



CCRU

PRIME MINISTER

COMMUNITY CHARGES; STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS

file with R

David Hunt wrote round to all members of E(LG) on 21 August setting out our proposals for Standard Spending Assessments (SSAs) ie our assessment of the appropriate level of expenditure for each local authority under the new Community Charge System.

I have made a few changes in response to points raised by colleagues and these are reflected in the attached note setting out in summary form the proposals for the new assessments. I have also taken account of the agreement on the split of Total Standard Spending between services.

There is only one issue on which there remains disagreement. Cecil Parkinson and John MacGregor have questioned our proposed treatment of capital receipts. I remain convinced, however, that our present proposal strikes the right balance between recognising the position of individual authorities by using Credit Approvals as the basis of the assessment whilst providing an incentive to dispose of surplus assets.

I also attach a Table which sets out the implications for community charges of our proposed methodology. This is the package for standard spending assessments which was used throughout the E(LF) discussions of the safety net, provision for Total Standard Spending (TSS) and provision of AEF, which were announced on 19 July.

It is also the package which has underpinned our recent discussions of financing of the safety net and of transitional relief. Although we cannot yet say precisely what the cost of either of these proposals will be, because we do not have final data for SSAs or numbers on the community charge register, we do know that changes in the SSA methodology could significantly change the cost of either proposal. This is a strong argument for sticking with the package we have used throughout.



We must agree the methodology now, as we are working to a very tight timetable for the Settlement. We must make the Settlement in mid-January. To do so we need to consult local authorities on our detailed proposals for SSAs in early November. I must therefore ask for your agreement, and that of colleagues, to this proposed package by 16 October.

I am copying this letter to members of E(LG) and to Sir Robin Butler.

RB

*CP (approved by the Secretary of State
and signed in his absence).*
10 October 1989

PROPOSALS FOR STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS

The package of standard spending assessments now proposed broadly follows the original Government proposals put to the local authority associations in December 1988. Standard Spending Assessments (SSAs) are considerably simpler and more understandable than the old Grant Related Expenditure Assessments, (GRES). The proposed package provides the basis for a fair distribution of grant between authorities, with the advantage of year to year stability.

The number of separate service assessments has been reduced from 63 under the present GRES to 13 assessments in seven main service blocks. The proposals for each block are outlined below.

EDUCATION

There will be five elements of the education assessment. These relate to the under 5's, primary education, secondary education under sixteen, pupils over school leaving age, and adult education.

The assessments for pupils of school age will depend mainly on numbers of pupils with extra allowance for the cost of educating children with additional educational needs; for the higher cost of education in sparse areas; for the cost of free school meals; and for extra costs in London and the South East based on evidence of market wage levels.

The assessments for under 5's and adult education will take account of numbers in the appropriate age bands; of additional educational needs and of higher labour costs in London and the South East.

Over the education block as a whole, 24% of the assessment will be distributed in respect of additional educational need giving help to education authorities with the higher costs associated with inner urban areas.

PERSONAL SOCIAL SERVICES

There will be three separate personal social services assessments. These will consist of one for children's services; for services to the elderly and for services to the remainder of the population.

Assessments for children's services will take account of the higher provision needed in areas with particular social problems such as overcrowding and low income, of the higher cost of dealing with children from more deprived areas; and of higher labour costs in London and the South East.

Assessments for the elderly will take account of people over retirement age; numbers of the elderly who are very old and in need of extra support; and of the incidence of low incomes. There will be allowance for the higher cost of support for the more vulnerable groups for higher labour costs in London and the South East; and for private sector provision of residential care.

For social services to the remainder of the population such as the mentally or physically handicapped, the assessment will depend on a number of indicators of social conditions.

POLICE

The assessment for the Metropolitan Police will be set equal to its budget as approved by the Home Secretary. For other police authorities the assessment will depend on the size of their police establishment with an extra allowance for high labour costs in the South East.

FIRE AND CIVIL DEFENCE

The assessment will depend on population, the area of the authority with high fire risk, density of population and on numbers of calls answered by the fire authority.

HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE

The assessment will depend on lengths of road in each authority with an allowance for higher costs for: principal roads and urban roads; roads with high traffic flows; density of population along those roads; winter maintenance; and higher labour costs in London and the South East.

