

FROM: MRS JUDITH CHAPLIN
19th September 1989
x4359

CHANCELLOR OF THE EXCHEQUER

cc Chief Secretary
Financial Secretary
Paymaster General
Economic Secretary
Mr Edwards
Mr Potter
Mr Tyrie
Mr Lightfoot

*Answers in 10
1 agree*
Do you agree with Judith
that the letter should not be
sent? I attach tables which
support the illustrations in para 4.
J.C.

RESOURCE EQUALISATION AND THE SAFETY NET

As requested I attach a draft letter to Chris Patten urging him to publish the figures for each authority of the transfer per adult due to domestic sector resource equalisation. It does not suggest that he publishes comparable figures for contribution to or receipt from the safety net for the reasons I give below. Indeed I do not believe we should encourage him to publish the resources equalisation figures now, still less the safety net figures.

2. The DOE have prepared a table comparing the transfer per adult by domestic sector resource equalisation with contribution to or entitlement from the safety net per adult but has not issued it publicly or to MPs, Conservative or otherwise. Nick Ridley was asked for the figures at the Backbench Environment Committee meeting following his announcement of the settlement on 19 July. He said he would make them public, but so far they have only been released in answer to Written Questions on specific areas.

3. The reason why there is difficulty about releasing them is that there has been a tendency to equate the flows due to the safety net with those due to the removal of the domestic sector resource equalisation. But like is not being compared with like. Although it is true that the ending of the domestic sector resource equalisation is the principal reason why gaining authorities will eventually be better off, this does not necessarily apply during the interim period of the safety net. The need for the safety net arises not only

because of the ending of the domestic sector resource equalisation, but also because of the introduction of the NNDR which shifts flows between authorities; the new needs assessment which shifts funds broadly away from the shire counties; the degree of over-spending in the 1989-90 rate bill per adult base; and the change in functions, particularly the ring-fencing of the housing revenue account. There is no way of defining the safety net element which equates only to the resource equalisation account.

4. In a large number of cases therefore the safety net contribution per adult will be greater than the resource equalisation contribution. To take two examples, Blaby loses £16 per adult under resource equalisation but is shown to lose £25 per adult under the safety net. Brent loses £8 under resource equalisation and £31 under the safety net.

5. In the debate on local government finance on 19 July, Jeff Rooker asked the Secretary of State for the Environment "Why should Birmingham poll tax payers pay a surcharge Why should my constituents pay £67 to subsidise overspending Tory local authorities such as Blackpool and Lancaster?" Mr Ridley replied "I welcome the hon. Gentleman to the support of the new system. He may not know it, but for many years his constituents have been paying more than what (sic) the City of Birmingham requires to subsidise those authorities, that has happened under the system of resource equalisation." The clear implication is that the situation will improve and yet Birmingham was paying £23 per head under the resource equalisation but will be paying £62 per head under the safety net.

6. I do not think it is wise to urge Chris Patten to release the resource equalisation figures. As we have agreed, at the moment the discussion of the esoteric subject of the safety net and the problems with it are confined mainly to local authorities and MPs. If we give them a simple figure for resource equalisation per adult they are bound to ask for the comparable safety net contribution figure which is, as I have said, in many cases bigger.

7. We will also be arguing, if you manage to prevent the gains being fully implemented in the first year, that the presentation of the safety net must be improved. Therefore the fact that many who contribute to the safety net, already contribute under resource equalisation will be part of that better presentation then.

Jc

JUDITH CHAPLIN

September 1989

Secretary of State for the Environment
Department of the Environment
2 Marsham Street
London SW1

In discussion of the safety net generally, but particularly amongst backbench colleague, I have been concerned at how few understand the previous resource equalisation system. Many of those who represent the areas which will gain under the Community Charge, and who will be contributing to the safety net, do not appear to realise the contribution which their areas made to other areas in the past.

With hindsight perhaps this could have been remedied by stressing these facts in the Backbench Briefs which were prepared for the announcement of the settlement to the House of Commons on 19th July and for the debate on an Opposition Motion on 25th July. The tables which your Department prepared showing the transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation per adult could have been appended.

Since this was not done, and as there is considerable ignorance about the situation, I believe we should provide these figures to all MPs (all Conservative MPs) as soon as possible whatever the outcome of our discussions on the safety net.

A

RESOURCE EQUALISATION

The attached table gives estimates of the transfers between areas due to the present system of equalising for differences in rateable resources. This note gives a technical explanation of the basis on which the estimates have been calculated. The effect of resource equalisation is not the same as the safety net.

The figures shown in Columns 1 and 2 measure the resource equalisation that is being removed by the abolition of domestic rates and the introduction of the community charge. They compare the contribution of the domestic sector on the basis of gross rateable values and the contribution if domestic rates only were replaced by a uniform charge per adult. In each case authorities are assumed to spend at GRE, thereby equalising for needs. Under the present system, London resource discount multipliers (but not safety net multipliers) are retained together with the London Rate Equalisation Scheme.

The effect of resource equalisation is only one difference between the present rating and grant system and the community charge system which will be caught up by the transitional safety net. The revised proposals for the safety net mean that it does not fully limit the effects of differences between the two systems, since losses of up to £23 per adult are allowed and long-term gainers contribute 53% of their gains subject to a maximum contribution of £70 per adult. The safety net is calculated using a mixture of data for 1988/89 and 1989/90, not just 1989/90. It limits the effect of the changed basis of precepting (particularly in inner London, where equalisation cannot work fully because some authorities are out of grant).

X

ANX
A
R.E.
ALONE

The transitional safety will also limit the effects of using Standard Spending Assessments; abolition of ILEA; and ring fencing the HRA.

M J EARP
FLGR
N5/16
ext 3094
24 July 1989

Note: Figures at X different from those announced for the safety net for 1990-91 because these are exemplifications for 1989-90; figures therefore relate to what the safety net would have been had it existed in 1989-90.

1989/90 DOMESTIC SECTOR RESOURCE EQUALISATION

	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation per adult (£)	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation (£m)
Total England	-	-
Total Central London	-103	-30.494
Total Other Inner London	42	64.190
Total Inner London	18	33.696
Total Outer London	-14	-49.303
Total London	-3	-15.607
Total Metropolitan Areas	25	214.018
Total Shire Areas	-9	-198.380

Gains —

Losses +

1989/90 DOMESTIC SECTOR RESOURCE EQUALISATION

	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation per adult (£)	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation (£m)
SHIRE COUNTIES		
Avon	11	7.983
Bedfordshire	-49	-19.240
Berkshire	-74	-41.405
Buckinghamshire	-99	-45.386
Cambridgeshire	-22	-10.899
Cheshire	-19	-14.069
Cleveland	30	12.634
Cornwall	33	11.544
Cumbria	72	27.113
Derbyshire	32	22.321
Devon	20	15.695
Dorset	-36	-18.464
Durham	73	33.794
East Sussex	-64	-36.072
Essex	-75	-88.314
Gloucestershire	-3	-1.067
Hampshire	-29	-34.049
Hereford and Worcester	-35	-17.722
Hertfordshire	-81	-61.238
Humberside	46	29.520
Isle of Wight	15	1.545
Kent	1	1.346
Lancashire	49	51.866
Leicestershire	2	1.439
Lincolnshire	29	12.776
Norfolk	5	3.163
Northamptonshire	-13	-5.694
Northumberland	40	9.197
North Yorkshire	46	25.238
Nottinghamshire	34	26.008
Oxfordshire	-26	-11.620
Shropshire	14	4.104
Somerset	7	2.622
Staffordshire	5	4.048
Suffolk	-6	-3.014
Surrey	-78	-60.499
Warwickshire	-49	-18.264
West Sussex	-45	-24.543
Wiltshire	23	9.324

1989/90 DOMESTIC SECTOR RESOURCE EQUALISATION

	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation per adult (£)	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation (£m)
--	---	---

