



Prime Minister

1990/91 LOCAL AUTHORITY GRANT SETTLEMENT

1. Just before Christmas It was agreed that I should prepare a factual note, with the agreement of the Treasury, on the Local Authority Grant Settlement for 1990/91. This was to review the position, and any possibilities for change, but not to propose a new course of action.

2. On Thursday 11 January I am planning to lay 5 Statutory Reports which determine the Revenue Support Grant (RSG) Settlement for 1990/91, and which include the information necessary to establish the unified business rate.

3. These reports set out what was originally announced in the Statement on Local Government Finance on 6 November, subject to the changes consequent on later data (principally from community charge registers and on capital allocations).

4. I understand that the present intention is to hold a full day's debate on the Reports on Thursday 18 January with one or more votes at 10pm.

5. The order and number of votes is still under consideration by the Business Managers. However arranged, the votes will be an inter-related series, all of which are necessary for the effective implementation of the Settlement, without which there will be no basis on which Government can pay grant to local authorities in 1990/91.

6. The Chief Whip made me aware before Christmas of the names of some 83 colleagues whom we believe may withhold their support. I am not sure whether this number has risen or fallen during the recess, but certainly reactions to the latest announcement on the UBR may have aggravated the position. On the Settlement, DOE Ministers have seen over 50 delegations and about 250 authorities have written in. It may be helpful if I set out the main concerns that they, and the other persons and bodies that we have consulted, have expressed:

(i) The figure for "Total Standard Spending" (ie the amount the Government thinks local authorities should spend) has been set too low. This concern applies to authorities generally. Although at £32.8bn TSS is about 11% above the equivalent for this year, it is only 3.8% above this year's budgetted spending.

(ii) There is concern about high community charges. In many cases this is the result of high spending proposals rather than grant settlement, but the Settlement provides a convenient scapegoat.

(iii) Many individual authorities have complained bitterly about the switch from GRES to SSAs. Inevitably some authorities have lost out relatively to others.

(iv) There is still some concern about contributions to the safety net, even though these are now for 1990/91 only. 208 areas will be required to contribute.

I attach at A a set of tables listing the authorities most severely affected under a number of different criteria.

7. Of the 83 colleagues who may withhold their support, around a dozen represent outer London boroughs primarily concerned about SSAs as well as the effect of the first year safety net on their "all out" elections this May; about half represent areas making a contribution to the safety net; 51 represent areas losing as a result of the change from GREs to SSAs. There is little correlation, however, between the potential rebels and the lists at A of the authorities likely to be most affected next year. Of the 83, a number (perhaps as many as 30) have concerns which could not be addressed by the provision of more money. They are die hard opponents of the community charge. But it is probable that a growing unease about the political impact of the community charge is an increasing factor in the calculations of some of the other potential rebels.

8. Any changes at this stage could only delay final resolution of the Settlement, disrupting local authorities' efforts as they finalise their budgets for 1990/91 within the next eight weeks (because Counties must set their precepts by 1 March). The timetable for issuing charge bills, for example, could be put back. Quite apart from the administrative and financial difficulties, the political criticism which would result from late changes argues for making changes only if absolutely necessary.

9. Any significant changes would be expensive. Every £200 million paid to authorities would reduce the average community charge by up to £5.60 depending to what extent it leaked into higher spending. If there were no leakage and average charges were reduced by £5.60 the RPI would be about 0.1% lower.

10. The most radical possibility would be to make fundamental changes, either to the methodology for calculating SSAs or to the figures for TSS and grant. Much of the criticism from parliamentary colleagues has started to focus on the latter. But, quite apart from the cost, there would be considerable difficulties: a new period of consultation would be necessary and any fundamental changes could risk leaving some authorities worse off than now.

11. An alternative might be to modify the Settlement by introducing additional new grants. No authority would then be worse off than under the Settlement. Possibilities include

(i) A new grant for authorities generally, for example, towards Community Charge collection costs paid as a fixed amount per adult across the country. £200m, for example, would reduce charges by up to £5.60.

(ii) A more selective option would be to pay for some or all of the safety net. The full cost would be £650 million. £200 million would cut contributions to the net by 30%, or 55 areas could be removed from the net and all others' contributions reduced by £12, or the maximum contribution could be cut from £75 to £32, helping 95 authorities.

(iii) Special help for authorities losing significantly from the switch from GREs to SSAs. This change is, however, already covered by the area safety net, so further protection for chargepayers could be open to criticism. The aggregate of losses is £500m. £200m would enable the maximum loss to be limited to £17.30, so helping 119 areas.