OTHER SERVICES BLOCK

The assessment will cover all other services provided by local authorities. It will depend on population, including an extra allowance for commuters and tourists, and allow for extra costs in: urban areas (measured by density); rural areas (measured by sparsity); areas with poor social conditions; and for high labour costs in London and the South East. Within the block there will be separate provision for land drainage, coast protection, rail services in urban areas and interest receipts.

CAPITAL FINANCING

The bulk of the assessment will be in relation to past GREs for capital financing but there will be further allowance for the cost of financing new capital expenditure on the basis of credit approvals. The debt charges in the assessment will be based on an average interest rate and on the minimum requirement to repay outstanding debt. Capital receipts set aside to redeem debt will be assumed to be in line with the remainder of this assessment.

EFFECT ON DISTRIBUTION

Standard Spending Assessments will inevitably differ from GREs for individual authorities and classes of authority. There are significant changes for individual service block apart from simplification such as focussing more on provision for children with additional educational need. But taking all the services together the changes are small for classes of authority. Standard Spending Assessments for shire areas are only about half a percentage point lower than GREs on an equivalent basis. Within this the counties have a reduction of less than 2% and the districts an increase of about 8%. Metropolitan areas have a reduction of about 2% and London an increase of 5% - mainly to inner London but also some increase for outer London.

STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY CHARGES

The Standard Spending Assessments in this Table are based on our proposed package and the agreed split between services within TSS of £32.8bn. They are not final - they will change when new data is incorporated.

- Column 1: the Standard Spending Assessment in £ million for 1990/91.
- Column 2: the SSA in Column 1, expressed as an amount per adult.
- Column 3: the 1989/90 domestic rate bill per adult uprated by the GDP deflator (4%) - rating authorities only;
- Column 4: safety netted 1990/91 Community Charges with the safety net package announced on 9 July, including additional relief for low rateable value authorities and education authorities in inner London - charging authorities only;
- Column 5: full 1990/91 community charges before safety nets for this SSA package with Local Authority spending equal to TSS (£32.8bn) - charging authorities only.
- Column 6: illustrative safety netted community charges for 1989/90 as published in July using 1989/90 distribution of Grant Related Expenditure between individual authorities.

Note: The difference between the community charge in England shown in Column 4 of £269 and in Column 5 of £274 results from the removal of the support for low rateable value and educational authorities in the longer term.

DATE: 9-OCT-89

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY CHARGES

	COL 1	COL 2	COL 3	COL 4	COL 5	COL 6
	Standard spending assessment	Standard spending assessment per adult	1989/90 average rate bill per adult plus 4%	Safety netted community charge	Long run community charge	Published 1989/90 safety netted community charge
	(£m)	(£/adult)	(£)	(£)	(£)	(£)
TOTAL England	29,779.0	827	280	269	274	274
TOTAL Shire districts	2,095.2	<u>93</u>	271	261	251	260
TOTAL Shire counties	14,600.9	<u>652</u>				
TOTAL Metropolitan districts	6,769.7	<u>807</u>	273	270	295	288
TOTAL Metropolitan Police Authorities	501.5	58				
TOTAL Metropolitan Fire Authorities	229.3	27				
TOTAL inner London boroughs	2,068.1	<u>1,148</u>	343	273	410	290
TOTAL outer London boroughs	2,735.5	<u>805</u>	324	317	305	323
Metropolitan Police	585.0	105				
London Fire & CD Authority	192.4	37				
TOTAL Shire areas	16,696.0	745	271	261	251	260
TOTAL Metropolitan areas	7,500.5	894	273	270	295	288
TOTAL London	5,581.1	1,073	<u>331</u>	302	342	312

