	460	543	626	70	9 792	2			
	Initia	l communi	ter above	6100						
	IIII CIA.	r conmidit	ty charge	3 TT00						
	87/88	90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96			
1 adult household 70% average RV		10 10 10 10		92/93			95/96			
70% average RV		10 10 10 10		92/93	223	264	95/96			
70% average RV	87/88	90/91	91/92 141 69	92/93	223	264	95/96			
70% average RV	87/88	90/91	91/92	92/93	223	264	95/96			
70% average RV CC Rate bill	87/88 O 230	90/91 100 92	91/92 141 69	92/93 182 46	223 23 246	264	95/96			
70% average RV CC Rate bill H/hold total 2 adult household	87/88 O 230	90/91 100 92	91/92 141 69	92/93 182 46 228	223 23 246 446	264 0 264 528	95/96			
70% average RV CC Rate bill H/hold total  2 adult household 100% average RV	87/88 O 230 230	90/91 100 92 192	91/92 141 69 210	92/93 182 46 228	223 23 246 446 33	264 0 264 528 0	95/96			
70% average RV  CC Rate bill  H/hold total  2 adult household 100% average RV  CC	87/88 O 230 230	90/91 100 92 192	91/92 141 69 210	92/93 182 46 228	223 23 246 446	264 0 264 528	95/96			
70% average RV  CC Rate bill  H/hold total  2 adult household 100% average RV  CC Rate bill	87/88 0 230 230 230	90/91 100 92 192 200 132	91/92 141 69 210 282 99	92/93 182 46 228 364 66 430	223 23 246 446 33 479	264 0 264 528 0 528	95/96			
70% average RV  CC Rate bill  H/hold total  2 adult household 100% average RV  CC Rate bill  H/hold total  3 adult household	87/88 0 230 230 230	90/91 100 92 192 200 132	91/92 141 69 210 282 99	92/93 182 46 228 364 66 430	223 23 246 446 33 479	264 0 264 528 0 528	95/96			
70% average RV  CC Rate bill  H/hold total  2 adult household 100% average RV  CC Rate bill  H/hold total  3 adult household 130% average RV	87/88 0 230 230 230	90/91 100 92 192 200 132 332	91/92 141 69 210 282 99 381	92/93 182 46 228 364 66 430	223 23 246 446 33 479	264 0 264 528 0 528				

CONFIGENTIAL

# LOCAL AUTHORITY - CRAVERUENTIALEAR TRANSITION

\verage rate bill per household (28f ) assuming 1987/88 community charge - no safety net (186) spending

	Initial community charge £75								
	87/88	90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96		
1 adult household 70% average RV									
CC Rate bill	202	75	102	129	157	184			
H/hold total	202		77		26	0			
2 adult household 100% average RV					185	184			
CC Rate bill	288	150	204	259	313	368			
H/hold total	288	298	111	74 333	37 350	368			
3 adult household 130% average RV									
CC	0	225	307	388	470	552			
Rate bill	374	192	144	96	48	0			
H/hold total	374	447	451	485		SSZ			
	Initia	l communi	ty charge	e £100					
	87/88	90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96		
1 adult household 70% average RV									
CC Rate bill	202	100	121	142		184			
H/hold total	202	171	174	177		184			
2 adult household 100% average RV						266			
CC Rate bill	288	200	242 76	284		368			
H/hold total	288	301	318		351	368			
3 adult household 130% average RV						(()			
CC	0	300	363	426	489	225			
Rate bill	374	131	98		33	0			
H/hold total	374	431	138	492	522	552			
		* **	2 194	FIRT					

CONFIDENTIAL



ANNEX B

### SPECIAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR LONDON

- 1. The aim of the new arrangements is to provide
  - a 4 year transition generally, but
  - a 5 year transition in inner London.
- 2. In order to ensure as smooth a progression as possible from 1990/91 to the end of the transitional period, the changes in the overall burden of local taxation need to be phased in in equal steps, over 4 or 5 years as appropriate.
- 3. The benefit to inner London domestic taxpayers in 1990/91 is £409m. If this is phased out in equal steps over 5 years, the cost in each year will be as follows:

1990/91	£409m
1991/92	£327m
1992/93	£245m
1993/94	£164m
1994/95	£82m
1995/96	£Om

4. Overall, the cost of the safety net to domestic taxpayers outside inner London is also  $\pm 409 \mathrm{m}$  in 1990/91 (inevitably, as the safety net is intended to balance). If this cost is phased out over 4 years, the cost in each year is

1990/91	£409m
1991/92	£307m
1992/93	£205m
1993/94	£102m
1994/95	£Om

5. The additional cost of the special London arrangements would therefore be the difference between the amounts in paragraph 4 above, and those in paragraph 3. That is

1990/91	£Om
1991/92	£20m
1992/93	£40m
1993/94	£62m
1994/95	£82m

6. This could be portrayed as additional help from non-domestic rates (or from grant) to further ease the transition in London. It would, however, be felt as an increase in all community charge bills everywhere except inner London of the following amounts (unless, of course, the sums were found from the Exchequer - ie from national taxpayers).

