34. Memorandum From Dennis C. Blair of the National Security Council Staff to the President's Assistant for National Security Affairs (Clark)¹

Washington, April 1, 1982

SUBJECT

U.K.-Argentine Dispute in Falkland Islands

In briefing the President this morning,² the following points are most important:

- —Last night Mrs. Thatcher asked you to call General Galtieri to ask him for an assurance that he will not authorize an invasion of the Falkland Islands;³
- —An amphibious force, probably carrying 500 troops, is on the way to the Falkland Islands, and is estimated to arrive at 6:00 a.m. on Friday,⁴
- —Secretary Haig has instructed our ambassador to tell General Galtieri that any military action would wreck the promising U.S.-Argentina relationship;⁵

The question to decide this morning is whether the President should personally call Galtieri to urge restraint.

Pros

- -Mrs. Thatcher has asked the President to do so;
- —The call might cause Galtieri to think twice about the invasion and call it off or delay it;

Cons

- —The President runs the risk of becoming a mediator in an intractable dispute which has gone on for years;
- —We do not yet have the results of the ambassador's call on Galtieri, 6 so it may not be necessary for the President to intervene personally

 $^{^1}$ Source: Reagan Library, Executive Secretariat, NSC Country File, Latin America/General, Argentina (01/01/1982–04/02/1982). Top Secret. The date is handwritten. Poindexter initialed the top right-hand corner of the memorandum.

² Most likely a reference to the President's daily national security briefing. On April 1, Reagan met with Bush, Clark, Gregg, and Meese for the briefing in the Oval Office from 9:30 to 10:10 a.m. (Reagan Library, President's Daily Diary) No other record of the briefing has been found.

³ See Document 28.

⁴ April 2.

⁵ See Document 31.

⁶ See Document 37.

The question for the longer term is the attitude the United States should take if the Argentinians invade tomorrow, and war breaks out between the two countries.

- —We clearly should call for an end to hostilities, and support peace conferences, cease-fires, etc.
- —The United Kingdom is both in the right, and a more important and closer ally. In the final analysis, we must support the U.K.
- —We should consider initiatives like a personal envoy from the President (a la Habib)⁷

 $^{^7}$ Reference is to Ambassador Philip C. Habib. Following his retirement from the Foreign Service, Habib served as a special envoy on behalf of the Carter and Reagan administrations, most notably in Lebanon.