DATE: 9-OCT-89

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY CHARGES

	COL 1	COL 2	COL 3	COL 4	COL 5	COL 6
	Standard spending assessment	Standard spending assessment per adult	1989/90 average rate bill per adult plus 4%	Safety netted community charge	Long run community charge	Published 1989/90 safety netted community charge
	(£m)	(£/adult)	(£)	(£)	(£)	(£)
GREATER LONDON						
City of London	42.1	10,735	541	346	330	464
Camden	146.6	990	446	359	341	361
Greenwich	150.8	931	285	243	544	238
Hackney	205.3	1,486	351	279	328	311
Hammersmith and Fulham	128.2	1,070	373	347	540	336
Islington	156.4	1,193	446	379	436	496
Kensington and Chelsea	98.2	908	393	283	238	332
Lambeth	237.8	1,273	309	275	399	297
Lewisham	170.0	962	275	240	478	215
Southwark	199.6	1,206	281	250	445	256
Tower Hamlets	167.1	1,418	282	229	551	153
Wandsworth	195.4	967	202	177	359	148
Westminster	170.6	1,206	587	280	249	428
Barking and Dagenham	90.4	805	244	269	368	261
Barnet	160.9	687	361	310	239	290
Bexley	114.7	679	247	272	295	255
Brent	216.8	1,113	491	474	449	597
Bromley	143.2	616	255	260	260	209
Croydon	190.3	785	267	220	157	222
Ealing	200.6	885	321	315	306	357
Enfield	158.8	793	316	298	273	298
Haringey	166.4	1,127	532	557	561	642
Harrow	116.0	760	327	299	261	292
Havering	116.5	637	257	282	297	234
Hillingdon	124.4	704	328	353	406	342
Hounslow	124.8	855	373	363	350	382
Kingston-upon-Thames	72.4	698	324	322	319	299
Merton	95.3	752	285	303	303	261
Newham	195.0	1,289	356	336	309	438
Redbridge	128.9	724	231	238	238	215
Richmond-upon-Thames	75.7	583	357	331	297	299
Sutton	86.9	665	309	305	299	281
Waltham Forest	157.9	972	325	302	270	345
London Fire & CD Authority	192.4	37				
Metropolitan Police	585.0	105				

DATE: 9-OCT-89

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY CHARGES

	COL 1 Standard spending assessment (£m)	COL 2 Standard spending assessment per adult (£/adult)	COL 3 1989/90 average rate bill per adult plus 4% (£)	COL 4 Safety netted community charge (£)	COL 5 Long run community charge (£)	COL 6 Published 1989/90 safety netted community charge (£)
GREATER MANCHESTER						
Bolton	159.9	820	242	254	254	255
Bury	92.2	704	308	325	325	306
Manchester	341.4	1,012	322	290	247	404
Oldham	140.6	862	237	259	273	277
Rochdale	125.2	826	262	277	356	288
Salford	148.3	824	286	295	295	300
Stockport	149.1	674	313	300	282	286
Tameside	123.9	759	253	274	320	289
Trafford	119.7	727	287	271	249	268
Wigan	166.0	722	269	294	356	295
Greater Manchester Police Authority	115.9	60				
Greater Manchester Fire & CD Authority	54.5	28				
MERSEYSIDE						
Knowsley	115.4	994	300	283	260	311
Liverpool	344.9	961	302	294	282	338
St Helens	106.8	757	262	287	329	276
Sefton	159.3	702	288	285	281	269
Wirral	195.2	771	381	373	364	376
Merseyside Police Authority	78.1	71				
Merseyside Fire & CD Authority	36.2	33				
SOUTH YORKSHIRE						
Barnsley	110.7	661	221	221	378	218
Doncaster	157.4	724	258	270	373	277
Rotherham	136.9	727	249	255	362	254
Sheffield	289.7	699	278	288	391	306
South Yorkshire Police Authority	49.3	50				
South Yorkshire Fire & CD Authority	22.5	23				

DATE: 9-OCT-89

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY CHARGES

	COL 1 Standard spending assessment (£m)	COL 2 Standard spending assessment per adult (£/adult)	COL 3 1989/90 average rate bill per adult plus 4% (£)	COL 4 Safety netted community charge (£)	COL 5 Long run community charge (£)	COL 6 Published 1989/90 safety netted community charge (£)
TYNE AND WEAR						
Gateshead	118.8	747	248	255	339	255
Newcastle upon Tyne	173.0	798	279	304	345	331
North Tyneside	111.0	749	313	338	360	355
South Tyneside	98.3	820	236	252	315	246
Sunderland	177.5	804	217	226	293	225
Northumbria Police Authority	58.2	53				
Tyne and Wear Fire & CD Authority	24.7	29				
WEST MIDLANDS						
Birmingham	715.6	967	281	245	197	307
Coventry	197.5	856	311	302	289	315
Dudley	157.2	678	302	285	263	277
Sandwell	195.6	871	279	253	217	267
Solihull	106.9	695	318	272	209	264
Walsall	158.7	807	305	288	264	295
Wolverhampton	175.9	939	306	262	201	290
West Midlands Police Authority	112.8	57				
West Midlands Fire & CD Authority	53.6	27				
WEST YORKSHIRE						
Bradford	313.4	931	218	218	288	256
Calderdale	111.8	760	236	236	389	268
Kirklees	222.2	794	217	217	336	223
Leeds	393.4	729	223	245	262	252
Wakefield	160.2	683	237	243	354	245
West Yorkshire Police Authority	87.3	57				
West Yorkshire Fire & CD Authority	37.8	25				