1990/91	0p
1991/92	60p
1992/93	£1.17p
1993/94	£1.82p
1994/95	£2.40p

SPECIAL LONDON ARRANGEMENTS

Effect of DOE proposals on 2 adult household 100% of average RV

				87-88	90-91	91-92	92-93	93-94	94-95	95-96
CAMPEN	Dete			0/0	(50	100				
CAMDEN	Rates			843	658	493	329	164	0	0
	CC			0	200	508	818	1124	1434	1564
w/o spenal honor	Total	tax	bill	843 <b>843</b>	858 <b>858</b>	1001	1147	1288	1434	1564
GREENWICH	Rates			495	304	228	152	76	0	0
	CC			0	200	420	638	858	1076	1216
	Total	tax	bill	495	504	648	790	934	1076	1216
HACKNEY	Rates			766	580	435	290	145	0	0
	CC			0	200	468	734	1004	1270	1382
	Total	tax	bill	766	780	903	1024	1149	1270	1382
HAMMERSMITH	Rates			405	225	169	113	56	0	0
AND FULHAM	CC			0	200	358	516	676	834	930
	Total	tax	bill	405	425	527	629	732	834	930
ISLINGTON	Rates			553	361	272	182	90	0	0
	CC			0	200	372	542	718	888	966
	Total	tax	bill	553	561	644	724	808	888	966
KENSINGTON	Rates			606	450	338	226	112	0	0
AND CHELSEA				0	200	336	472	612	748	740
	Total	tax	bill	606	650	674	698	724	748	740

	~	^	R	-	ID	-	N.	T	IAI	г
1		a	НΝ	H	ш	11-	N		IA	l.
-4		U	43		10				II V	h

				87-88	90-91	91-92	92-93	93-94	94-95	95-96
LAMBETH	Rates			536	359	270	179	91	0	0
	CC			0	200	398	598	796	996	1094
	Total	tax	bil1	536	559	668	777	887	996	1094
LEWISHAM	Rates			683	498	374	250	124	0	0
	CC			0	200	456	712	1054	1232	1354
	Total	tax	bill	683	698	830	962	1178	1232	1354
SOUTHWARK	Rates			500	326	245	163	82	0	0
	CC			0	200	406	614	820	1028	1140
	Total	tax	bill	500	526	651	777	902	1028	1140
T HAMLETS	Rates			547	369	276	185	93	0	0
	CC			0	200	438	672	908	1144	1278
	Total	tax	bill	547	569	714	857	1001	1144	1278
WANDSWORTH	Rates			399	210	157	106	53	0	0
	CC			0	200	346	490	634	780	870
	Total	tax	bill	399	410	503	596	687	780	870
WESTMINSTER	Rates			810	657	493	328	164	0	0
	CC			0	200	360	522	684	846	762
	Total	tax	bill	810	857	853	850	848	846	792

SPECIAL LONDON ARRANGEMENTS

Effect of DOE proposals on 1, 2 and 3 adult households in Camden, Greenwich, Wandsworth, Westminster

		87-88	90-91	91-92	92-93	93-94	94-95	95-96
CAMDEN								
1 adult )	Rates	590	461	345	230	115	0	0
70% of RV)		0	100	254	409	562	717	782
	Total tax bill	590	561	599	639	677	717	782
	m arrangements		561	616	671	727	182	782
2 adults )	Rates	843	658	493	329	164	0	0
100% of RV)	CC	0	200	508	818	1124	1434	1564
	Total tax bill	843	858	1001	1147	1288	1434	1564
who hands	arrangements	843	828	1035	1211	1388	1564	1564
3 adults )	Rates	1096	855	641	428	213	0	0
130% of RV)	CC	0	300	762	1227	1686	2151	2346
	Total tax bill	1096	1155	1403	1655	1899	2151	2346
	n arrangements	1096	1155	1453	1751	2048	2346	2346
GREENWICH								
l adult )	Rates	347	213	160	106	53	0	0
70% of RV)	CC	0	100	210	319	429	538	608
	Total tax bill	347	313	370	425	482	538	608
2 adults )	Rates	495	304	228	152	76	0	0
100% of RV)		0	200	420	638	858	1076	1216
term in the second	Total tax bill	495	504	648	790			
	Total can bill	477	204	040	790	934	1076	1216
3 adults )	Rates	644	395	296	198	99	0	0
130% of RV)	CC	0	300	630	957	1287	1614	1824
	Total tax bill	644	695	926	1155	1386	1614	1824