GREATER LONDON

City of London	-50	- .200
Camden	-36	-5.333
Greenwich	93	15.232
Hackney	52	8.696
Hammersmith and Fulham	55	6.606
Islington	25	3.351
Kensington and Chelsea	-233	-25.481
Lambeth	54	10.255
Lewisham	76	13.629
Southwark	65	10.863
Tower Hamlets	61	7.294
Wandsworth	67	13.744
Westminster	-174	-24.961
Barking and Dagenham	38	4.274
Barnet	-86	-20.259
Bexley	31	5.216
Brent	-8	-1.657
Bromley	-29	-6.757
Croydon	-40	-9.929
Ealing	8	1.851
Enfield	-9	-1.765
Haringey	-20	-3.026
Harrow	-37	-5.749
Havering	3	.480
Hillingdon	-4	-.787
Hounslow	-14	-2.007
Kingston-upon-Thames	-31	-3.276
Merton	-34	-4.299
Newham	36	5.474
Redbridge	-10	-1.871
Richmond-upon-Thames	-46	-5.977
Sutton	-17	-2.256
Waltham Forest	18	3.017

1989/90 DOMESTIC SECTOR RESOURCE EQUALISATION

	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation per adult (£)	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation (£m)
GREATER MANCHESTER		
Bolton	28	5.444
Bury	-0	-0.015
Manchester	24	8.167
Oldham	49	8.142
Rochdale	35	8.370
Salford	21	3.844
Stockport	-41	-9.109
Tameside	52	8.658
Trafford	-47	-7.814
Wigan	44	10.147
MERSEYSIDE		
Knowsley	18	2.080
Liverpool	21	7.736
St Helens	34	4.861
Sefton	-10	-2.339
Wirral	-28	-7.268
SOUTH YORKSHIRE		
Barnsley	91	15.468
Doncaster	67	14.817
Rotherham	73	13.891
Sheffield	66	27.731
TYNE AND WEAR		
Gateshead	65	10.457
Newcastle upon Tyne	33	7.347
North Tyneside	27	4.019
South Tyneside	57	6.946
Sunderland	68	15.215
WEST MIDLANDS		
Birmingham	-23	-16.869
Coventry	-10	-2.307
Dudley	-35	-8.143
Sandwell	-7	-1.591
Solihull	-98	-15.295
Walsall	-16	-3.131
Wolverhampton	-41	-7.766
WEST YORKSHIRE		
Bradford	79	26.979
Calderdale	95	14.147
Kirklees	89	25.357
Leeds	53	28.782
Wakefield	72	17.063

1989/90 DOMESTIC SECTOR RESOURCE EQUALISATION

	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation per adult (£)	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation (£m)
AVON		
Bath	11	.756
Bristol	17	5.021
Kingswood	18	1.257
Northavon	13	1.259
Wansdyke	4	.268
Woodspring	-4	-.577
BEDFORDSHIRE		
North Bedfordshire	-31	-3.137
Luton	-73	-8.887
Mid Bedfordshire	-25	-2.136
South Bedfordshire	-61	-5.079
BERKSHIRE		
Bracknell	-76	-5.337
Newbury	-60	-6.190
Reading	-31	-3.173
Slough	-55	-4.036
Windsor and Maidenhead	-129	-12.924
Wokingham	-89	-9.745
BUCKINGHAMSHIRE		
Aylesbury Vale	-44	-4.727
South Bucks	-201	-9.581
Chiltern	-193	-13.404
Milton Keynes	-34	-4.190
Wycombe	-119	-13.983
CAMBRIDGESHIRE		
Cambridge	-72	-5.580
East Cambridgeshire	14	.644
Fenland	30	1.637
Huntingdonshire	-5	-.551
Peterborough	-4	-.442
South Cambridgeshire	-75	-6.608
CHESHIRE		
Chester	-30	-2.726
Congleton	-11	-.704
Crewe and Nantwich	-15	-1.087
Ellesmere Port and Neston	-30	-1.771
Halton	14	1.269
Macclesfield	85	10.079
Vale Royal	-4	-.340
Warrington	10	1.369

1989/90 DOMESTIC SECTOR RESOURCE EQUALISATION

	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation per adult (£)	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation (£m)
CLEVELAND		
Hartlepool	57	3.894
Langbaungh	27	2.997
Middlesbrough	28	2.996
Stockton-on-Tees	21	2.747
CORNWALL		
Caradon	25	1.398
Carrick	20	1.233
Kerrier	52	3.474
North Cornwall	26	1.424
Penwith	35	1.622
Restormel	37	2.393
CUMBRIA		
Allerdale	79	5.945
Barrow in Furness	96	5.441
Carlisle	65	5.113
Copeland	93	5.099
Eden	76	2.693
South Lakeland	36	2.822
DERBYSHIRE		
Amber Valley	43	3.720
Bolsover	73	3.914
Chesterfield	45	3.524
Derby	0	.031
Erewash	35	2.850
High Peak	52	3.333
North East Derbyshire	38	2.848
South Derbyshire	26	1.408
Derbyshire Dales	13	.692
DEVON		
East Devon	-7	- .635
Exeter	17	1.348
North Devon	59	3.793
Plymouth	21	4.023
South Hams	-7	- .410
Teignbridge	22	1.848
Mid Devon	51	2.442
Torbay	-12	-1.094
Torridge	79	3.136
West Devon	36	1.244

1989/90 DOMESTIC SECTOR RESOURCE EQUALISATION

	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation per adult (£)	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation (£m)
DORSET		
Bournemouth	-32	-4.010
Christchurch	-85	-2.876
North Dorset	10	.405
Poole	-79	-8.066
Purbeck	-10	-.338
West Dorset	4	.254
Weymouth and Portland	28	1.376
Wimborne	-83	-5.208
DURHAM		
Chester-le-Street	52	2.128
Darlington	50	3.854
Derwentside	81	5.404
Durham	63	4.208
Easington	86	6.273
Sedgefield	76	5.166
Teesdale	97	1.881
Wear Valley	98	4.880
EAST SUSSEX		
Brighton	-60	-6.818
Eastbourne	-93	-6.107
Hastings	-21	-1.331
Hove	-95	-7.054
Lewes	-67	-4.733
Rother	-88	-6.027
Wealden	-38	-4.002
ESSEX		
Basildon	-90	-10.593
Braintree	-31	-2.769
Brentwood	-143	-8.010
Castle Point	-80	-5.259
Chelmsford	-91	-10.386
Colchester	-22	-2.489
Epping Forest	-139	-12.352
Harlow	-66	-3.635
Maldon	-60	-2.446
Rochford	-94	-5.396
Southend-on-Sea	-86	-11.032
Tendring	-44	-4.485
Thurrock	-58	-5.390
Uttlesford	-81	-4.071

1989/90 DOMESTIC SECTOR RESOURCE EQUALISATION

	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation per adult (£)	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation (£m)

GLOUCESTERSHIRE		
Cheltenham	-42	-2.814
Cotswold	-39	-2.284
Forest of Dean	55	3.239
Gloucester	23	1.611
Stroud	8	.705
Tewkesbury	-23	-1.524
HAMPSHIRE		
Basingstoke and Deane	-37	-3.840
East Hampshire	-58	-4.432
Eastleigh	-43	-3.272
Fareham	-56	-4.253
Gosport	-25	-1.439
Hart	-76	-4.709
Havant	-57	-5.072
New Forest	-35	-4.412
Portsmouth	24	3.515
Rushmoor	-13	-.779
Southampton	8	1.230
Test Valley	-33	-2.555
Winchester	-55	-4.031
HEREFORD AND WORCESTER		
Bromsgrove	-70	-4.837
Hereford	20	.739
Leominster	31	.951
Malvern Hills	-49	-3.341
Redditch	-41	-2.264
South Herefordshire	23	.879
Worcester	-47	-2.849
Wychavon	-69	-5.258
Wyre Forest	-24	-1.741
HERTFORDSHIRE		
Broxbourne	-49	-3.076
Dacorum	-92	-9.277
East Hertfordshire	-57	-5.171
Hertsmere	-101	-6.898
North Hertfordshire	-80	-6.796
St Albans	-96	-9.585
Stevenage	-69	-3.844
Three Rivers	-113	-7.039
Watford	-54	-3.148
Welwyn Hatfield	-88	-6.404

