CONFIDENTIAL Private Secretary FALKLAND ISLANDS DEPENDENCIES: RESOLUTIONS OF THE UNGA 1. Following this morning's discussion in ODSA, I <u>submit</u> a draft letter to No. 10.

> N C R Williams United Nations Dept

6 May 1982

cc: PS/PUS
Mr Freeland
Mr Giffard
Mr Mallaby
SAmD
Emergency Unit

*	THE PARTY OF THE P	
DSR 1 Revised)		
	DRAFT: minute/letter/teleletter/despatch/note	TYPE: Draft/Final 1+
	FROM:	Reference
Q.	THE PRIVATE SECRETARY	
	DEPARTMENT: TEL. NO:	
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	TO:	Your Reference
Top Secret	PS / No 10	
Secret Confidential		Copies to:
Restricted		
Unclassified		PS/Home Secretary PS/Defence Secretary
PRIVACY MARKING		S/Paymaster General S/Attorney General S/Sir Robert Armstron S/Sir Michael Pallise
In Confidence		
CAVEAT	FALKLAND DEPENDENCIES	
	1. I understand that at ODSA this morning the question was raised whether the three UN General Assembly resolutio which have been passed on the Falklands cover the Dependencies.	
	2. We have undertaken a careful examination of the	
	resolutions in question. They refer only to the Falkland	
	Islands and do not explicitly mention the Dependencies.	
	Moreover, the lists of non-self-governing territories	
	compiled by General Assembly Committe	ees in 1946 and
	subsequently in 1964 referred exclusively to the ''Falklan	
	Islands''. However, the report on the Falkland Islands by	
	the Special Committee on Decolonisat:	ion which was referred
Enclosures—flag(s)	to in the 1965 General Assembly resolution included factua	
	data concerning both the Falkland Islands and the	

Dependencies, and references were made in the Special

Committee's debate to both. But the ''conclusions and

recommendations'' section of the Committee's report did

not refer to the Dependencies explicitly and we argued that they did not relate to the Dependencies in a Note which we sent to Argentina on 1 November 1965.

- 3. We are not confident that the majority of Member States would support a narrow reading of the strict words of the Resolutions, since this would imply that the General Assembly, having been seized of information about both the Falkland Islands and the Dependencies, consciously intended to adopt decisions excluding the latter from their general scope, notwithstanding the existence of a UK/Argentine sovereignty dispute over all of them.
- 4. We ourselves could however certainly argue that the Resolutions cannot be read as dealing with the Dependencies. And of course it remains the case that we did not support any of these Resolutions when they were adopted, that no General Assembly Resolution is binding and that we have constantly maintained that the General Assembly is not entitled to consider territorial disputes or questions of sovereignty.