DATE: 9-OCT-89

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY CHARGES

	COL 1	COL 2	COL 3	COL 4	COL 5	COL 6
	Standard spending assessment	Standard spending assessment per adult	1989/90 average rate bill per adult plus 4%	Safety netted community charge	Long run community charge	Published 1989/90 safety netted community charge
	(£m)	(£/adult)	(£)	(£)	(£)	(£)
AVON	449.6	616				
Bath	6.7	100	255	280	297	281
Bristol	34.6	117	298	323	345	334
Kingswood	5.3	77	263	270	270	268
Northavon	7.5	79	299	292	283	295
Wansdyke	4.3	70	278	296	296	277
Woodspring	11.1	78	305	299	291	302
BEDFORDSHIRE	276.1	709				
North Bedfordshire	10.9	107	310	274	225	291
Luton	18.1	150	361	300	225	317
Mid Bedfordshire	6.2	74	316	287	247	301
South Bedfordshire	6.9	83	364	325	272	336
BERKSHIRE	375.1	680				
Bracknell	6.3	91	305	274	231	270
Newbury	8.6	84	299	248	179	265
Reading	12.9	127	274	252	221	280
Slough	12.4	172	265	207	132	251
Windsor and Maidenhead	8.5	86	349	301	237	290
Wokingham	7.4	68	340	281	206	298
BUCKINGHAMSHIRE	324.4	706				
Aylesbury Vale	9.9	93	288	245	187	261
South Bucks	3.3	69	458	293	218	301
Chiltern	4.5	66	463	309	234	317
Milton Keynes	12.8	107	331	283	217	303
Wycombe	10.0	86	386	288	213	309
CAMBRIDGESHIRE	313.2	654				
Cambridge	8.7	113	323	288	241	297
East Cambridgeshire	3.6	81	235	224	210	230
Fenland	5.3	97	223	222	221	229
Huntingdonshire	9.2	88	250	229	202	238
Peterborough	11.5	104	274	264	250	270
South Cambridgeshire	6.9	79	297	252	189	246
CHESHIRE	474.9	663				
Chester	8.6	95	303	287	265	275
Congleton	4.4	67	280	274	267	262
Crewe and Nantwich	7.1	97	308	296	281	285
Ellesmere Port and Neston	5.9	100	292	285	275	272
Halton	9.3	105	259	273	273	255
Macclesfield	8.8	75	357	316	262	292
Vale Royal	6.7	80	267	264	260	253
Warrington	12.3	90	266	277	277	262