1989/90 DOMESTIC SECTOR RESOURCE EQUALISATION

	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation per adult (£)	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation (£m)
HUMBERSIDE		
Beverley	-12	-1.001
Boothferry	72	3.469
Cleethorpes	40	2.138
Glanford	41	2.186
Great Grimsby	45	3.048
Holderness	40	1.518
Kingston upon Hull	67	12.608
East Yorkshire	65	4.178
Scunthorpe	30	1.376
ISLE OF WIGHT		
Medina	20	1.126
South Wight	9	.419
KENT		
Ashford	-6	-.426
Canterbury	6	.580
Dartford	19	1.158
Dover	27	2.206
Gillingham	-6	-.404
Gravesham	-10	-.666
Maidstone	-1	-.128
Rochester upon Medway	4	.488
Sevenoaks	-29	-2.340
Shepway	-37	-2.602
Swale	40	3.396
Thanet	-2	-.183
Tonbridge and Malling	9	.720
Tunbridge Wells	-6	-.452
LANCASHIRE		
Blackburn	81	3.142
Blackpool	32	3.756
Burnley	97	6.192
Chorley	34	2.454
Fylde	-6	-.356
Hyndburn	97	5.345
Lancaster	53	5.409
Pendle	101	6.432
Preston	51	4.865
Ribble Valley	46	1.918
Rossendale	78	3.767
South Ribble	36	2.711
West Lancashire	-11	-.919
Wyre	20	1.650

1989/90 DOMESTIC SECTOR RESOURCE EQUALISATION

	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation per adult (£)	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation (£m)
LEICESTERSHIRE		
Blaby	-16	-1.008
Charnwood	-23	-2.596
Harborough	-32	-1.625
Hinckley and Bosworth	-9	-.635
Leicester	41	8.553
Melton	-28	-.915
North West Leicestershire	11	.688
Oadby and Wigston	-32	-1.282
Rutland	10	.260
LINCOLNSHIRE		
Boston	23	.932
East Lindsey	31	2.793
Lincoln	36	2.204
North Kesteven	34	2.193
South Holland	34	1.778
South Kesteven	7	.576
West Lindsey	39	2.300
NORFOLK		
Breckland	14	1.109
Broadland	-17	-1.373
Great Yarmouth	15	1.022
North Norfolk	7	.528
Norwich	-2	-.167
South Norfolk	-15	-1.140
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	31	3.183
NORTHAMPTONSHIRE		
Corby	-4	-.130
Daventry	-35	-1.612
East Northamptonshire	12	.616
Kettering	12	.674
Northampton	-23	-3.125
South Northamptonshire	-49	-2.482
Wellingborough	7	.364
NORTHUMBERLAND		
Alnwick	47	1.103
Berwick-upon-Tweed	48	1.013
Blyth Valley	39	2.256
Castle Morpeth	2	.072
Tynedale	40	1.728
Wansbeck	66	3.026

1989/90 DOMESTIC SECTOR RESOURCE EQUALISATION

	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation per adult (£)	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation (£m)
NORTH YORKSHIRE		
Craven	56	2.125
Hambleton	24	1.393
Harrogate	15	1.733
Richmondshire	66	2.521
Ryedale	50	3.529
Scarborough	50	4.147
Setby	63	4.269
York	69	5.522
NOTTINGHAMSHIRE		
Ashfield	67	5.543
Bassetlaw	58	4.706
Broxtowe	21	1.773
Gedling	7	.612
Mansfield	50	3.795
Newark and Sherwood	40	3.126
Nottingham	34	7.093
Rushcliffe	-8	-.641
OXFORDSHIRE		
Cherwell	-17	-1.560
Oxford	-9	-.831
South Oxfordshire	-55	-5.572
Vale of White Horse	-39	-3.260
West Oxfordshire	-5	-.397
SHROPSHIRE		
Bridgnorth	-0	-.003
North Shropshire	39	1.598
Oswestry	43	1.073
Shrewsbury and Atcham	-4	-.266
South Shropshire	35	.979
Wrekin	7	.722
SOMERSET		
Mendip	8	.601
Sedgemoor	6	.414
Taunton Deane	9	.650
West Somerset	-6	-.154
South Somerset	10	1.110

1989/90 DOMESTIC SECTOR RESOURCE EQUALISATION

	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation per adult (£)	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation (£m)
STAFFORDSHIRE		
Cannock Chase	15	.950
East Staffordshire	14	1.016
Lichfield	-45	-3.217
Newcastle-under-Lyme	14	1.280
South Staffordshire	-49	-3.957
Stafford	-10	-.906
Staffordshire Moorlands	22	1.636
Stoke-on-Trent	42	7.961
Tamworth	-15	-.715
SUFFOLK		
Babergh	-16	-.944
Forest Heath	15	.636
Ipswich	-11	-1.016
Mid Suffolk	5	.321
St Edmundsbury	1	.089
Suffolk Coastal	-34	-2.827
Waveney	9	.727
SURREY		
Elmbridge	-152	-12.914
Epsom and Ewell	-103	-5.376
Guildford	-64	-6.139
Mole Valley	-66	-3.962
Reigate and Banstead	-73	-6.497
Runnymede	-42	-2.361
Spelthorne	-42	-2.894
Surrey Heath	-82	-5.002
Tandridge	-47	-2.727
Waverley	-87	-7.352
Woking	-80	-5.276
WARWICKSHIRE		
North Warwickshire	-6	-.259
Nuneaton and Bedworth	-13	-1.176
Rugby	-33	-2.149
Stratford on Avon	-84	-7.010
Warwick	-84	-7.670

DATE: 21-JUL-89

1989/90 DOMESTIC SECTOR RESOURCE EQUALISATION

	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation per adult (£)	Transfer to area by domestic sector resource equalisation (£m)
WEST SUSSEX		
Adur	-32	-1.467
Arun	-55	-5.801
Chichester	-45	-3.784
Crawley	-2	-.100
Horsham	-52	-4.249
Mid Sussex	-61	-5.652
Worthing	-45	-3.590
WILTSHIRE		
Kennet	14	.671
North Wiltshire	43	3.673
Salisbury	-9	-.708
Thamesdown	31	3.937
West Wiltshire	28	2.252
ALL PURPOSE AUTHORITY		
Isles of Scilly	-21	-.032

ILLUSTRATIVE LONG RUN COMMUNITY CHARGES ASSUMING SPENDING 3.8% ABOVE 1985/90 BUDGETS

(B)

	COL 1 1989/90 average rate bill per adult + 4% (£)	COL 2 1990/91 CC without safety net expenditure £32.8bn	COL 3 Long term gain from new system
Total England	280	274	6
Total Inner London	343	437	-93
Total Outer London	324	315	9
Total Metropolitan Areas	275	293	-20
Total Shire Areas	271	248	23

Gains +

Losses -

ANX
B
GAINS
+
LOSSES

ILLUSTRATIVE LONG RUN COMMUNITY CHARGES ASSUMING SPENDING 3.8% ABOVE 1989/90 BUDGETS

COL 1	COL 2	COL 3
1989/90	1990/91	Long term
average	CC without	gain
rate bill per	safety net	from new
adult + 4% expenditure	£32.8bn	system
(£)		

GREATER LONDON

City of London	541	335	206
Camden	446	365	82
Greenwich	285	556	-271
Hackney	351	367	-16
Hammersmith and Fulham	373	575	-202
Islington	446	464	-18
Kensington and Chelsea	393	265	128
Lambeth	309	440	-131
Lewisham	273	499	-224
Southwark	281	468	-187
Tower Hamlets	282	580	-298
Wandsworth	202	387	-185
Westminster	587	270	317
Barking and Dagenham	244	372	-128
Barnet	361	246	115
Bexley	247	297	-50
Brent	491	474	17
Bromley	255	263	-8
Croydon	267	163	104
Ealing	321	323	-2
Enfield	316	279	37
Haringey	532	593	-61
Harrow	327	266	61
Havering	257	299	-42
Hillingdon	328	410	-82
Hounslow	373	363	10
Kings ton-upon-Thames	324	324	-0
Merton	283	309	-25
Newham	356	336	20
Redbridge	231	244	-13
Richmond-upon-Thames	357	303	53
Sutton	309	300	9
Waltham Forest	325	287	38