(iv) Greater protection under the Transitional Relief scheme. For £200 million, for example, the threshold could be reduced from £3 to £2 per week, or the assumed charges on which relief is calculated could be higher. Unlike (i) (ii) and (iii), there would be no consequential reduction in the RPI.

12. Option (ii) would last for 1990/91 only: there would be pressure for schemes under options (i) and (iii) to be phased out over more than one year. A concession on (iv) would have implications for the cost of the Transitional Relief scheme in the second and third years.

13. I attach at B a set of tables showing the effect of the options on each of the 83 potential rebels. The first table shows how much (if at all) each chargepayer in each of their constituencies will contribute to the safety net in 1990/91 and how much they will lose by the change from GREs to SSAs (gains are not shown). The second table shows how much extra per adult options (ii) and (iii) would benefit their area, the former if £200m were added, and the latter for both £200m and £100m. You will note that option (ii) benefits more than half of the 83 and option (iii) benefits over a third.

I am sending copies of this note to Geoffrey Howe, John Major, Norman Lamont, Tim Renton and Sir Robin Butler.

C. P.
3 January 1990

TABLE A

Table (i) shows the 50 areas with the highest community charges, illustrated on the basis that all authorities increase their spending by 10%.

Table (ii) shows the 50 areas where, on the same basis, the increase over ~~current~~ rate bills is greatest.

Table (iii) shows the 50 areas which lose most from the change from GREs and SSAs. (These differences are calculated so that nationally the total of GREs and SSAs is the same and the average loss is therefore zero. GREs have been adjusted for changes in functions between 1989/90 and 1990/91.)

Table (iv) shows the 50 areas contributing most to the safety net.

Table (v) shows, for all shire areas at county and district level the change in external support (ie RSG and business rate income), adjusted for changes in function. It is not meaningful to draw up similar tables for London and metropolitan areas.

The tables are derived from information which will be published on 11 January, and which differs from that published on 6 November because of later data particularly on population and capital allocations.

50 HIGHEST COMMUNITY CHARGES WITH 10% INCREASE IN
SPENDING ON 1989/90 BUDGETS

(£)

Haringey	674
Brent	570
Islington	475
Harlow	474
Brentwood	459
Basildon	449
Wirral	448
Welwyn Hatfield	443
Hammersmith and Fulham	440
Camden	427
Hertsmere	419
Elmbridge	418
Hillingdon	417
Hounslow	413
Newham	412
Stevenage	412
Three Rivers	412
Lambeth	411
Epsom and Ewell	410
Hackney	406
Thurrock	397
Ealing	397
Newcastle upon Tyne	396
Coventry	396
Manchester	395
North Tyneside	394
Langbaugh-on-Tees	391
Brighton	386
Richmond-upon-Thames	386
Epping Forest	386
Warwick	386
Bristol	386
Woking	385
St Albans	385
Stratford on Avon	384
North Hertfordshire	384
Stockton-on-Tees	381
Bury	381
Liverpool	380
Nuneaton and Bedworth	379
Kingston-upon-Thames	378
South Bedfordshire	378
Knowsley	376
North Warwickshire	375
Dacorum	374
Salford	373
City of London	372
Middlesbrough	371
Tower Hamlets	370
Scunthorpe	370

50 BIGGEST INCREASES FROM 1989/90 AVERAGE RATE BILL PER ADULT PLUS 4%
TO COMMUNITY CHARGE WITH 10% INCREASE IN SPENDING ON 1989/90 BUDGETS

Table
Aii

	1989/90 average rate bill per adult plus 4% (£/adult)	1990/91 community charge with 10% spending increase (£/adult)	Change (£/adult)
	1	2	3
Haringey	562	674	112
Newcastle upon Tyne	303	396	93
Middlesbrough	279	371	93
Langbaurgh-on-Tees	300	391	90
Barking and Dagenham	253	342	89
St Helens	272	360	88
Oldham	247	334	87
Scunthorpe	285	370	85
North Tyneside	309	394	85
Leicester	235	319	84
Wigan	268	352	84
Bolton	245	329	83
Hillingdon	334	417	83
Stockton-on-Tees	298	381	83
Great Grimsby	252	335	83
Cleethorpes	267	350	82
Hartlepool	249	331	82
Blackpool	236	316	81
Blyth Valley	268	349	80
Glanford	251	331	80
Bury	301	381	80
Bristol	306	386	80
South Tyneside	240	319	79
Holderness	246	325	79
Rochdale	254	333	79
Alnwick	246	324	79
Tameside	244	323	78
Darlington	253	331	78
Lancaster	223	301	78
Leeds	235	312	77
Tynedale	261	338	77
Chesterfield	257	334	77
High Peak	254	331	76
Durham	243	319	76
North East Derbyshire	272	348	76
Ribble Valley	224	300	76
Erewash	258	334	76
Derbyshire Dales	291	367	76
South Ribble	221	296	75
Bexley	256	331	75
Bassetlaw	228	303	75
Chester-le-Street	233	308	75
Nuneaton and Bedworth	304	379	75
Torbay	265	340	75
Amber Valley	245	320	75
Mansfield	219	293	75
South Derbyshire	276	351	74
South Lakeland	245	319	74
Halton	259	333	74
Oswestry	193	266	74