DATE: 9-OCT-89

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY CHARGES

	COL 1	COL 2	COL 3	COL 4	COL 5	COL 6
	Standard spending assessment	Standard spending assessment per adult	1989/90 average rate bill per adult plus 4%	Safety netted community charge	Long run community charge	Published 1989/90 safety netted community charge
	(£m)	(£/adult)	(£)	(£)	(£)	(£)
CLEVELAND	336.7	823				
Hartlepool	8.4	126	247	263	312	278
Langbaugh-on-Tees	10.0	93	308	333	351	344
Middlesbrough	14.7	141	275	300	331	315
Stockton-on-Tees	12.5	96	298	314	314	324
CORNWALL	232.6	666				
Caradon	4.6	82	220	231	231	229
Carrick	5.7	91	229	237	237	233
Kerrier	6.3	95	194	216	228	222
North Cornwall	5.1	94	220	227	227	229
Penwith	4.5	99	205	225	228	220
Restormel	5.7	88	205	225	225	218
CUMBRIA	245.5	655				
Allerdale	6.5	87	197	197	292	196
Barrow in Furness	5.1	91	198	198	328	199
Carlisle	7.9	101	227	240	282	234
Copeland	4.7	88	191	191	303	196
Eden	3.2	92	208	209	275	206
South Lakeland	6.4	82	249	274	292	269
DERBYSHIRE	447.4	639				
Amber Valley	6.2	73	249	274	326	272
Bolsover	3.9	74	225	227	352	229
Chesterfield	6.2	80	257	282	349	283
Derby	19.8	123	311	311	310	302
Erewash	6.6	82	265	290	331	295
High Peak	5.3	84	254	279	338	282
North East Derbyshire	4.7	64	277	302	358	303
South Derbyshire	4.1	78	281	306	316	295
Derbyshire Dales	4.4	85	297	322	326	306
DEVON	484.3	619				
East Devon	7.1	77	241	238	235	224
Exeter	8.0	105	216	237	237	217
North Devon	5.9	93	185	206	229	210
Plymouth	23.8	123	217	222	222	217
South Hams	5.0	86	257	249	239	245
Teignbridge	6.9	82	225	238	238	228
Mid Devon	4.4	93	193	218	233	222
Torbay	8.1	87	258	283	302	247
Torrige	3.7	93	169	169	232	177
West Devon	3.0	88	205	229	229	221

DATE: 9-OCT-89

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY CHARGES

	COL 1	COL 2	COL 3	COL 4	COL 5	COL 6
	Standard spending assessment	Standard spending assessment per adult	1989/90 average rate bill per adult plus 4%	Safety netted community charge	Long run community charge	Published 1989/90 safety netted community charge
	(£m)	(£/adult)	(£)	(£)	(£)	(£)
DORSET	289.4	567				
Bournemouth	14.8	119	254	252	248	234
Christchurch	2.2	67	305	280	245	251
North Dorset	3.5	86	216	210	202	209
Poole	7.8	78	292	266	231	248
Purbeck	2.9	83	227	219	207	212
West Dorset	5.8	88	222	217	211	211
Weymouth and Portland	4.5	91	203	228	233	212
East Dorset	3.7	60	317	286	244	269
DURHAM	304.4	668				
Chester-Le-Street	2.8	69	237	262	294	256
Darlington	8.2	108	248	273	294	270
Derwentside	5.6	85	209	209	314	210
Durham	5.3	80	227	252	292	253
Easington	6.4	89	200	200	298	201
Sedgefield	5.7	86	225	225	335	223
Teesdale	1.8	96	183	183	243	173
Wear Valley	4.8	98	205	205	324	207
EAST SUSSEX	325.6	589				
Brighton	14.5	130	335	325	312	322
Eastbourne	6.4	99	343	306	256	289
Hastings	8.2	130	269	249	223	252
Hove	9.7	132	290	259	216	256
Lewes	5.1	72	309	275	229	275
Rother	5.7	85	325	282	223	280
Wealden	7.6	74	289	263	228	267
ESSEX	763.9	661				
Basildon	9.9	85	434	399	351	411
Braintree	7.3	84	302	269	224	277
Brentwood	3.9	71	408	396	380	367
Castle Point	4.9	75	339	294	232	291
Chelmsford	9.0	79	371	301	226	305
Colchester	9.6	87	291	263	225	269
Epping Forest	6.9	79	414	334	259	314
Harlow	5.9	108	425	418	407	413
Maldon	3.2	80	327	283	222	291
Rochford	4.0	71	363	312	243	305
Southend-on-Sea	14.1	111	357	311	247	308
Tendring	8.4	83	310	281	242	283
Thurrock	8.5	92	365	340	305	341
Uttlesford	4.0	81	363	300	225	313