ILLUSTRATIVE LONG RUN COMMUNITY CHARGES ASSUMING SPENDING 3.8% ABOVE 1989/90 BUDGETS

	COL 1	COL 2	COL 3
	1989/90	1990/91	Long term
	average	CC without	gain
	rate bill per	safety net	from new
	adult + 4%	expenditure £32.8bn	systems
	(£)		

GREATER MANCHESTER

Bolton	242	253	-10
Bury	306	319	-12
Manchester	322	253	69
Oldham	237	273	-35
Rochdale	262	356	-94
Salford	286	294	-8
Stockport	313	277	36
Tameside	253	317	-64
Trafford	287	244	43
Wigan	269	353	-84

MERSEYSIDE

Knowsley	300	261	39
Liverpool	302	282	20
St Helens	262	323	-61
Sefton	288	274	14
Wirral	381	357	24

SOUTH YORKSHIRE

Barnsley	221	376	-155
Doncaster	258	373	-115
Rotherham	249	358	-110
Sheffield	278	387	-110

Tyneside AND WEAR

Gateshead	248	334	-86
Newcastle upon Tyne	279	340	-61
North Tyneside	313	354	-41
South Tyneside	236	312	-76
Sunderland	217	288	-71

WEST MIDLANDS

Birmingham	281	202	78
Coventry	311	291	21
Dudley	302	258	44
Sandwell	279	219	59
Solihull	318	205	113
Walsall	305	264	41
Wolverhampton	306	207	99

WEST YORKSHIRE

Bradford	218	288	-69
Calderdale	236	386	-149
KirkLees	217	334	-117
Leeds	223	257	-34
Wakefield	237	349	-113

DATE: 11-SEP-89

9.11.1989 17:35

11.05.89 17:35

ILLUSTRATIVE LONG RUN COMMUNITY CHARGES ASSUMING SPENDING 3.8% ABOVE 1989/90 BUDGET'S

	COL 1 1989/90 average rate bill per adult + 4% (£)	COL 2 1990/91 CC without safety net expenditure £32.8bn	COL 3 Long term gain from new system
AVON			
Bath	255	295	-40
Bristol	298	344	-47
Kingswood	263	265	-2
Northavon	299	279	20
Wansdyke	278	292	-14
Woodspring	305	289	16
BEDFORDSHIRE			
North Bedfordshire	310	231	79
Luton	361	233	129
Mid Bedfordshire	316	252	64
South Bedfordshire	364	276	89
BERKSHIRE			
Bracknell	305	233	71
Newbury	299	182	117
Reading	274	228	46
Slough	265	145	120
Windsor and Maidenhead	349	241	108
Wokingham	340	207	134
BUCKINGHAMSHIRE			
Aylesbury Vale	288	188	100
South Bucks	458	220	238
Chiltern	463	235	228
Milton Keynes	331	220	111
Wycombe	386	213	171
CAMBRIDGESHIRE			
Cambridge	323	240	83
East Cambridgeshire	235	208	27
Fenland	223	219	5
Huntingdonshire	250	199	50
Peterborough	274	243	26
South Cambridgeshire	297	186	112
CHESHIRE			
Chester	303	261	42
Congleton	280	260	20
Crewe and Nantwich	308	274	34
Ellesmere Port and Neston	292	269	23
Halton	259	268	-9
Macclesfield	357	254	102
Vale Royal	267	255	12
Warrington	266	272	-6

ILLUSTRATIVE LONG RUN COMMUNITY CHARGES ASSUMING SPENDING 3.8% ABOVE 1989/90 BUDGETS

	COL 1 1989/90 average rate bill per adult + 4% (£)	COL 2 1990/91 CC without safety net expenditure £32.8bn	COL 3 Long term gain from new system
CLEVELAND			
Hartlepool	247	316	-69
Langbaungh-on-Tees	308	356	-48
Middlesbrough	275	336	-61
Stockton-on-Tees	298	317	-20
CORNWALL			
Caradon	220	220	-0
Carrick	229	231	-2
Kerrier	194	225	-32
North Cornwall	220	213	7
Penwith	205	221	-16
Restormel	205	221	-16
CUMBRIA			
Allerdale	197	277	-80
Barrow in Furness	198	317	-120
Carlisle	227	269	-42
Copeland	191	292	-101
Eden	208	248	-40
South Lakeland	249	275	-26
DERBYSHIRE			
Amber Valley	249	323	-74
Bolsover	225	352	-127
Chesterfield	257	346	-89
Derby	311	311	-0
Erewash	265	329	-64
High Peak	254	335	-81
North East Derbyshire	277	355	-78
South Derbyshire	281	316	-36
Derbyshire Dales	297	320	-24
DEVON			
East Devon	241	227	14
Exeter	216	233	-16
North Devon	185	221	-36
Plymouth	217	220	-3
South Hams	257	228	29
Teignbridge	225	231	-6
Mid Devon	193	220	-26
Torbay	258	296	-38
Torrige	169	217	-47
West Devon	205	212	-8

DATE: 11-SEP-89

ILLUSTRATIVE LONG RUN COMMUNITY CHARGES ASSUMING SPENDING 3.8% ABOVE 1985/90 BUDGETS

	COL 1 1989/90 average rate bill per adult + 4% expenditure (£)	COL 2 1990/91 CC without safety net £32.8bn	COL 3 Long term gain from new system
DORSET			
Bournemouth	254	248	7
Christchurch	303	239	66
North Dorset	216	194	21
Poole	292	227	65
Purbeck	227	200	27
West Dorset	222	202	21
Weymouth and Portland	203	230	-27
East Dorset	317	239	78
DURHAM			
Chester-le-Street	237	266	-49
Derlington	248	286	-38
Derwentside	209	307	-98
Durham	227	285	-58
Easington	200	291	-91
Sedgefield	225	329	-104
Teesdale	183	227	-44
Wear Valley	205	317	-112
EAST SUSSEX			
Brighton	335	317	18
Eastbourne	343	257	86
Hastings	269	229	40
Hove	290	220	69
Lewes	309	231	78
Rother	325	227	98
Wealden	289	230	58
ESSEX			
Basildon	434	352	82
Braintree	302	226	77
Brentwood	408	381	27
Castle Point	339	231	109
Chelmsford	371	227	144
Colchester	291	226	65
Epping Forest	414	263	151
Harlow	425	409	16
Maldon	327	223	105
Rochford	363	242	121
Southend-on-Sea	357	249	108
Tendring	310	244	66
Thurrock	365	310	55
Uttlesford	363	226	137

ILLUSTRATIVE LONG RUN COMMUNITY CHARGES ASSUMING SPENDING 3.8% ABOVE 1985/90 BUDGETS

COL 1	COL 2	COL 3
1989/90	1990/91	Long term
average	CC without	gain
rate bill per	safety net	from new
adult + 4% expenditure	£32.8bn	system
(£)		

GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Cheltenham	280	253	27
Cotswold	282	222	60
Forest of Dean	201	229	-28
Gloucester	231	225	6
Stroud	251	244	7
Tewkesbury	270	218	52

HAMPSHIRE

Basingstoke and Deane	249	149	100
East Hampshire	287	182	105
Basleigh	282	193	89
Fareham	287	187	99
Gosport	245	192	53
Hart	314	197	117
Hevant	280	180	100
New Forest	264	191	73
Portsouth	205	204	1
Rushmoor	231	176	56
Southampton	221	193	29
Test Valley	262	167	95
Winchester	293	184	109

HEREFORD AND WORCESTER

Brosgrave	264	177	88
Hereford	185	171	13
Leominster	176	145	31
Malvern Hills	258	187	71
Redditch	270	210	60
South Herefordshire	189	149	40
Worcester	259	208	51
Wychevon	280	191	88
Wyre Forest	242	212	30

HERTFORDSHIRE

Broxbourne	326	268	58
Decorum	375	257	118
East Hertfordshire	336	277	60
Hertsmere	405	302	103
North Hertfordshire	374	271	104
St Albans	389	262	126
Stevenage	386	328	58
Three Rivers	406	281	125
Watford	340	265	75
Welwyn Hatfield	417	340	78