BOTTOM 50 CHANGES IN SSA PER ADULT
COMPARED WITH RESCALED ADJUSTED GRE PER ADULT
AT RECEIVING AUTHORITY LEVEL

	1989/90 adjusted rescaled GRE (£/adult)	1990/91 SSA (£/adult)	Change (£/adult)
	-----1-----	-----2-----	-----3-----
City of London	16,671	16,103	-568
Havering	870	814	-56
Wigan	860	805	-55
Blackpool	873	822	-51
Chesterfield	756	705	-51
North Tyneside	857	806	-50
Sefton	878	828	-50
Stockport	815	767	-48
St Helens	957	911	-46
Gateshead	884	839	-45
Wansbeck	797	752	-44
Torbay	767	723	-44
Bromley	806	762	-44
Barnsley	782	739	-42
Kirklees	907	865	-42
Tamworth	752	711	-41
York	762	721	-41
Solihull	819	779	-40
Bolton	945	905	-40
Bury	809	770	-39
Worcester	757	717	-39
Calderdale	887	848	-39
Hereford	750	711	-39
Newcastle-under-Lyme	744	706	-38
Kingston upon Hull	884	845	-38
Stoke-on-Trent	772	734	-38
Coventry	996	959	-37
Blyth Valley	786	750	-36
Dudley	784	748	-36
Nuneaton and Bedworth	735	699	-36
Amber Valley	732	697	-35
Erewash	739	704	-35
Wakefield	794	759	-35
Bournemouth	729	695	-35
Great Grimsby	854	819	-34
Tameside	854	819	-34
North East Derbyshire	722	689	-34
Norwich	756	723	-33
Cannock Chase	751	718	-33
Bolsover	731	698	-33
Warwick	739	706	-33
Ipswich	761	729	-32
Lichfield	736	704	-32
Stafford	745	714	-32
Scunthorpe	840	809	-31
Scarborough	742	711	-31
Oadby and Wigston	802	772	-31
Wyre Forest	736	706	-31
Halton	790	760	-30
Poole	680	650	-30

TOP 50 CONTRIBUTORS TO SAFETY NET PER ADULT

	Contribution to safety net (£/adult)

South Bucks	75
Chiltern	75
Oxford	75
Kensington and Chelsea	75
Three Rivers	75
City of London	75
Camden	75
Westminster	75
Wokingham	75
Wycombe	75
Epping Forest	74
Elmbridge	73
Chelmsford	73
Manchester	71
St Albans	70
Barnet	70
Birmingham	<u>69</u>
Uttlesford	68
Isles of Scilly	67
Southend-on-Sea	67
Surrey Heath	66
Dacorum	63
Hertsmere	62
Croydon	62
Slough	61
Waverley	61
Luton	61
Guildford	60
Rochford	60
Newbury	60
North Hertfordshire	60
Hart	59
South Cambridgeshire	58
Windsor and Maidenhead	58
Vale of White Horse	58
Milton Keynes	58
South Oxfordshire	56
Rother	55
Winchester	55
East Hampshire	54
Maldon	53
Cambridge	52
Solihull	52
Stratford on Avon	52
Macclesfield	51
South Bedfordshire	51
Epsom and Ewell	51
Hove	49
Welwyn Hatfield	49
Test Valley	48

Table
Av.