DATE: 9-OCT-89

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY CHARGES

	COL 1	COL 2	COL 3	COL 4	COL 5	COL 6
	Standard spending assessment	Standard spending assessment per adult	1989/90 average rate bill per adult plus 4%	Safety netted community charge	Long run community charge	Published 1989/90 safety netted community charge
	(£m)	(£/adult)	(£)	(£)	(£)	(£)
GLOUCESTERSHIRE	250.0	627				
Cheltenham	7.2	108	280	270	257	253
Cotswold	4.8	84	282	262	235	260
Forest of Dean	4.8	83	201	226	236	228
Gloucester	7.5	110	231	231	229	224
Stroud	6.3	75	251	249	247	247
Tewkesbury	4.8	72	270	251	225	239
HAMPSHIRE	755.4	651				
Basingstoke and Deane	9.3	92	249	206	149	216
East Hampshire	5.8	77	287	243	183	250
Eastleigh	5.1	68	282	245	194	242
Fareham	5.1	69	287	245	189	244
Gosport	5.3	93	245	223	193	221
Hart	4.4	71	314	265	198	262
Havant	8.6	98	280	238	181	237
New Forest	10.2	82	264	233	192	236
Portsmouth	20.1	139	205	204	201	213
Rushmoor	6.2	106	231	207	175	207
Southampton	19.2	127	221	208	189	212
Test Valley	5.9	78	262	223	169	224
Winchester	6.0	83	293	247	185	250
HEREFORD AND WORCESTER	318.8	635				
Bromsgrove	4.8	69	264	230	184	218
Hereford	3.3	89	185	182	178	167
Leominster	3.1	104	176	171	164	180
Malvern Hills	5.5	82	258	231	195	226
Redditch	5.2	97	270	247	216	242
South Herefordshire	3.6	92	189	177	161	178
Worcester	5.5	91	259	241	216	227
Wychavon	5.8	77	280	245	197	232
Wyre Forest	5.7	81	242	232	219	223
HERTFORDSHIRE	480.1	642				
Broxbourne	5.0	80	326	300	265	309
Dacorum	8.7	88	375	323	253	335
East Hertfordshire	6.8	76	336	309	272	324
Hertsmere	5.7	84	405	359	297	362
North Hertfordshire	7.3	87	374	328	265	338
St Albans	8.2	83	389	332	257	339
Stevenage	5.1	93	386	360	324	376
Three Rivers	4.5	73	406	351	277	360
Watford	7.3	128	340	305	258	334
Welwyn Hatfield	5.6	77	417	382	335	397

DATE: 9-OCT-89

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY CHARGES

	COL 1	COL 2	COL 3	COL 4	COL 5	COL 6
	Standard spending assessment	Standard spending assessment per adult	1989/90 average rate bill per adult plus 4%	Safety netted community charge	Long run community charge	Published 1989/90 safety netted community charge
	(£m)	(£/adult)	(£)	(£)	(£)	(£)
HUMBERSIDE	459.5	721				
Beverley	5.8	68	317	317	317	306
Boothferry	4.8	102	220	227	311	236
Cleethorpes	4.9	94	264	289	341	298
Glanford	5.5	104	259	284	297	294
Great Grimsby	7.4	111	251	276	326	278
Holderness	3.2	86	262	287	306	288
Kingston upon Hull	27.8	149	233	233	325	228
East Yorkshire	5.1	81	242	256	337	265
Scunthorpe	4.8	105	284	309	374	308
ISLE OF WIGHT	61.3	615				
Medina	5.4	97	245	249	249	252
South Wight	3.9	88	269	269	269	266
KENT	770.4	672				
Ashford	6.4	91	239	219	191	232
Canterbury	9.4	94	224	213	197	223
Dartford	5.7	94	218	236	236	229
Dover	7.6	94	198	194	188	207
Gillingham	7.3	104	211	199	183	207
Gravesham	6.8	99	232	216	193	228
Maidstone	9.3	91	231	209	180	221
Rochester upon Medway	12.2	113	205	182	151	193
Sevenoaks	6.4	79	257	231	196	235
Shepway	6.9	100	278	255	224	257
Swale	8.0	94	198	205	205	216
Thanet	10.5	107	234	223	208	233
Tonbridge and Malling	6.1	79	229	227	223	232
Tunbridge Wells	6.8	91	245	223	192	230
LANCASHIRE	736.2	704				
Blackburn	16.4	166	183	183	237	182
Blackpool	14.3	124	239	264	292	251
Burnley	8.4	134	176	176	265	173
Chorley	5.8	81	228	247	247	238
Fylde	4.5	78	272	268	262	252
Hyndburn	6.7	113	176	176	266	177
Lancaster	9.8	97	211	236	264	237
Pendle	7.0	111	169	169	277	173
Preston	13.8	146	233	228	222	263
Ribble Valley	3.0	72	215	240	262	236
Rosendale	4.5	95	199	199	288	199
South Ribble	5.4	73	228	253	261	243
West Lancashire	6.9	87	275	264	249	253
Wyre	6.9	85	239	257	257	239

