

TYPISTS

ADVANCE COPY

ADVANCE COPIES: FALKLAND ISLANDS

(32) (36)

IMMEDIATE

PS
PS/MR HURD
PS/MR ONSLOW
PS/PUS
MR GIFFARD
MR WRIGHT
MR ADAMS
MR UPE
MR GILLMORE

Mr Bullard
Mr Hamray

ED/S AM D (FCO DIST + A/D F/I)
ED/DEF D
ED/NEWS D
ED/ORD
ED/PLANNING STAFF
MR ANTHONY WILLIAMS W60

~~ASSISTANT CLERK~~

HD/ECG (E)

Copy passed to Emergency Staff)

PS NO 10 DOWNING ST (2)

PS/S OF S FOR DEFENCE

PS/CHANCELLOR)
SIR K COUZENS)
MR LITTLER)
MR HAWTHIN)
MR PERETZ)
MR ILETT)
MR MCINTYRE)

TREASURY

MISS DICKSON (HEP DIV) D/EN

DIO CABINET OFFICE
MR MADE-GERY CABINET OFFICE
MR FULLER SAPD/DIO " "

SIR M PALLISER CABINET OFFICE
SIR R ARMSTRONG " "

CONFIDENTIAL

FROM DUBLIN 061100Z MAY 1982.

TO IMMEDIATE F C O

TELEGRAM NUMBER 133 OF 06 MAY.

AND TO IMMEDIATE INFO PARIS, BONN, COPENHAGEN, ATHENS, BRUSSELS, LUXEMBOURG, ROME, THE HAGUE, UKREP BRUSSELS, CANBERRA, OTTAWA, WELLINGTON, OSLO, UKMIS NEW YORK AND UKDEL NATO.

YOUR TELNO 216 TO PARIS: FALKLANDS: MESSAGE TO FOREIGN MINISTER.

1. I WAS DUE TO SEE THE TAOISEACH AT HIS REQUEST AT 11 O'CLOCK THIS MORNING AND THOUGHT IT RIGHT THAT I SHOULD DELIVER YOUR MESSAGE AS IN PARAGRAPH 8 OF YOUR TELNO 217 TO PARIS TO HIM PERSONALLY. I LEFT A COPY WITH HIS OFFICE.

2. MR HAUGHEY ATTEMPTED TO EXPLAIN THE IRISH INITIATIVE IN NEW YORK AS ONLY TRYING TO BE HELPFUL. HE SAID THAT THEY WERE NOW HOLDING THEIR HAND IN RESPONSE TO THE SECRETARY-GENERAL'S REQUEST. HOWEVER, THEY WERE MEMBERS OF THE SECURITY COUNCIL AND IF THEY WERE NOT SIMPLY TO BEHAVE LIKE SHEEP THEY FELT IT RIGHT THAT, WITH SUCH A THREAT TO WORLD PEACE, THEY OUGHT TO TAKE SOME ACTION IN VIEW

A THREAT TO WORLD PEACE, THEY OUGHT TO TAKE SOME ACTION IN VIEW OF THE FAILURE OF SECRETARY HAIG'S EFFORTS. THE FIGHTING HAD ESCALATED AND SOMETHING HAD TO BE DONE. I SAID THAT, AS I HAD EXPLAINED TWO DAYS AGO, ACTION IN A FORMAL MEETING OF THE SECURITY COUNCIL WOULD INEVITABLY DAMAGE THE ACTIVE NEGOTIATIONS AT PRESENT GOING ON ON TWO SEPERATE CHANNELS. MOREOVER, THERE HAD BEEN NO HOSTILITIES OVER THE PAST 24 HOURS. WE WERE DOING ALL WE COULD TO GET SOME NEGOTIATIONS GOING AND WE WOULD BE RESPONDING TO THE SECRETARY-GENERAL'S INITIATIVE IN A POSITIVE WAY, PERHAPS TODAY.

3. ON THE IMPORT BAN, HE SAID THAT IT SEEMED THAT THE ECONOMIC MEASURES NOW SEEMED TO BE IN SECOND PLACE TO MILITARY OPERATIONS. THIS DID NOT SQUARE WITH IRELAND'S NEUTRAL POLICY. I SAID THAT IT WAS QUITE WRONG TO LOOK AT THE ECONOMIC MEASURES LIKE THIS AND I QUOTED FROM YOUR MESSAGE. I WENT OVER AGAIN THE SEPARATE NEGOTIATIONS WHICH WERE GOING ON AND SAID THAT WE HOPED HOSTILITIES WOULD NOT START AGAIN. IN THIS SITUATION IT COULD NOT POSSIBLY BE HELD THAT MILITARY OPERATIONS WERE DOMINANT. HE SEEMED TO ACCEPT AT LAST THAT WE WERE IN EARNEST ABOUT NEGOTIATIONS AND ON THE QUESTION OF THE IMPORT BAN HE SAID THAT IRELAND WOULD WHEN IT WAS DUE TO BE RECONSIDERED ON 17 MAY GO ALONG WITH A CONSENSUS. SHE WOULD NOT TAKE ANY UNILATERAL STANCE. I SAID I WAS GLAD TO HEAR IT AND WOULD REPORT IT AT ONCE.

4. MR HAUGHEY DID NOT GO FURTHER AND SAY WHAT THEIR FUTURE POLICY MIGHT BE AT THE UN, BUT AS HE TWICE SAID THAT THEY WERE RESPONDING TO THE SECRETARY-GENERAL'S REQUEST NOT TO DO ANY MORE, I SHOULD THINK THEY WILL BE QUIET FOR THE TIME BEING.

5. I AM REPORTING SEPERATLY (NOT TO ALL) ON THE REST OF OUR TALK WHICH RANGED WIDELY OVER ANGLO-IRISH RELATIONS.

FIGG.

NNNNNM

SENT/RECD AT 061210Z HMT/ ~~SECRET~~CEM