PERCENTAGE CHANGES IN TOTAL EXTERNAL SUPPORT
IN CASH BETWEEN 1989/90 AND 1990/91
AT SHIRE COUNTY LEVEL

	1989/90 Total External Support (fm)	1990/91 Total External Support (fm)	% change (%)
	-----1-----	-----2-----	-----3-----
Derbyshire	339.9	341.3	0.4
North Yorkshire	244.0	246.7	1.1
Northumberland	120.4	122.0	1.4
Wiltshire	182.5	186.1	1.9
Humberside	380.7	388.2	2.0
Cleveland	270.9	277.1	2.3
Nottinghamshire	374.6	383.6	2.4
Lancashire	578.1	592.2	2.4
Durham	246.4	252.6	2.5
Cornwall	164.3	169.4	3.1
Staffordshire	337.7	348.7	3.3
Cumbria	195.8	202.5	3.5
Lincolnshire	204.3	211.8	3.7
Norfolk	233.1	242.2	3.9
Avon	311.9	324.2	3.9
Shropshire	144.2	150.0	4.0
Devon	334.0	351.6	5.3
Leicestershire	326.2	344.0	5.5
Somerset	146.5	155.0	5.8
Cheshire	308.1	327.3	6.2
Suffolk	188.3	201.6	7.0
Gloucestershire	158.3	170.1	7.5
Isle of Wight	40.6	43.8	7.8
Northamptonshire	196.3	213.5	8.8
Kent	494.2	537.6	8.8
Warwickshire	134.2	146.0	8.8
Hereford and Worcester	187.3	206.9	10.4
Dorset	166.3	184.6	11.0
Cambridgeshire	191.3	214.0	11.9
West Sussex	177.9	199.4	12.1
Hampshire	435.1	495.6	13.9
Bedfordshire	162.5	188.2	15.8
East Sussex	181.4	210.5	16.1
Essex	408.1	486.1	19.1
Hertfordshire	253.2	308.0	21.6
Oxfordshire	126.7	154.5	21.9
Berkshire	200.4	247.5	23.5
Surrey	218.7	276.1	26.3
Buckinghamshire	157.2	204.6	30.2

TABLE B

Lists 83 constituencies, and the authorities which fall wholly or partly within these constituencies. Table Bi shows (in pounds per adult) the contribution to the safety net, and the amount by which the SSA is relatively less than the GRE. This table is based on information published on 6 November.

Table Bii shows the amounts of additional grant in pounds per adult which would be paid to each of these areas, under the options in paragraph 10 (ii) and (iii) of the paper.

The options are:

£200m towards the cost of the safety net as

- (a) pro rata reduction of 30% in contributions ("%")
- (b) a flat rate cut of up to £12 in contributions ("flat rate")
- (c) a cut in the maximum contribution from £75 to £32 ("reduce max")

£200m towards SSA losses (maximum loss £17.50)

£100m towards SSA losses (maximum loss of £25)

Table Bii is based on the position as it will be when the Reports are published on 11 January.

	Safety Net Cont	SSA "Loss"
Aldershot (Julian Critchley)		
Hampshire CC		-
Hart DC	51	-
Rushmore	31	-
Aldridge Brownhills (Richard Shepherd)		
Walsall MD	16	29
Aylesbury (Timothy Raison)		
Buckinghamshire CC		-
Aylesbury Vale DC	50	-
Wycombe DC	75	-
Chiltern	75	-
Barrow and Furness (Cecil Franks)		
Cumbria CC		23
Barrow in Furness DC	-	7
South Lakeland	-	-
Batley and Spen (Elizabeth Peacock)		
Kirklees MD	-	43
Beckenham (Philip Goodhart)		
Bromley	-	42
Bedfordshire SW (David Madel)		
Bedfordshire CC		-
South Bedford	45	-
Mid Bedford	40	-

Bexleyheath
(Cyril Townsend)

Bexley LB - 40

Blackpool N
(Norman Miscampbell)

Lancashire CC 26
Blackpool DC - 24

Bournemouth E
(David Atkinson)

Dorset 13
Bournemouth 2 17

Brent N
(Sir Rhodes Boyson)

Brent 17 -

Brentford and Isleworth
(Barney Hayhoe)

Hounslow LB 22 -

Broxtowe
(Jim Lester)

Nottinghamshire CC 20
Broxtowe DC - 3

Cambridge
(Robert Rhodes James)

Cambridgeshire CC 14
Cambridge DC 75 -

Cambridgeshire SE
(J E T Paice)

Cambridgeshire CC 14
S Cambridgeshire 54 -
E Cambridgeshire 11 -

Chesham and Amersham
(Ian Gilmour)

Buckinghamshire CC -
Chiltern DC 75 -
Wycombe 75 -

Chichester
(Anthony Nelson)

West Sussex CC		9
Chichester DC	31	-

Christchurch
(Robert Adley)