DATE: 9-OCT-89

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY CHARGES

	COL 1	COL 2	COL 3	COL 4	COL 5	COL 6
	Standard spending assessment	Standard spending assessment per adult	1989/90 average rate bill per adult plus 4%	Safety netted community charge	Long run community charge	Published 1989/90 safety netted community charge
	(£m)	(£/adult)	(£)	(£)	(£)	(£)
LEICESTERSHIRE	456.7	693				
Blaby	4.2	67	266	254	239	249
Charnwood	8.2	74	265	247	224	236
Harborough	3.5	70	307	286	258	277
Hinckley and Bosworth	5.0	70	257	251	242	246
Leicester	38.2	187	232	257	277	274
Melton	2.6	81	258	251	242	242
North West Leicestershire	4.7	77	258	259	259	256
Oadby and Wigston	2.8	71	281	271	257	256
Rutland	2.1	80	243	237	227	241
LINCOLNSHIRE	295.0	669				
Boston	4.0	100	208	208	208	204
East Lindsey	9.2	103	204	203	202	205
Lincoln	6.8	113	199	216	217	208
North Kesteven	4.9	76	205	208	208	210
South Holland	4.9	96	204	213	213	214
South Kesteven	7.0	90	222	219	214	209
West Lindsey	5.2	90	200	209	209	218
NORFOLK	353.9	623				
Breckland	6.7	85	223	221	219	225
Broadland	5.2	66	253	240	224	237
Great Yarmouth	6.7	102	222	241	241	235
North Norfolk	6.6	90	228	225	221	228
Norwich	10.9	120	256	254	250	258
South Norfolk	5.8	75	251	244	234	237
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	9.9	98	203	212	212	216
NORTHAMPTONSHIRE	296.8	709				
Corby	4.3	116	274	263	249	262
Daventry	3.8	83	303	281	251	276
East Northamptonshire	4.1	84	233	228	222	237
Kettering	5.3	95	246	243	239	250
Northampton	14.2	108	296	290	281	287
South Northamptonshire	4.0	79	293	259	213	262
Wellingborough	4.8	98	242	232	218	239
NORTHUMBERLAND	141.4	617				
Alnwick	2.2	98	242	267	311	274
Berwick-upon-Tweed	1.8	84	231	239	314	253
Blyth Valley	4.7	82	271	296	357	304
Castle Morpeth	3.1	78	303	303	302	302
Tynedale	3.5	82	257	282	306	286
Wansbeck	4.1	90	238	241	358	253

DATE: 9-OCT-89

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY CHARGES

	COL 1	COL 2	COL 3	COL 4	COL 5	COL 6
	Standard spending assessment	Standard spending assessment per adult	1989/90 average rate bill per adult plus 4%	Safety netted community charge	Long run community charge	Published 1989/90 safety netted community charge
	(£m)	(£/adult)	(£)	(£)	(£)	(£)
NORTH YORKSHIRE	333.2	612				
Craven	3.2	85	197	212	248	215
Hambleton	4.8	82	226	247	247	239
Harrogate	9.1	80	260	281	281	263
Richmondshire	3.4	89	187	212	245	216
Ryedale	5.1	73	211	236	260	237
Scarborough	7.7	94	204	221	276	225
Selby	5.5	82	205	230	267	230
York	8.5	108	187	194	246	194
NOTTINGHAMSHIRE	513.6	671				
Ashfield	5.9	73	206	216	266	208
Bassetlaw	7.0	87	228	253	267	243
Broxtowe	6.1	74	258	268	268	251
Gedling	6.8	80	274	269	263	254
Mansfield	6.3	84	225	249	286	243
Newark and Sherwood	7.2	92	249	257	257	260
Nottingham	31.9	153	234	241	241	247
Rushcliffe	5.6	73	289	273	252	262
OXFORDSHIRE	248.8	574				
Cherwell	7.8	87	269	250	223	244
Oxford	11.7	133	294	257	207	266
South Oxfordshire	7.8	78	321	280	224	274
Vale of White Horse	6.1	74	302	264	211	261
West Oxfordshire	5.7	80	272	248	215	251
SHROPSHIRE	207.9	699				
Bridgnorth	3.3	84	228	218	206	225
North Shropshire	3.6	87	200	221	221	224
Oswestry	2.1	84	202	227	238	237
Shrewsbury and Atcham	5.5	80	251	245	236	244
South Shropshire	2.8	103	208	207	207	223
Wrekin	9.1	94	267	268	268	274
SOMERSET	219.1	634				
Mendip	6.0	85	250	254	254	249
Sedgemoor	6.2	85	259	266	266	258
Taunton Deane	6.1	86	255	259	259	253
West Somerset	2.4	99	271	271	270	268
South Somerset	9.0	85	259	263	263	260