ILLUSTRATIVE LONG RUN COMMUNITY CHARGES ASSUMING SPENDING 3.8% ABOVE 1989/90 BUDGETS

	COL 1 1989/90 average rate bill per adult + 4% expenditure (£)	COL 2 1990/91 cc without safety net £32.8bn	COL 3 Long term gain from new system
HUMBERSIDE			
Beverley	317	305	12
Boothferry	220	302	-83
Cleethorpes	264	331	-67
Glanford	259	290	-31
Great Grimsby	251	318	-68
Holderness	262	292	-30
Kingston upon Hull	233	322	-88
East Yorkshire	242	323	-81
Scunthorpe	284	367	-83
ISLE OF WIGHT			
Medina	245	252	-7
South Wight	269	272	-4
KENT			
Ashford	239	191	48
Canterbury	224	197	27
Dartford	218	237	-18
Dover	198	191	8
Gillingham	211	184	27
Gravesham	232	195	37
Maidstone	231	182	50
Rochester upon Medway	205	153	52
Sevenoaks	257	198	59
Shepway	278	226	52
Swele	198	209	-11
Thanet	234	211	23
Tonbridge and Malling	229	224	5
Tunbridge Wells	245	195	50
LANCASHIRE			
Blackburn	183	240	-56
Blackpool	239	285	-46
Burnley	176	264	-88
Chorley	228	242	-14
Fylde	272	256	17
Hyndburn	176	264	-88
Lancaster	211	257	-46
Pendle	169	276	-107
Preston	233	221	12
Ribble Valley	215	253	-37
Rossendale	199	287	-88
South Ribble	228	254	-26
West Lancashire	275	244	31
Wyre	239	249	-10

ILLUSTRATIVE LONG RUN COMMUNITY CHARGES ASSUMING SPENDING 3.8% ABOVE 1989/90 BUDGETS

COL 1	COL 2	COL 3
1989/90	1990/91	Long term
average	CC without	gain
rate bill per	safety net	from new
adult + 4%	expenditure £32.8bn	system
(£)		

LEICESTERSHIRE

Blaby	266	235	31
Charnwood	265	222	43
Harborough	307	251	56
Hinckley and Bosworth	257	239	18
Leicester	232	284	-53
Melton	258	234	24
North West Leicesters	258	259	-1
Oadby and Wigston	281	252	29
Rutland	243	220	24

LINCOLNSHIRE

Boston	208	199	9
East Lindsey	204	186	18
Lincoln	199	211	-12
North Kesteven	205	196	9
South Holland	204	203	1
South Kesteven	222	202	20
West Lindsey	200	194	6

NORFOLK

Breckland	223	209	14
Broadland	253	216	37
Great Yarmouth	222	234	-13
North Norfolk	228	210	18
Norwich	256	246	10
South Norfolk	251	228	23
King's Lynn and West	203	203	0

NORTHAMPTONSHIRE

Corby	274	247	27
Deventry	303	243	60
East Northamptonshire	233	216	17
Kettering	246	235	11
Northampton	296	278	18
South Northamptonshir	293	207	86
Wellingborough	242	214	28

NORTHUMBERLAND

Alnwick	242	298	-56
Berwick-upon-Tweed	231	294	-63
Blyth Valley	271	348	-78
Castle Morpeth	303	290	14
Tynedale	257	289	-32
Wansbeck	238	351	-113

DATE -SEP-89

ILLUSTRATIVE LONG RUN COMMUNITY CHARGES ASSUMING SPENDING 3.8% ABOVE 1989/90 BUDGETS

	COL 1 1989/90 average rate bill per adult + 4% (£)	COL 2 1990/91 CC without safety net expenditure £32.8bn	COL 3 Long term gain from new system
NORTH YORKSHIRE			
Craven	197	233	-36
Hazleton	226	231	-5
Harrogate	260	268	-8
Richmondshire	187	227	-40
Ryedale	211	244	-34
Scarborough	204	263	-59
Selby	205	257	-51
York	187	238	-51
NOTTINGHAMSHIRE			
Ashfield	206	261	-55
Bassetlaw	228	264	-36
Broxtowe	258	261	-3
Gedling	274	257	16
Mansfield	225	282	-57
Newark and Sherwood	249	253	-4
Nottingham	234	242	-8
Rushcliffe	209	247	42
OXFORDSHIRE			
Cherwell	269	223	46
Oxford	294	212	82
South Oxfordshire	321	223	96
Vale of White Horse	302	210	93
West Oxfordshire	272	213	60
SHROPSHIRE			
Bridgnorth	228	191	37
North Shropshire	200	203	-2
Oswestry	202	227	-25
Shrewsbury and Atcham	251	223	28
South Shropshire	208	186	20
Wrekin	267	258	9
SOMERSET			
Mendip	250	242	8
Sedgemoor	259	259	-1
Taunton Deane	255	250	5
West Somerset	271	249	22
South Somerset	259	256	3

Oswestry	202	227	-25
Shrewsbury and Atcham	251	223	28
South Shropshire	208	186	20
Wrekin	267	258	9

SOMERSET

Mendip	250	242	8
Sedgemoor	259	259	-1
Taunton Deane	255	250	5
West Somerset	271	249	22
South Somerset	259	256	3

SURREY

Elmbridge	445	292	153
Epsom and Ewell	398	306	92
Guildford	334	213	121
Mole Valley	336	258	78
Reigate and Banstead	358	264	94
Runnymede	294	211	82
Spelthorne	293	228	65
Surrey Heath	352	232	120
Tandridge	302	277	25
Waverley	362	235	127
Woking	368	283	85

WARWICKSHIRE

North Warwickshire	307	309	-2
Nuneaton and Bedworth	308	315	-7
Rugby	313	274	39
Stratford on Avon	369	266	103
Warwick	361	278	83

WILT. 11-SEP-89

ILLUSTRATIVE LONG RUN COMMUNITY CHARGES ASSUMING SPENDING 3.8% ABOVE 1989/90 BUDGETS

	COL 1 1989/90 average rate bill per adult + 4% (£)	COL 2 1990/91 CC without safety net expenditure £32.8bn	COL 3 Long term gain from new system
WEST SUSSEX			
Adur	281	240	41
Arun	270	210	60
Chichester	262	193	69
Crawley	269	263	6
Horsham	261	175	86
Mid Sussex	287	210	77
Worthing	248	203	45
WILTSHIRE			
Kennet	241	221	20
North Wiltshire	226	252	-25
Salisbury	262	220	42
Thamesdown	253	274	-22
West Wiltshire	232	259	-27
ALL PURPOSE AUTHORITY			
Isles of Scilly	214	507	-293

(as at 5 July)

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 COMMUNITY CHARGES WITH SPENDING AT £32 Bn

£23 Bn. of which £200m for specific grants. Gross Total Standard Spending £32 Bn
 DOE (LFP) Standard Spending Assessment Package
 Inner London charges reduced by £100m ILEA specific grant
 1990/91 charges reduced by £100m specific grant in losing areas with low domestic RV per hereditament

	COL 1 1989/90 Av rate bill per adult + 43	COL 2 Long run change	COL 3 Up to £25 loss. 47% of gains allowed	COL 4 Effect on change of 1% rise in spending	Safety net contribution (+)/ entitlement (-) (C13 - C12) *
GREATER LONDON					
City of London	541	325	421	2	+75 *
Camden	46	62	65	12	-17
Greenwich	285	579	246	13	-333
Hackney	351	239	263	15	+24
Hammersmith and Fulham	373	563	368	14	-215
Islington	446	625	416	14	-9
Kensington and Chelsea	393	205	282	9	+75 *
Lambeth	309	334	277	14	-57
Lewisham	275	623	241	12	-182
Southwark	281	639	247	15	-192
Tower Hamlets	282	367	260	16	-157
Wandsworth	202	350	175	11	-175
Westminster	587	341	449	13	+75 *
<hr/>					
Barking and Dagenham	244	365	269	9	-96
Barnet	361	266	307	7	+61
Bexley	247	294	272	7	-22
Brent	491	461	477	13	+16
Bromley	255	260	260	6	0
Broydon	267	164	219	7	+56
Bulling	321	312	317	10	+5
Burfield	316	274	296	8	+22
Burridge	532	566	557	14	-9
Burrow	327	264	298	8	+36
Burving	257	298	282	7	-16
Burkington	328	402	353	9	-49
Burmslow	373	351	362	10	+11
Burston-Upon-Thames	324	328	328	8	0
Burton	285	304	304	8	0
Burham	356	316	339	10	+20
Burridge	231	210	210	7	0
Burston-Upon-Thames	357	321	332	6	+29
Burton	309	317	328	7	+1
Burton Forest	325	278	302	10	+29