Dorset CC		13
Christchurch DC	31	17
E Dorset	33	1

Colchester N
(Sir Anthony Buck)

Essex CC		-
Colchester	33	-
Tendring	26	1

Colne Valley
(Graham Riddick)

Kirklees MD	-	43
-------------	---	----

Congleton
(Ann Winterton)

Cheshire CC		30
Congleton DC	1	-
Crewe and Nantwich	7	-

Cornwall SE
(Robert Hicks)

Cornwall CC		16
Caradon DC	-	-
N Cornwall	-	-
Restormel	-	-

Devizes
(Sir Charles Morrison)

Wiltshire CC		23
Kennett DC	2	-
Thamesdown DC	-	-

Ealing Acton
(George Young)
Ealing N
(Harry Greenway)

Ealing LB	18	-
-----------	----	---

Edgebaston
(Jill Knight)

Birmingham DC 60 -

Epping Forest
(Steven Norris)

Essex CC -
Epping Forest DC 75 -

Esher
(Ian Taylor)

Surrey CC -
Elmbridge DC 75 7
Guildford 74 -

Erith and Crayford
(David Evernett)

Bexley - 40

Falmouth and Camborne
(David Mudd)

Cornwall CC 16
Kerrier DC -
Carrick -

Guildford
(David Howell)

Surrey CC -
Guildford DC 74 -
Waverley 73 -

Hall Green
(A Hargreaves)

Birmingham 60 -

Hampshire E
(Michael Mates)

Hampshire CC -
East Hampshire DC 46 -

Harrow E
(Hugh Dykes)

Harrow 53 -

Hayes and Harrlington
(Terry Dicks)

Hillingdon - 5

Hendon N
(John Gorst)

Barnet 70 5

Henley
(Michael Heseltine)

Oxfordshire CC -
S Oxfordshire DC 58 -

Hertford and Stortford
(Bowen Wells)

Hertfordshire CC -
East Herts DC 29 2

Holland with Boston
(Richard Body)

Linconshire CC 28
Boston - -
S Holland - -

Hornchurch
(Robin Squire)

Havering - 63

Ilford N
(Vivian Bendall)
Ilford S
(Neil Thorne)

Redbridge LB - 11

Ipswich
(Michael Irvine)

Suffolk CC 30
Ipswich DC 6 10

Isle of Wight
(Barry Field)

Isle of Wight CC -
Medina DC 4 -
South Wight DC 2 -

Langbaurgh (Richard Holt)		
Cleveland CC		4
Lanbaurgh DC		1
Middlesbrough	-	7
Leeds NW (Keith Hampson)		
Leeds	-	10
Leominster (Peter Temple Morris)		
Hereford and Worcester		39
Leominster	-	-
Malvern Hills	26	-
S Herefordshire	4	-
Lewes (Tim Rathbone)		
East Sussex CC		-
Lewes DC	35	2
Woalden	25	-
Lindsey E (Peter Tapsell)		
Lincolnshire CC		28
E Lindsey DC	-	-
Ludlow (C Gill)		
Shropshire CC		24
Bridgenorth	7	-
South Shropshire	-	-
Macclesfield (Nicholas Winterton)		
Cheshire CC	-	30
Macclesfield DC	49	1
Meriden (Iain Mills)		
Solihull MD	42	42

Milton Keynes
(William Benyon)

Buckinghamshire CC		-
Milton Keynes DC	40	-

Norfolk N
(Ralph Howell)

Norfolk CC		29
North Norfolk DC	-	-

Northampton N
(Tony Marlow)

Northamptonshire CC		13
Northampton DC	1	2

Old Bexley and Sidcup
(Edward Heath)

Bexley	-	40
--------	---	----

Ravensbourne
(John Hunt)

Bromley	-	42
---------	---	----

Rugby and Kenilworth
(James Pawsey)

Warwickshire CC		35
Warwick	46	-
Rugby	12	-

Selly Oak
(Anthony Beaumont Dark)

Birmingham	60	-
------------	----	---

Shropshire N
(John Biffen)

Shropshire CC		24
North Shropshire	-	-
Oswestry	-	-
Wrekin	-	-

South Ham
(Anthony Stein)

Devon CC		26
Torbay	-	19
South Hams	1	-

Spelthorne
(David Wilshire)

Surrey CC		-
Spelthorne DC	41	4

Staffordshire Moorlands
(David Knox)

Staffordshire CC		42
Staffs Moorlands	-	-
Morlands DC	-	-

Staffordshire S
(Patrick Cormack)

