PRIME MINISTER BRIEFING MEETING FOR THE TORONTO SUMMIT The Foreign Secretary, the Chancellor of the Exchequer, Sir John Fretwell (FCO), Sir Geoffrey Littler (HM Treasury) and Sir Rodric Braithwaite (FCO) will be attending your briefing meeting tomorrow at 0945 hours. I enclose, as background: FLAG A: Steering brief (in the folder) FLAG B: Notes for your Opening Statement (slightly revised from the version you saw earlier to take account of the Chancellor's comments) FLAG C: The draft of the Political Declaration Summit Message You might first have a word about the overall message which we want to come from the Summit. The challenge here is to dispel the image of "the most boring Summit yet" and to concoct a coherent and interesting message. One possibility is as follows: following the fundamental shift in economic policies in the Eighties, macro-policy (prudent, fiscal and monetary policies, etc) and micro-policy (open markets, deregulation, competition, privatisation, etc.) are now settled. The task now is to sustain the momentum of implementation and, just as important, to extend the policies into new areas. Obvious areas are: agriculture; trade;

- 3 agreement to make concrete progress towards agreement at December's MTM. The Foreign Secretary, and Chancellor to speak. 3. Debt You might ask the Chancellor of the Exchequer to explain how the Summit can bring coherence to the various debt proposals which his initiative has spawned. Political Our objective in the political declaration is straightforward: secure an agreed analysis and stance on East/West and the Middle East and get agreement to some robust language on terrorism, together with support for a programme of action in ICAO. The draft Political Declaration at Flag C provides language, with some reserves, endorsed by Sherpas. There should be no difficulty on the East/West item, but some countries are resisting including in the Political Declaration passages on the Middle East and terrorism. I expect that there would be agreement, without much difficulty, to include the Middle East material, but the French may prove sticky on terrorism for theological reasons (not institutionalising the Summit). You might conclude the discussion by asking the Foreign Secretary and the Chancellor whether there are any other particular points which they want to raise now. N.L.W. N. L. Wicks 14 June 1988 DG2APM