ANNEX
C
SAFETY
NET

* adjusted for decision to cap contributions at £75

(a) Shown as £75 contribution in DOE 1988-89 simplifications

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 COMMUNITY CHARGES WITH SPENDING AT £32 Bn

AEF £23 bn. of which £200m for specific grants. Gross Total Standard Spending £32 Bn
DOE E(LF) Standard Spending Assessment Package

Inner London charges reduced by £100m ILEA specific grant

1990/91 charges reduced by £100m specific grant in losing areas with low domestic RV per head/cassent

	COL 1 1989/90 Av rate bill per adult + 6%	COL 2 Long run change	COL 3 up to £25 loss 67% of gains allowed	COL 4 Effect on change of % rise in spending	SN contribution (+)/ entitlement (-)
GREATER MANCHESTER					
Bolton	242	243	243	9	0
Bury	308	308	308	8	0
Manchester	322	288	302	11	+18
Oldham	237	259	255	10	-4
Rochdale	262	343	277	10	-66
Salford	286	283	285	9	+2
Stockport	313	269	292	8	+23
Tameside	253	304	274	9	-30
Trafford	287	235	263	8	+28
Wigan	269	343	294	9	-69
MERSEYSIDE					
Knowsley	300	247	275	11	+28
Liverpool	302	276	280	11	+14
St Helens	262	313	287	9	-26
Selton	288	270	279	8	+9
Wirral	381	350	366	10	+16
SOUTH YORKSHIRE					
Barnsley	221	367	221	8	-146
Doncaster	258	372	270	9	-102
Rotherham	249	349	255	9	-94
Sheffield	278	384	287	9	-97
TYNE AND WEAR					
Gateshead	248	324	255	9	-69
Newcastle upon Tyne	279	335	304	10	-31
North Tyneside	313	345	338	9	-7
South Tyneside	236	301	281	9	-50
Sunderland	217	275	228	9	-50
WEST MIDLANDS					
Birmingham	281	193	212	10	+67
Coventry	311	281	294	10	+16
Dudley	302	250	274	8	+29
Sandwell	279	211	231	9	+26
Solihull	318	208	230	7	+39
Walsall	305	255	278	9	+23
Wolverhampton	306	198	218	8	+39
WEST YORKSHIRE					
Bradford	278	277	277	10	-54
Calden	256	379	277	10	-121
Leeds	277	327	277	9	-50
Wakefield	225	254	254	8	-29
West Yorkshire	287	345	277	8	-58

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 COMMUNITY CHARGES WITH SPENDING AT £2.1bn

AEF £23.1bn, of which £200m for specific grants. Gross Total Standard Spending £2.1bn

DOE E(LF) Standard Spending Assessment Package

Inner London charges reduced by £100m ILEA specific grant

1990/91 charges reduced by £100m specific grant in losing areas with low domestic RV per hereditament

COL 1	COL 2	COL 3	COL 4	
1989/90	Long	Up to	Effect on	
Average rate bill	run	£25 loss	charge of	
per adult + 4%	charge	67% of gains	1% rise in	
		allowed	spending	

SN
contribution (+)
entitlement (-)

	COL 1	COL 2	COL 3	COL 4	
AVON					
Bath	255	298	280	7	-18
Bristol	298	345	323	8	-22
Kingswood	263	264	264	7	0
Northavon	299	276	288	7	+12
Wansdyke	278	288	288	7	0
Woodspring	305	285	296	7	+11
BEDFORDSHIRE					
North Bedfordshire	310	238	276	8	+38
Luton	361	233	301	8	+68
Mid Bedfordshire	316	265	282	8	+37
South Bedfordshire	364	273	322	8	+69
BERKSHIRE					
(a) Bracknell	305	239	274	7	+35
(a) Newbury	299	178	242	7	+64
Reading	274	225	251	8	+26
Slough	265	150	211	7	+61
Windsor and Maidenhead	349	241	298	7	+57
(a) Wokingham	340	232	276	7	+74
BUCKINGHAMSHIRE					
Aylesbury Vale	288	186	240	7	+54
(a) South Bucks	458	213	344	7	+75 #
(a) Chiltern	463	231	354	7	+75 #
Milton Keynes	331	217	278	8	+61
(a) Wycombe	386	223	310	7	+75 #
CAMBRIDGESHIRE					
(a) Cambridge	323	249	288	7	+39
East Cambridgeshire	235	212	224	7	+12
Fenland	223	230	230	7	0
Huntingdonshire	250	208	230	7	+22
Peterborough	274	254	265	7	+9
(a) South Cambridgeshire	297	192	248	6	+56
CHESHIRE					
Chester	303	258	282	7	+24
Congleton	280	256	264	7	+13
Crook and Ventnor	308	276	293	8	+13
Ellesmere Port and Neston	292	267	281	8	+14
Macclesfield	259	267	267	8	0
(a) Macclesfield	357	252	308	7	+56
Wale Royal	287	253	260	7	+7
Warrington	286	270	270	8	0

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 COMMUNITY CHARGES WITH SPENDING AT £32 Bn

AEF £23.1bn, of which £200m for specific grants. Gross Total Standard Spending £32 Bn

DOE E(LF) Standard Spending Assessment Package

Inner London charges reduced by £100m ILEA specific grant

1990/91 charges reduced by £100m specific grant in losing areas with low domestic RV per head/child

	COL 1 1989/90 Av rate bill per adult + 4%	COL 2 Long run change	COL 3 Up to £25 loss. +7% of gains allowed	COL 4 Effect on change of 1% rise in spending	SN contribution(+) entitlement(-)
CLEVELAND					
Martlepool	247	301	263	10	-38
Langbaugh-on-Tees	308	337	333	10	-4
Middlesbrough	275	330	300	10	-30
Stockton-on-Tees	298	302	302	10	0
CORNWALL					
Caradon	220	218	219	7	+1
Carrick	229	228	229	7	+1
Kernier	194	219	215	7	-4
North Cornwall	220	215	218	7	+3
Penwith	205	219	217	7	-2
Restormel	205	217	217	7	0
CUMBRIA					
Allerdale	197	282	197	8	-85
Barrow in Furness	198	321	198	8	-123
Carlisle	227	282	238	8	-42
Copeland	191	293	191	8	-102
Eden	208	256	208	7	-68
South Lakeland	249	280	274	8	-6
DERBYSHIRE					
Amber Valley	249	316	274	8	-42
Bolsover	225	342	226	8	-116
Chesterfield	257	342	282	8	-60
Derby	311	311	311	8	0
Erewash	265	325	290	8	-35
High Peak	254	328	279	8	-49
North East Derbyshire	277	347	302	8	-45
South Derbyshire	281	309	306	8	-3
Derbyshire Dales	297	315	315	8	0
DEVON					
East Devon	241	224	233	7	+9
Exeter	216	238	238	7	0
North Devon	185	220	202	7	-15
Plymouth	217	223	223	7	0
South Hams	257	229	244	7	+15
Tenbury	225	229	229	7	0
Mid Devon	193	220	218	7	-2
Torbay	258	293	280	7	-10
Torrington	169	216	169	7	-47
West Devon	205	212	212	7	0

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 COMMUNITY CHARGES WITH SPENDING AT £2 Bn

AEF £23 bn of which £200m for specific grants. Gross Total Standard Spending £32 Bn
 DOE E(LF) Standard Spending Assessment Package
 Inner London charges reduced by £100m ILEA specific grant
 1990/91 charges reduced by £100m specific grant in losing areas with low domestic RV per hereditament