South Stafford	20	-
----------------	----	---

Stockton S
(T Devlin)

Cleveland CC		4
Stockton DC	-	2
Middlesbrough	-	7

Streatham
(William Shelton)

Lambeth	-	-
---------	---	---

Suffolk Central
(Michael Lord)

Suffolk CC		30
Mid Suffolk	1	-
Ipswich	6	10

Surbiton
(Richard Tracey)

Kingston on Thames	6	16
--------------------	---	----

Sutton and Cheam
(Neil Macfarlane)

Sutton	13	25
--------	----	----

Taunton
(Nicholson)

Somerset CC		12
Taunton Dean DC	3	-
West Somerset	5	-

Tiverton (Robert Maxwell Hyslop)		
Devon CC		26
Mid Devon	-	-
East Devon	-	-
Teignbridge	-	-
Torbay (Richard Allason)		
Devon CC		26
Torbay DC	-	19
Upminster (Nicholas Bonsor)		
Havering	-	63
Walthamstow (H Summerson)		
Waltham Forest	46	-
Wansdyke (Jack Aspinall)		
Avon CC		7
Waredyke DC	-	-
Kingswood	-	-
Warrington S (Chris Butler)		
Cheshire CC		30
Warrington DC	-	-
Halton	-	1
Warrington and Leamington (Dudley Smith)		
Warwickshire CC		35
Warwick DC	46	-
Waveney (Barry Porter)		
Suffolk		30
Waveney	-	3

Westbury
(Dennis Walters)

Wiltshire		23
W Wiltshire	-	-
Salisbury	20	-

Weston-super-Mare
(Jerry Wiggin)

Avon CC		7
Woodspring	-	-

Westmorland and Lonsdale
(Michael Jopling)

Cumbria CC		23
South Lakeland DC	-	-
Eden	-	-

Others

Clwyd NW	(Anthony Meyer)
Delyn	(Keith Raffan)

	%	Flat Rate	reduce max	SSA Net (200)	SSA Net (100)
Aldershot (Julian Critchley)					
Hampshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
Hart DC	18	12	29	-	-
Rushmoor DC	8	12	-	-	-
Aldridge Brownhills (Richard Shepherd)					
Walsall MD	7	12	-	9	2
Aylesbury (Timothy Raison)					
Buckinghamshire CC					
Aylesbury Vale DC	15	12	18	-	-
Wycombe DC	23	12	45	-	-
Chiltern DC	23	12	45	-	-
Barrow and Furness (Cecil Franks)					
Cumbria CC	-	-	-		
Barrow in Furness DC	-	-	-	6	-
S Lakeland DC	-	-	-	-	-
Batley and Spen (Elizabeth Peacock)					
Kirklees MD	-	-	-	24	17
Beckenham (Philip Goodhart)					
Bromley LB	-	-	-	27	19
Bedfordshire SW (David Madel)					
Bedfordshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
South Bedford DC	16	12	21	-	-
Mid Bedford DC	12	12	10	-	-
Bexleyheath (Cyril Townsend)					
Bexley LB	-	-	-	12	4

	%	Flat Rate	reduce max	SSA Net (200)	SSA Net (100)
Blackpool N (Norman Miscampbell)					
Lancashire CC	-	-	-		
Blackpool DC	-	-	-	34	26
Bournemouth E (David Atkinson)					
Dorset CC	-	-	-		
Bournemouth DC	2	8	-	17	10
Brent N (Sir Rhodes Boyson)					
Brent LB	5	12	-	-	-
Brentford and Isleworth (Barney Hayhoe)					
Hounslow LB	1	4	-	-	-
Broxtowe (Jim Lester)					
Nottinghamshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
Broxtowe DC	-	-	-	4	-
Cambridge (Robert Rhodes James)					
Cambridgeshire CC	-	-	-		
Cambridge DC	16	12	22	-	-
Cambridgeshire SE (J E T Paice)					
Cambridgeshire CC	-	-	-		
S Cambridgeshire DC	18	12	28	-	-
E Cambridgeshire DC	6	12	0	-	-
Chesham and Amersham (Ian Gilmour)					
Buckinghamshire CC	-	-	-		
Chiltern DC	23	12	45	-	-
Wycombe DC	23	12	45	-	-

