COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE Note Spoke Coheria Banisk (Hare Office) and Moire Udlere (Treamy) and persed PRIME MINISTER on he Phis reacher; It said I wold not be mintig it ITV LEVY FOR CONTRACT EXTENSION PERIOD at I him chege. Ples The Home Secretary and the Chancellor of the Exchequer are in dispute about the arrangements for changes to the ITV levy during the contract extension period from 1990-1992. The Home Office prefers a mixed revenue and profits levy; the Treasury a revenue only scheme. Brian Griffiths concludes that, on balance, the arguments favour the Treasury. But, as he says, it is not an issue you need to get into at all deeply. Do you want to express a view, or leave the Home Office and Treasury to sort it out? Price Misle You saw here peper Pacle last might bet did not connect. Do you want Paul Gray 4 May 1988 Lo capess a ren! Pac6 I really think the lavy should is the event of disqueened, - be determined by the Greatury. It is a revenue meller COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE DG2APA