PRIME MINISTER 2 BROADCASTING Here are four letters relevant to tomorrow's meeting of MISC 128: -letter from Jeremy Isaacs about the future of Channel 4; -letter from the Institute of Practitioners in Advertising, also about the future of Channel 4; -letter from Sir Ian Trethowan, showing concern about the problem of maintaining standards; -letter from Thames Television about attacking restrictive practices there. DRN D. R. NORGROVE 28 September 1987 PMMAHF



Thames Television PLC

306-316 Euston Road London NW1 3BB Telephone 01-387 9494

28 September 1987

Rt Hon Margaret Thatcher FRS MP 10 Downing Street London SW1A 2AA

Dear Prine Minister,

Yans Sweenly. Rivard Drum

Following last week's seminar on broadcasting, my Chairman, Sir Ian Trethowan, told me it might be helpful to you if I set out the Thames experience of dealing with problems of rising costs, restrictive practices, and union militancy.

I have done so as briefly as I can, and I do hope you will be able to find time to read the attached report.

RICHARD DUNN Managing Director

Enc

Grams Thamestel London NW1 Telex 22816

Registered Address 306-316 Euston Road London NW1 3BB Registration number 926655 Place of registration England



ITV RESTRICTIVE PRACTICES

During the sixties and seventies our four staff unions - ACTT, BETA, EEPTU and NUJ - achieved inflationary national pay deals and built up local restrictive practices whenever managements were prepared to buy peace.

THAMES STRATEGY

In July 1983, my Board agreed a very detailed "Strategy for Cost Effective Production" to reverse the tide. Crucially, it decided that "we should continue to take strong action to reduce manning and improve working practices without fear of the consequences of industrial action." With that Board backing, Thames took on ACTT in its own power-base, leading inevitably to two major transmission strikes in Autumn 1984. By successfully resisting the first, we broke a web of restrictive practices in our transmission centre; the second, over a sectional claim, led to our management service.

"FORT EUSTON," THE 1984 THAMES MANAGEMENT SERVICE

"This is totally unprecedented in the history of ITV's industrial relations," said ACTT's Alan Sapper when 45 managers put Thames back on air on 22 October 1984. "Thames is the first company to try to break the 'tyranny' of control of the screens by ACTT," said the Financial Times the next day. "If the company succeeds ... it will be a serious blow to ACTT's power throughout the network."

The two-week Thames management service shattered the myth that a blank screen was an ultimate weapon in union hands. When 1,000 ACTT members came back to work on management's terms, the Daily Telegraph described it as "another victory for the Thames management in its determination to introduce more profitable modes of operation and reduce manning levels" and the Financial Times concluded "the company has ... pioneered an historic breakthrough in ITV labour relations which will echo round the network for years." Since then, ITV unions have won nothing while losing restrictive practices in droves.

National pay awards in the last three years have been 6%, 5.5% and 5%, each without substantive concessions and each below the Industrial Services Sector average. The 1985 settlement at 6% was critical. ACTT, always the leading union, and sore after its defeats, ballotted its members for recommended strike action. The companies refused to budge, and the strike call was rejected. Reporting on the ballot, the Financial Times said, "Last year's dispute at Thames TV where the management put out a service without the ACTT appears to have been a turning-point."

2 OTHER FACTORS It would be wrong to claim the 1984 Thames management service as the only turning-point. Other factors inducing a fundamental shift included 1) new legislation, especially on ballotting and secondary picketing, 2) progress made by other ITV companies, 3) Channel 4's creation of a competitive independent production industry, 4) the change in union attitudes during the miners' strike, and later as a result of the News International dispute. ACTION AT THAMES TO CUT RESTRICTIVE PRACTICES Since 1984, we have not lost a day's production or an 1. hour's transmission, and have resisted every sectional claim except those concerned with equal pay. In our Euston transmission centre, the hub of ITV's 2. weekday networking, we have a) reduced staff by 10%, b) regained management's right to roster to need, c) drastically reduced overtime and various 'old Spanish customs', d) eliminated the demarcation between film and tape engineers, e) reduced the minimum crew from 32 to 5, f) as a result, in this one area, produced savings of some £500,000 per annum. Having solved that minimum crew problem, Thames then 3. cracked the notorious "golden hours" provisions of the National Agreement by negotiating a night shift of time-and-a half, or double-time maximum, enabling it to become the first ITV contractor to transmit 24 hours a day (excluding TVAM's time). The 32% increase in transmission output has been achieved with only 3 additional staff. "The whole thing is done by a staff you could count on one hand," as the Sunday Times reported yesterday. "Gone is the union-enforced overmanning that has bedevilled television as much as Fleet Street." Demarcation between film and tape technicians has also 4. disappeared on location. The restrictive practice of 4 person film units has been replaced with normal crews of 2 for tape and 3 for film. Savings are projected of some £700,000 per annum. Interestingly, the final breakthough came in response to our threat to commission a major series from an independent if the new tape technology and manning levels were not given approval quickly. Our news operations were converted to a wholly 5. competitive ENG system in 1983. 13

Thames Television PLC 306 Euston Road London NW1 3BB Telephone 01-387 9494 From the Chairman 28 September 1987 STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL Rt Hon Margaret Thatcher FRS MP 10 Downing Street London SWIA 2AA e - Pome Priniste Thank you for a very agreeable lunch on Monday, after a seminar which was immensely valuable. Everyone had a fair hearing, and I am sure that it was greatly appreciated that you found the time amid so many other pressing problems. You said at the end that you would consider any further thoughts we might have. I came away feeling that, while the advertisers and the independent producers underestimated the speed with which the satellite development will ease their present frustrations, the broadcasters did not address the complexity of safeguarding standards amid this rapid expansion. Later this week I am attending a conference in Strasbourg about the problems of controlling trans-frontier satellite broadcasting, and I will then, if I may, send you some further ideas on structures. In the meantime, you may remember that over lunch I mentioned some of the steps taken by Thames to control costs and deal with union militancy. I have asked the Managing Director, Richard Dunn, to send you a short note on these points. The "bastions" are crumbling, not least under the psychological as well as the legal impact of the Government's legislation. On the very day of the Seminar Thames was threatened by a strike over the showing of an American news programme said to be made by a non-union company. The management stood firm, and the union backed down. Only two or three years ago we would probably have had a damaging stoppage. You sing