	%	Flat Rate	reduce max	SSA Net (200)	SSA Net (100)
Chichester (Anthony Nelson)					
West Sussex CC	-	-	-	-	-
Chichester DC	14	12	14	-	-
Christchurch (Robert Adley)					
Dorset CC	-	-	-	-	-
Christchurch DC	11	12	7	11	3
E Dorset DC	11	12	6	-	-
Colchester N (Sir Anthony Buck)					
Essex CC	-	-	-	-	-
Colchester BC	8	12	-	-	-
Tendring DC	11	12	7	-	-
Colne Valley (Graham Riddick)					
Kirklees MD	-	-	-	24	17
Congleton (Ann Winterton)					
Cheshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
Congleton DC	3	11	-	10	3
Crewe and Nantwich DC	-	-	-	3	-
Cornwall SE (Robert Hicks)					
Cornwall CC	-	-	-	-	-
Caradon DC	-	-	-	-	-
North Cornwall DC	-	-	-	-	-
Restmorel DC	-	-	-	-	-
Devizes (Sir Charles Morrison)					
Wiltshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
Kennett DC	-	1	-	-	-
Thamesdown DC	-	-	-	-	-
Ealing Acton (George Young)					
Ealing N (Harry Greenway)					
Ealing LB	8	12	0	-	-

	%	Flat Rate	reduce max	SSA Net (200)	SSA Net (100)
Edgbaston (Jill Knight)					
Birmingham DC	21	12	39	-	-
Epping Forest (Steven Norris)					
Essex CC	-	-	-	-	-
Epping Forest DC	23	12	44	-	-
Esher (Ian Taylor)					
Surrey CC	-	-	-	-	-
Elmbridge DC	23	12	43	-	-
Guildford DC	19	12	30	-	-
Erith and Crayford (David Evernett)					
Bexley LB	-	-	-	12	4
Falmouth and Camborne (David Mudd)					
Cornwall CC	-	-	-	-	-
Kerrier DC	-	-	-	-	-
Carrick DC	2	5	-	-	-
Guildford (David Howell)					
Surrey DC	-	-	-	-	-
Guildford DC	19	12	30	-	-
Waverley	19	12	31	-	-
Hall Green (A Hargreaves)					
Birmingham DC	21	12	39	-	-
Hampshire E (Michael Mates)					
Hampshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
East Hampshire DC	17	12	24	-	-
Hart DC	18	12	29	-	-
Harrow E (Hugh Dykes)					
Harrow LB	13	12	14	-	-

	%	Flat Rate	reduce max	SSA Net (200)	SSA Net (100)
Hayes and Harrlington (Terry Dicks)					
Hillingdon LB	-	-	-	2	-
Hendon N (John Gorst)					
Barnet LB	22	12	40	-	-
Henley (Michael Heseltine)					
Oxfordshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
S Oxfordshire DC	17	12	26	-	-
Hertford and Stortford (Bowen Wells)					
Hertfordshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
East Herts DC	11	12	7	-	-
Holland with Boston (Richard Body)					
Linconshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
Boston DC	-	-	-	-	-
S Holland DC	-	-	-	-	-
Hornchurch (Robin Squire)					
Havering LB	-	-	-	38	31
Ilford N (Vivian Bendall)					
Ilford S (Neil Thorne)					
Redbridge LB	-	-	-	-	-
Ipswich (Michael Irvine)					
Suffolk CC	-	-	-	-	-
Ipswich DC	-	-	-	15	7

	%	Flat Rate	reduce max	SSA Net (200)	SSA Net (100)
Isle of Wight (Barry Field)					
Isle of Wight CC	-	-	-	-	-
Medina DC	2	7	-	-	-
South Wight DC	4	12	-	-	-
Langbaurgh (Richard Holt)					
Cleveland CC	-	-	-	-	-
Langbaurgh DC	-	-	-	-	-
Middlesbrough	-	-	-	-	-
Leeds NW (Keith Hampson)					
Leeds DC	-	-	-	-	-
Leominster (Peter Temple-Morris)					
Hereford and Worcester CC	-	-	-	-	-
Leominster DC	-	-	-	-	-
Malvern Hills DC	11	12	5	-	-
S Herefordshire DC	12	12	9	-	-
Lewes (Tim Rathbone)					
East Sussex CC	-	-	-	-	-
Lewes DC	14	12	14	-	-
Wealden DC	12	12	9	-	-
Lindsey E (Peter Tapsell)					
Lincolnshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
E Lindsey DC	-	-	-	-	-
Ludlow (C Gill)					
Shropshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
Bridgenorth DC	4	12	0	-	-
South Shropshire DC	-	-	-	-	-
Macclesfield (Nicholas Winterton)					
Cheshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
Macclesfield DC	16	12	21	12	5