	COL 1 1989/90 Av rate bill per adult = 6%	COL 2 Long run charge	COL 3 Up to £25 loss. = 7% of gains allowed	COL 4 Effect on change of 1% rise in spending	SN contribution (+) antilesson (-)	
DORSET						
	Bournemouth	254	251	253	7	+2
(A)	Christchurch	305	248	278	6	+30
	North Dorset	216	193	205	6	+12
(A)	Poole	292	235	265	6	+30
	Purbeck	227	197	213	6	+26
	West Dorset	222	203	214	6	+11
	Weymouth and Portland	203	233	228	6	-5
(A)	East Dorset	317	235	279	6	+14
DURHAM						
	Chester-Le-Street	237	281	261	8	-20
	Darlington	248	285	273	8	-12
	Derwentside	209	301	209	8	-92
	Durham	227	280	252	8	-28
	Easington	200	288	200	8	-84
	Sedgefield	225	325	225	8	-100
	Teesdale	183	224	183	7	-41
	Weir Valley	205	313	205	8	-108
EAST SUSSEX						
	Brighton	335	348	348	8	0
(E)	Eastbourne	343	269	308	7	+34
	Hastings	269	238	255	7	+17
	Hove	290	223	259	7	+36
	Lewes	309	228	271	6	+63
(A)	Rother	325	221	276	6	+55
	Wealden	289	224	259	6	+35
ESSEX						
(A)	Basilston	434	353	396	8	+63
	Braintree	302	229	268	7	+34
	Brentwood	408	386	397	8	+11
(A)	Castle Point	339	234	290	7	+56
(A)	Chelmsford	371	229	304	7	+75
	Colchester	291	230	263	7	+23
(A)	Epping Forest	474	267	344	7	+75
	Hartlow	424	417	421	9	+5
(A)	Harlow	357	224	279	7	+55
(A)	Rochford	322	242	317	7	+65
(A)	Southeast-on-Sea	357	251	304	7	+55
	Tenning	371	246	311	7	+36
	Thurrock	311	313	311	8	+28
(A)	Uttlesford	311	228	291	7	+73

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 COMMUNITY CHARGES WITH SPENDING AT £2.8bn

AEF £23.9bn of which £200m for specific grants Gross Total Standard Spending £32.8bn
DOE E(LF) Standard Spending Assessment Package

Inner London charges reduced by £100m ILEA specific grant

1990/91 charges reduced by £100m specific grant in losing areas with low domestic RV per head/capita

	COL 1 1989/90 Av rate bill per adult + 62	COL 2 Long run charge	COL 3 up to £25 loss 47% of gains allowed	COL 4 Effect on charge of 1% rise in spending	SN contribution (+) / entitlement (-)
GLoucestershire					
Cheltenham	280	255	268	7	+13
Cotswold	282	223	254	7	+33
Forest of Dean	201	228	226	7	-2
Gloucester	231	232	232	7	0
Stroud	251	241	246	7	+5
Tewkesbury	270	215	244	6	+29
HAMPSHIRE					
Basingstoke and Deane	249	162	208	6	+66
East Hampshire	287	173	234	6	+61
Eastleigh	282	187	238	6	+51
Fareham	287	182	238	6	+56
Gosport	245	189	219	7	+30
(a) Hart	314	191	256	6	+65
Havant	280	175	231	7	+56
New Forest	264	190	229	6	+39
Portsmouth	205	219	219	7	0
Rushmore	231	174	205	7	+31
Southampton	221	190	206	7	+16
Test Valley	262	164	216	6	+52
Winchester	293	176	239	6	+63
HEREFORD AND WORCESTER					
(a) Brassgrove	264	175	222	6	+49
Hereford	185	173	179	6	+6
Leominster	176	147	163	6	+16
Malvern Hills	258	185	224	6	+39
Redditch	270	214	244	7	+30
South Herefordshire	189	148	170	6	+22
Worcester	259	216	239	7	+23
(a) Wychevor	280	191	238	6	+49
Wyre Forest	242	215	229	7	+14
HERTFORDSHIRE					
Braintree	326	251	297	7	+33
(a) Dacorum	375	253	318	7	+65
East Hertfordshire	336	271	307	7	+33
(a) Hemel Hempstead	405	298	355	7	+57
(a) North Hertfordshire	374	281	323	7	+58
(a) St Albans	389	296	328	7	+69
Stevage	386	331	361	8	+29
(a) Three Rivers	406	277	345	7	+68
Watford	360	281	313	8	+30
(a) Welwyn Hatfield	417	337	380	8	+43

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 COMMUNITY CHARGES WITH SPENDING AT £32 Bm

A&F £23.1bn. of which £200m for specific grants Gross Total Standard Spending £32 Bm

DOE E(LF) Standard Spending Assessment Package

Inner London charges reduced by £100m ILEA specific grant

1990/91 charges reduced by £100m specific grant in losing areas with low domestic RV per head/tenant

	COL 1 1989/90 Av rate bill per adult + 62	COL 2 long run change	COL 3 up to £25 loss 67% of gains allowed	COL 4 Effect on change of 1% rise in spending	<i>SW contribution (+)/ entitlement (-)</i>
NUMBERSIDE					
Beverley	317	302	310	8	+8
Boothferry	220	309	226	9	-83
Cleethorpes	264	332	289	9	-63
Glanford	259	286	284	8	-2
Great Grimsby	251	322	276	9	-46
Holderness	262	288	287	8	-1
Kingston upon Hull	233	330	233	9	-97
East Yorkshire	242	318	255	9	+63
Scunthorpe	284	372	309	9	+63
ISLE OF WIGHT					
Medina	245	250	250	7	0
South Wight	269	265	267	7	+2
KBVT					
Ashford	239	198	220	7	+22
Canterbury	224	199	212	7	+13
Dartford	218	235	235	7	0
Dover	198	188	193	7	+5
Gillingham	211	187	199	7	+12
Gravesham	232	193	214	7	+21
Maidstone	231	180	207	7	+27
Rochester upon Medway	205	163	186	7	+23
Sevenoaks	257	192	227	7	+35
Shepsey	278	229	255	7	+26
Suele	198	203	203	7	0
Thanet	234	209	222	7	+13
Tonbridge and Malling	229	224	227	7	+3
Tunbridge Wells	245	190	219	7	+29
LANCASHIRE					
Blackburn	183	235	183	8	-52
Blackpool	239	290	264	8	-26
Burnley	176	260	176	8	-84
Chorley	228	239	239	8	0
Fylde	272	250	262	8	+12
Hyndburn	176	257	176	8	-81
Lancaster	211	254	236	8	-15
Pendle	169	270	169	8	-101
Preston	233	221	227	8	+6
Ribble Valley	215	246	240	8	-6
Rossendale	199	277	199	8	-78
South Ribble	228	249	249	8	0
West Lancashire	275	239	258	8	+21
Warrington	239	249	249	8	0

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 COUNCILY CHARGES WITH SPENDING AT £32 Bn

AEF £23 1bn. of which £200m for specific grants. Gross Total Standard Spending £32 Bn

DOE E(LF) Standard Spending Assessment Package

Inner London charges reduced by £100m ILEA specific grant

1990/91 charges reduced by £100m specific grant in losing areas with low domestic RV per hereditament

COL 1 1989/90 Av rate bill per adult + 62	COL 2 Long run change	COL 3 Up to £25 Loss. 67% of gains allowed	COL 4 Effect on change of 12 rise in spending
--	--------------------------------	--	---

SN contributions/
entitlement (-)

LEICESTERSHIRE

Blaby	266	226	247	7	+21
Charmwood	265	213	241	7	+28
Harborough	307	244	278	7	+34
Winkley and Bosworth	257	233	245	7	+12
Leicester	232	289	257	9	-32
Melton	258	231	246	7	+15
North West Leicestershire	258	249	254	8	+5
Oadby and Wigston	281	244	263	7	+19
Rutland	243	212	229	7	+17

LINCOLNSHIRE

Boston	208	225	225	7	0
East Lindsey	204	207	207	7	0
Lincoln	199	225	222	7	-3
North Kesteven	205	203	204	7	+1
South Holland	204	224	224	7	0
South Kesteven	222	211	217	7	+6
West Lindsey	200	203	203	7	0