DATE: 9-OCT-89

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY CHARGES

	COL 1	COL 2	COL 3	COL 4	COL 5	COL 6
	Standard spending assessment	Standard spending assessment per adult	1989/90 average rate bill per adult plus 4%	Safety netted community charge	Long run community charge	Published 1989/90 safety netted community charge
	(£m)	(£/adult)	(£)	(£)	(£)	(£)
STAFFORDSHIRE	498.9	642				
Cannock Chase	5.7	88	244	260	260	244
East Staffordshire	7.4	103	230	234	234	226
Lichfield	5.1	73	294	272	242	255
Newcastle-under-Lyme	7.0	78	238	261	261	235
South Staffordshire	5.7	71	291	267	235	253
Stafford	7.5	83	252	244	233	231
Staffordshire Moorlands	6.0	81	233	253	253	232
Stoke-on-Trent	20.4	108	210	235	256	229
Tamworth	4.1	85	264	259	251	243
SUFFOLK	292.7	612				
Babergh	5.0	86	253	250	245	236
Forest Heath	3.5	85	226	226	225	215
Ipswich	9.7	111	283	282	280	281
Mid Suffolk	5.0	87	241	236	230	234
St Edmundsbury	5.9	86	230	223	214	218
Suffolk Coastal	7.1	87	287	269	244	263
Waveney	7.4	90	231	242	242	227
SURREY	449.1	585				
Elmbridge	6.3	75	445	366	291	360
Epsom and Ewell	4.1	79	398	359	306	345
Guildford	8.5	90	334	281	209	291
Mole Valley	4.2	71	336	302	257	313
Reigate and Banstead	7.0	79	358	317	262	327
Runnymede	5.6	102	294	263	221	273
Spelthorne	5.7	83	293	265	227	263
Surrey Heath	4.5	76	352	301	233	312
Tandridge	4.5	78	302	291	275	288
Waverley	6.7	80	362	307	233	314
Woking	6.4	97	368	331	281	346
WARWICKSHIRE	228.6	621				
North Warwickshire	3.5	78	307	311	311	309
Nuneaton and Bedworth	7.2	83	308	320	320	305
Rugby	6.0	94	313	299	280	294
Stratford on Avon	6.5	79	369	328	273	314
Warwick	8.0	88	361	327	282	313
WEST SUSSEX	325.0	595				
Adur	3.3	72	281	264	242	262
Arun	8.0	77	270	245	211	239
Chichester	6.8	82	262	234	195	237
Crawley	6.3	99	269	268	265	288
Horsham	6.7	83	261	224	173	224
Mid Sussex	6.4	70	287	255	212	251
Worthing	7.4	94	248	230	205	224

DATE: 9-OCT-89

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 STANDARD SPENDING ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY CHARGES

	COL 1	COL 2	COL 3	COL 4	COL 5	COL 6
	Standard spending assessment	Standard spending assessment per adult	1989/90 average rate bill per adult plus 4%	Safety netted community charge	Long run community charge	Published 1989/90 safety netted community charge
	(£m)	(£/adult)	(£)	(£)	(£)	(£)
WILTSHIRE	265.3	641				
Kennet	4.3	89	241	239	235	238
North Wiltshire	6.9	83	226	251	258	252
Salisbury	6.8	89	262	247	227	240
Thamesdown	12.1	98	253	278	278	276
West Wiltshire	6.1	77	232	257	264	241
ISLES OF SCILLY	1.3	871	214	239	516	169

Lower East - R. 15

R. 15