	%	Flat Rate	reduce max	SSA Net (200)	SSA Net (100)
Meriden (Iain Mills)					
Solihull MD	16	12	22	23	15
Milton Keynes (William Benyon)					
Buckinghamshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
Milton Keynes DC	18	12	28	-	-
Norfolk N (Ralph Howell)					
Norfolk CC	-	-	-	-	-
North Norfolk DC	3	8	-	-	-
Northampton N (Tony Marlow)					
Northamptonshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
Northampton DC	3	9	-	-	-
Old Bexley and Sidcup (Edward Heath)					
Bexley BC	-	-	-	12	4
Ravensbourne (John Hunt)					
Bromley BC	-	-	-	27	19
Rugby and Kenilworth (James Pawsey)					
Warwickshire CC					
Warwick DC	13	12	12	15	8
Rugby BC	5	12	-	7	-
Selly Oak (Anthony Beaumont Dark)					
Birmingham DC	21	12	39	-	-

	%	Flat Rate	reduce max	SSA Net (200)	SSA Net (100)
Shropshire N (John Biffen)					
Shropshire CC	-	-	-		
North Shropshire DC	-	-	-	-	-
Oswestry DC	-	-	-	-	-
Wrekin DC	-	-	-	-	-
South Ham (Anthony Stein)					
Devon CC					
Torbay DC	-	-	-	27	19
South Hams DC	2	7	-	-	-
Spelthorne (David Wilshire)					
Surrey CC	-	-	-		
Spelthorne DC	8	12	-	-	-
Staffordshire Moorlands (David Knox)					
Staffordshire CC	-	-	-		
Staffordshire Moorlands DC	-	-	-	5	-
Staffordshire S (Patrick Cormack)					
South Stafford DC	9	12	-	10	3
Stockton S (T Devlin)					
Cleveland CC	-	-	-		
Stockton DC	-	-	-	-	-
Middlesbrough DC	-	-	-	-	-
Streatham (William Shelton)					
Lambeth LB	-	-	-	-	-

	%	Flat Rate	reduce max	SSA Net (200)	SSA Net (100)
Suffolk Central (Michael Lord)					
Suffolk CC	-	-	-		
Mid Suffolk DC	3	9	-	-	-
Ipswich DC	-	-	-	15	7
Surbiton (Richard Tracey)					
Kingston on Thames LB	3	8	-	10	2
Sutton and Cheam (Neil Macfarlane)					
Sutton LB	4	12	-	4	-
Taunton (D J Nicholson)					
Somerset CC	-	-	-		
Taunton Dean DC	1	3	-	-	-
West Somerset DC	1	3	-	-	-
Tiverton (Robert Maxwell Hyslop)					
Devon CC	-	-	-		
Mid Devon DC	-	-	-	-	-
East Devon DC	3	9	-	5	-
Teignbridge DC	-	-	-	-	-
Torbay (Richard Allason)					
Devon CC	-	-	-	27	19
Torbay DC	-	-	-		
Upminster (Nicholas Bonsor)					
Havering LB	-	-	-	38	31
Walthamstow (H Summerson)					
Waltham Forest	13	12	12	-	-

	%	Flat Rate	reduce max	SSA Net (200)	SSA Net (100)
Wansdyke (Jack Aspinall)					
Avon CC	-	-	-	-	-
Wansdyke DC	-	-	-	-	-
Kingswood	-	-	-	-	-
Warrington S (Chris Butler)					
Cheshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
Warrington DC	-	-	-	9	2
Halton	-	-	-	13	5
Warrington and Leamington (Dudley Smith)					
Warwickshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
Warwick DC	13	12	12	15	8
Waveney (D Porter)					
Suffolk CC	-	-	-	-	-
Waveney DC	-	-	-	8	1
Westbury (Dennis Walters)					
Wiltshire CC	-	-	-	-	-
W Wiltshire DC	-	-	-	-	-
Salisbury DC	5	12	-	-	-
Weston-super-Mare (Jerry Wiggin)					
Avon CC	-	-	-	-	-
Woodspring DC	3	10	-	-	-
Westmorland and Lonsdale (Michael Jopling)					
Cumbria CC	-	-	-	-	-
South Lakeland DC	-	-	-	-	-
Eden DC	-	-	-	-	-
Others					
Clwyd NW (Anthony Meyer)					
Delyn (Keith Raffan)					