## PRIME MINISTER

## COMMUNITY CHARGE: PENDLE

John Lee is coming to see you tomorrow to talk about his future and about the impact of the community charge in Pendle.

Mark Lennox-Boyd has prepared a note on the former.

Two distinct problems can be identified with the community charge.

- (a) There are those authorities whose long run community charge is below the current rate bill per head. Their interest is to get to the long run position as fast as possible and they will resent the safety net if it delays this process.
- (b) There are those authorities whose long run community charge is above the current rate bill per head. There are numerous such authorities in Lancashire. In truth those low rated authorities have been getting a very favourable deal out of the current arrangements and it is arguable that the new system will be much fairer as it will eliminate the hidden transfers. Nevertheless, there is a difficult transitional problem.

Pendle is in the second category. A comparison of columns 2 and 1 of the attached shows that they face eventually a rise of £100 per head. The published safety net implied no move towards the long run position in the first year. Most of the complaints about this option were from the long run gainers who did not see any of their gains unless they were over £75. Column 8 shows the effect of asking all authorities to pay £26. Column 6 shows the effect of having no losses i.e. no increases over the 1989-90 rate bill in real terms, but with the gains held back in a different way. Thus Pendle's position is exactly the same as in the published safety net.

## Line to take

You recognise the particular difficulties of authorities such as Pendle and a great deal of work is being done to look at alternative safety nets. It is most unlikely that the version which emerges will be the one which has been used publicly for illustrative purposes, but for the moment you cannot say what the outcome will be. [Adjusting safety nets does not, of course, do anything to modify the long run position to which Pendle will eventually have to move].

m

AT

ANDREW TURNBULL
3 July 1989

AEF £23bn. Total Standard Spending £32.8bn DOE E(U.S.) Standard Spending Assessment Package
Inner Standard Spending Assessment Package
Inner Standard Spending Assessment Package don charges reduced by £100m ILEA specific grant

		col 2	COL 3	COL 4	COL 5	COL 6	COL 7	COL 8	COL 9
	COL 1	COL 2	Old net		E(LF)(89)3	No Losses	£25 loss	ALL	Change
	1989/90 AV	Long	£74 Limit	£39 Limit	Proposed	19%	43%	adults	with 1%
	rate bill	run			safety	of gains	of gains	pay	rise in
	per adult	charge	No Losses	LZJ (OSSES	net	allowed	_	£26 to net	spending
	+ 4%								
HUMBERS IDE									
Beverley	317	302	317	317	302	314	310	329	8
Boothferry	220	309	220	245	245	220	245	246	9
Cleethorpes	264	332	264	289	289	264	289	290	9
Glanford	259	286	259	284	284	259	284	285	8
	251	322	251	276	276	251	276	277	9
Great Grimsby	262	288	262	287	287	262	287	288	8
Holderness	233	330	233	258	258	233	258	260	9
Kingston upon Hull	242	318	242	267	267	242 .	267	268	9
East Yorkshire	284	371	284	309	309	284	309	310	9
Scunthorpe	204								
ISLE OF WIGHT						2/5	250	272	7
Medina	245	250	245						7
South Wight	269	265	269	269	265	268	267	291	
KENT	239	198	239	237	214	231	220	224	7
Ashford	224	199	224		203	219	212	225	7
Canterbury	218	234	218			218	234	245	7
Dartford		187	198			196	193	214	7
Dover	198 211	186	211			206	199	213	7
Gillingham	232	193	232				214	219	7
Gravesham	231	179	231				207	206	7
Maidstone	205	163	205			197	185	189	7
Rochester upon Medw	257	192	257		226	244	226	218	7
Sevenoaks	278	229	278		7 251	268	255	255	7
Shepway	198	203			3 203	198	. 203	3 225	7
Swale	234	209			213	3 229.	222	2 235	7
Thanet		223			223	3 228	220	5 250	7
Tonbridge and Malli	245	190			217	7 234	. 219	216	7
Tunbridge Wells	243	170							
LANCASHIRE						407	20	8 209	8
Blackburn	183	234							8
Blackpool	239	290				language .	26 20		8
Burnley	176	259							
Chorley	228	238							8
Fylde	272	250							
Hyndburn	176	256							
Lancaster	211	253	21				23		the state of the s
Pendle	169	270	16			4 169	19		
Preston	233	220	23			_	22		
Ribble Valley	215	245				0. 215	24		
Rossendale	199	27				199	22		
South Ribble	228	249	2			9 228	24		
West Lancashire	275	239	27			9 228 11 . 268 19 239	25	8 265 9 265	
Wyre	239	24	2	59 24	19 24	9 239	24	9 265	
						10.7			
						1			