NORFOLK

Breckland	223	214	219	7	+5
Broadland	253	218	237	6	+19
Great Yarmouth	222	243	243	7	0
North Norfolk	228	215	222	7	+7
Norwich	256	261	261	7	0
South Norfolk	251	233	243	7	+10
King's Lynn and west Norfolk	203	220	220	7	0

NORTHAMPTONSHIRE

Corby	274	248	262	8	+16
Deventry	303	248	277	8	+29
East Northamptonshire	233	215	224	7	+9
Kettering	246	244	245	8	+1
Northampton	296	282	290	8	+8
South Northamptonshire	293	209	254	7	+45
Wellingborough	242	231	237	8	+6

NORTHUMBRIA

Alnwick	272	296	267	8	-24
Berwick upon Tweed	271	295	238	8	-57
Blyth Valley	271	345	296	8	-69
Castle Morpeth	271	288	296	8	+8
Tynedale	271	288	280	8	-9
Wansbeck	271	348	260	8	-111

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 COUNCIL CHARGES WITH SPENDING AT £32.8bn

AEF £23.1bn. of which £200m for specific grants. Gross Total Standard Spending £32.8bn

DOE E(LF) Standard Spending Assessment Package

Inner London charges reduced by £100m ILEA specific grant

1990/91 charges reduced by £100m specific grant in losing areas with low domestic RV per hereditament

	COL 1 1989/90 Av rate bill per adult + 4%	COL 2 Long run charge	COL 3 up to £25 loss. 47% of gains allowed	COL 4 Effect on change of 1% rise in spending	SN contribution (+)/ entitlement (-)
NORTH YORKSHIRE					
Craven	197	239	211	7	-28
Hambleton	226	236	236	7	0
Harrrogate	260	273	273	7	0
Richmondshire	187	231	212	7	-14
Ryedale	211	248	236	7	-12
Scarborough	204	269	221	7	-48
Selby	205	263	230	7	-33
York	187	248	193	7	-55
NOTTINGHAMSHIRE					
Ashfield	206	257	215	7	-42
Bassetlaw	228	260	253	8	-7
Broxtowe	258	260	260	7	0
Gedling	274	254	265	7	+9
Ransfield	225	279	248	8	-29
Newark and Sherwood	249	250	250	7	0
Nottingham	234	250	250	8	0
Rushcliffe	289	249	270	7	+21
OXFORDSHIRE					
Cherwell	269	232	252	6	+20
Oxford	294	220	259	6	+39
South Oxfordshire	321	230	278	6	+48
Vale of White Horse	302	220	264	6	+44
West Oxfordshire	272	220	248	6	+28
SHROPSHIRE					
Brignorth	228	187	209	7	+22
North Shropshire	200	201	201	7	0
Oswestry	202	222	222	7	0
Shrewsbury and Atcham	251	223	238	7	+15
South Shropshire	208	188	199	7	+11
Wrekin	267	256	282	8	+6
SOMERSET					
Mendon	250	249	250	7	+1
Sedgemoor	259	268	268	7	0
Taunton Deane	255	267	267	7	0
West Somerset	271	267	268	7	+4
South Somerset	259	267	267	7	0

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 COMMUNITY CHARGES WITH SPENDING AT £32 Bn

AEF £23 bn of which £200m for specific grants: Gross Total Standard Spending £32 Bn

DOE EILF: Standard Spending Assessment Package

Inner London charges reduced by £100m ILEA specific grant

1990/91 charges reduced by £100m specific grant in losing areas with low domestic RV per head/tenant

	COL 1 1989/90 Av rate bill per adult + 4%	COL 2 Long run change	COL 3 Up to £25 loss: 47% of gains allowed	COL 4 Effect on change of 1% rise in spending	SW contribution (+)/ entitlement (-)
STAFFORDSHIRE					
Cannock Chase	244	255	255	7	0
East Staffordshire	230	229	229	7	0
Lichfield	294	230	264	7	+34
Newcastle-under-Lyme	238	254	254	7	0
South Staffordshire	291	224	260	7	+36
Stafford	252	226	240	7	+14
Staffordshire Moorlands	233	242	242	7	0
Stoke-on-Trent	210	255	235	7	-20
Tamworth	264	244	255	7	-11
SUFFOLK					
Babergh	253	249	251	7	+2
Forest Heath	226	229	229	6	0
Ipswich	283	287	287	7	0
Mid Suffolk	241	228	235	7	+7
St Edmundsbury	230	214	222	6	+8
Suffolk Coastal	287	238	264	7	+26
Waveney	231	244	244	7	0
SURREY					
(a) ELdridge	445	304	379	7	+75
(a) Epsom and Ewell	398	323	363	7	+60
Guildford	334	224	282	6	+57
(a) Mole Valley	336	262	301	7	+39
(a) Reigate and Banstead	358	276	319	6	+62
(a) Runnymede	294	247	272	6	+25
Spelthorne	293	234	266	6	+32
(a) Surrey Heath	352	241	300	6	+59
Tandridge	302	280	292	7	+12
(a) Waverley	362	240	305	6	+65
(a) Woking	368	288	331	7	+63
WARWICKSHIRE					
North Warwickshire	307	306	307	7	-1
Nuneaton and Bedworth	308	317	317	8	0
Rugby	313	281	298	7	+17
(a) Stratford on Avon	369	268	322	7	+54
(a) Warwick	361	283	325	7	+62

ILLUSTRATIVE 1990/91 COMMUNITY CHARGES WITH SPENDING AT £32 Bn

AEF £23.1bn. of which £200m for specific grants. Gross Total Standard Spending £32 Bn
DOE E(LF) Standard Spending Assessment Package

Inner London charges reduced by £100m ILEA specific grant

1990/91 charges reduced by £100m specific grant in losing areas with low domestic RV per head/cassett

	COL 1 1989/90 Av rate bill per adult + 4%	COL 2 Long run charge	COL 3 Up to £25 loss. 47% of gains allowed	COL 4 Effect on charge of 1% rise in spending	SN contribution (+)/ entitlement (-)
WEST SUSSEX					
Adur	281	238	261	6	+23
Arun	270	209	241	6	+32
Chichester	262	192	229	6	+37
Crawley	269	270	270	7	0
Horsham	261	179	223	6	+44
Mid Sussex	287	209	251	6	+42
Worthing	248	217	234	6	+17
WILTSHIRE					
Kennet	241	227	233	7	+8
North Wiltshire	226	256	251	7	-5
Salisbury	262	224	244	7	+20
Thamesdown	253	302	278	7	-24
West Wiltshire	232	260	257	7	-3
ALL PURPOSE AUTHORITY					
Isles of Scilly	214	505	239	11	-266

DATE: 5-JUL-89

ANNEX B

AREAS BENEFITTING FROM SPECIFIC GRANT

Burnley
Pendle
Wear Valley
Hyndburn
Barrow in Furness
Calderdale
Teesdale
Easington
Kirklees
Barnsley
Copeland
Blackburn
Rossendale
Derwentside
Kingston upon Hull
Bradford
Torridge
Sedgefield
Allerdale
Eden
Bolsover
Wansbeck
Wakefield
York
Boothferry
Rotherham
Berwick-upon-Tweed
Gateshead
Sunderland
Ashfield
Sheffield
Carlisle
Doncaster
East Yorkshire
Craven
Rochdale
South Tyneside
Hartlepool
Scarborough
North Devon
Oldham
Tameside
Penwith
Leeds
Kerrier
Lincoln
Mansfield
High Peak
Chester-le-Street
Bassetlaw

JP



FROM: JOHN GIEVE
DATE: 20 SEPTEMBER 1989

MRS CHAPLIN

cc PS/Chief Secretary
PS/Financial Secretary
PS/Paymaster General
PS/Economic Secretary
Mr G H Phillips
Mr Edwards
Mr Potter
Mr Tyrie
Mr Lightfoot

RESOURCE EQUALISATION AND THE SAFETY NET

The Chancellor was grateful for your minute of 19 September. In the circumstances he agrees that he should not urge Mr Patten to publish the figures for each authority.

JG

JOHN GIEVE