	01	20	11	+	5	PR	17	-		
d	M	16	П	-,1		EN		в	$\Lambda$	M
3	UL	"	W		U	LI	V	8	$\boldsymbol{\pi}$	

				87-88	90-91	91-92	92-93	93-94	94-95	95-96
WANDSWORTH										
1 adult )	Rates			279	147	110	74	37	0	0
70% of RV)	CC			0	100	173	245	317	390	435
	Total	tax	bill	279	247	283	319	354	390	435
2 adults )	Rates			399	210	157	106	53	0	0
100% of RV)	CC			0	200	346	490	634	780	870
	Total	tax	bill	399	410	503	596	687	780	870
3 adults )	Rates			519	273	204	138	69	0	0
130% of RV)	CC			0	300	519	735	951	1170	1305
	Total	tax	bill	519	573	723	873	1020	1170	1305
WESTMINSTER										
1 adult )	Rates			567	460	345	230	115	0	0
70% of RV)	CC			0	100	180	261	342	423	396
	Total	tax	bill	567	560	525	491	457	423	396
2 adults )	Rates			810	657	493	328	164	0	0
100% of RV)	CC			0	200	360	522	684	846	792
	Total	tax	bil1	810	857	853	850	848	846	792
3 adults )	Rates			1053	854	641	426	213	0	0
130% of RV)	CC			0	30	540	783	1026	1269	1188
	Total	tax	bill	1053	884	1181	1209	1239	1269	1188

SPECIAL LONDON ARRANGEMENTS

Effect of DOE proposals on 1, 2 and 3 adult households in Camden, Greenwich, Wandsworth, Westminster

	87-88	90-91	91-92	92-93	93-94	94-95	95-96
CAMDEN							
1 adult ) Rates	590	461	345	230	115	0	0
70% of RV) CC	0	100	254	409	562	717	782
Who hadan arrangement	ALL DESCRIPTION OF	561 <b>56</b> 1	599 616	639	677 <b>727</b>	717 <b>182</b>	782 <b>782</b>
2 adults ) Rates	843	658	493	329	164	0	0
100% of RV) CC	0	200	508	818	1124	1434	1564
Total tax bill who handon arrangements		858 <b>858</b>	1001	1147	1288	1434 1569	1564 1564
3 adults ) Rates	1096	855	641	428	213	0	0
130% of RV) CC	0	300	762	1227	1686	2151	2346
Total tax bill	1096	1155	1403	1655	1899	2151	2346
Who bondon arrangements GREENWICH	1016	แรร	1453	1751	2048	2346	2346
1 adult ) Rates	347	213	160	106	53	0	0
70% of RV) CC	0	100	210	319	429	538	608
Total tax bill	347	313	370	425	482	538	608
2 adults ) Rates	495	304	228	152	76	0	0
100% of RV) CC	0	200	420	638	858	1076	1216
Total tax bill	495	504	648	790	934	1076	1216
3 adults ) Rates	644	395	296	198	99	0	0
130% of RV) CC	0	300	630	957	1287	1614	1824
Total tax bill	644	695	926	1155	1386	1614	1824

0	n	N	E	D	FI	V	TI	Δ	ı
	U	1 1			In I				1

				87-88	90-91	91-92	92-93	93-94	94-95	95-96
WANDSWORTH										
1 adult )	Rates			279	147	110	74	37	0	0
70% of RV)	CC			0	100	173	245		390	435
	Total	tax	bill	279	247	283	319	354	390	435
								FREE		
2 adults )	Rates			399	210	157	106	53	0	0
100% of RV)	CC			0	200	346	490	634	780	870
	Total	tax	bill	399	410	503	596	687	780	870
3 adults )	Rates			519	273	204	138	69	0	0
130% of RV)	CC			0	300	519	735	951	1170	1305
	Total	tax	bill	519	573	723	873	1020	1170	1305
WESTMINSTER										
1 adult )	Rates			567	460	345	230	115	0	0
70% of RV)	CC			0	100	180	261	342	423	396
	Total	tax	bil1	567	560	525	491	457	423	396
2 adults )	Rates			810	657	493	328	164	0	0
100% of RV)	CC			0	200	360	522	684	846	792
	Total	tax	bill	810	857	853	850	848	846	792
3 adults )	Rates			1053	854	641	426	213	0	0
130% of RV)	CC			0	30	540	783	1026	1269	1188
	Total	tax	bill	1053	884	1181	1209	1239	1269	1188

torce werk - 14-66 - 25-48

		^						HISOMECHAN
10+		10/	1				88085	
X CLA		6 42	100	4				192 of 187)
	O.C.	1	The state of the s	de	Chi Pi		15361	
			1.00	167	1			
0			15.20	14	OR S			C-acliber?
			007	64	200			
				V				
	exile							
					Ex St.			
								Sion !
	654							CVAL DE NOA
								( soluba i
CRT								
						1093		